PC6

February 12, 1964

MEMORATUM FOR THE RECORD

FRM: Koverd P. Willens

RE: Staff meeting February 11, 1960

This staff meeting was called by Mr. Rankin to put before the staff the question of the proper bandling of the allegations of Lee Harvey Oswald as an undercover agent of the Federal Eurosu of Investigation or other federal investigative agency.

Mr. Rankin reviewed for the members of the staff some of the salient facts regarding these allegations. He reported to the staff on the Commission meeting at which this neiter was discussed with Texas officials Carr, Wode, Alexander, Javorski and Storey. He also brought before the meeting the fact that the Thi in the Gamberling report of December 23, 1963 had ambited information to the effect that the name, address, telephone number, license mader of Special Agent James P. Hosty, Jr. of the Dallas office of the FDI was contained in Osveld's eddress book. To complete the factual picture he pointed out to the staff that the Commission had received the letter of the FEI dated January 27, 1984 in which the fact that some of this information was contained in Oswald's address book was officially communicated to the Commission by the Bureau. Mr. Renkin indicated that this matter was an extremely difficult one which had concerned him and members of the Consission during the past few weeks.

The opinions of the members of the staff varied videly. Some thought that nothing should be done at this time until we had a further opportunity to appraise fully the work of the FBI, at which time a proper letter could be addressed to the FBI. Some members of the staff thought the significance of this caission was not particularly great and that no further action should be taken at this time. Nost of the members of the staff, however, thought that the caission of the Hosty information was of considerable importance and could not be ignored by the Cosmission. There was discussion as to the

possibility of the edverce effect on the relationship with the FRI if this matter were brought to its attention. The thought was expressed that pointing this calssion out to the FRI might in fact produce more accurate reports by the FRI in the future. I suggested that the group consider the possibility of addressing a letter to the FRI which would request an explanation from the fureau regarding this matter. The majority of the sembors of the staff present at the meeting did not agree with the proposal that scarthing of this sort be done in the mean future.

At the end of the meeting Mr. Panhin suggested that the members of the staff consider all the facets of this problem more fully and indicated that there would be a subsequent staff meeting to discuss this further.