Mro Howari Bray

$1 / 22 / 77$

Frand Por Investigative Jourralisa
1346 Connocticut Ave., 面 10 th pleer
Wamho, D.C. 20036

## Dear Howard,

Your call, your continulag interost and your valambla, practical magention mean much. Thanics for ell and Por juat boing a geed guy.

There are semo uroalithen in Curtia $/ \mathcal{L}$ iettor. Hin and Zwbrás are anclomed.
If I ignore thom in my lettor part of the roason I wrote it is awarenoss of themo There is alae the possibility he may hoar of a publisher with a subject iateresto There also are real problems that cannot bo avpideloPerhaps this letter, which raison them, can do what you had in mind.

Rathor than reiucing thoir outpot of assassinatism books pablishors are frcroasing thom. All the erap is boing reprimited, tee.

What troublea mo more in he comment on the work. This lie meither ogo ner vandy. If I did not somd you a copy of what I wrote him anticipating precisoly this reaction I can. The prevainost dilike of the polomical is acknolvedgod and an offort made to oxplain ito But thore is mo quontiom but that I aaid it could all be odited out and that in almost all casen i requirod only a biue pencil. This is mot a real probleme

What surprisee me is that there is me other criticisn of the writimge I had antio copated heary cuts and acreed to that in aivance, toe. I asked anly that the changes be faithrul to the work.

Bantan misbohaved very badiy at the ovidendtiary hearinge I could mot honostly leave it mout mofir of the draft of a manuscript that is to be inclusive and is expacted te be shortened. Im fact I organazed that part to mak cutting it out orem oasier. But I asppect that ovon with it out entirely harebeck publishera will apticipato $\mathrm{B}_{\text {ane }} \mathrm{tana}^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ displearwo and prefor to avgid it.

What is moat troubling is that he writes mow we keows is not really trueg "you really don't axggest the altormate acomarie - - you donit roally solve the crime"

As it rolatom to the incomplete drapt this is true. In the reality of which he was fully Informod it if false. I wont inte this in a mumboe of ways, including what Zobra would wamb. My ofthuotet those was en the pointoin had to propare hia and I dide in mere than onowgh detail. Fith shortong inte I koow vrerythjag excopt the namea and dje setablish how the crime mas comelted.onot as alloged. I offered to co to wey Yoris, to make explanations, to shou proefa mad sald what I thrick has to be obvious in a boek about a bresking story, I would net writo the misaing perts wetil I had a contract. A month thereerter I promised the rest of the drapt. I pren went into whet would lappen to avother fake book like Zebraia Appoistmoet in Dalles, what thoy wein obviously wasto What I am oayiag is that here he is dighenest and I canet avele tho teliof it is deliberate. I have mo idoa why.

I do mot boliove it in oftom that half of a book io described an "a brilliant job" againat the FBI yot = and with aimpio oditing "a very saleable property" and then thero if io Interest. Eapecialiy with the guarantee that the book deos solve the orime and with thuily mprecedonted backstoppinge as you will see. Too many of these poople cannot Sace thoir pata with me - how elac can they justify thamelves? - and there reging a get Pear of both coverment and solid expeswre of ito (I had about 120 rejections intero mationally on Whitewash, the first book.)

- Hy thinking is as simpla as my hopes are nodeat. There is me bookt that suenere can $t$ fauly, justly. There is virtually no successful boek witheut a majer offert by a pubiigher. This offort bozine with exitivg. I aeroed in advance to the chaseres nentioned. These claime are therefore not the real reason(a). Whatevor is the explanntion it is net that the book is inposaible or the market doen not exist. The markes potsatiol is groato Thanka and best.

