When President Join F. Kennedy was so coldly gunned down in Dallas, American freedom and the independence of Presidents murdered with him, and Marian Abraham Zapruder, wheeded and needled by loyal secretary Mildred(?)Sitzman, photographed the awfulness in nightmarish full color, the recording of history as mixed Hitler and Orwell began.

Somehow, LIFE magazine knew abiut Zapruder and his movie right away. Correspondent Patsy Swank and Associate Editor Dick Billings (Billingsley to the FEI), made a deal for the movie before the federal agents knew about it. Those derring-do EBI and Secret Aervice lads might never have known of this, the most important evidence of the assassination, untul they read saw it in the pages of LIFE if it hadn't been for Dellas Reporter Harry MCCormick, who, amadwindx like a seeing-eye dog, hand-led Dellas Secret Service Chief Forrest Sorrels to Zapruder's office.

And thus American histor and heritage became private property.

Now the National Archives, custodian of the tangible of our heritage, preserves in pristine glory such essential **items** essential items as Lee Harvey Oswald's pubic hair, recovered from a blanket known to have been his and converted from nothingness into scientific evidence, as though enyone but hife wife should have had concern about where his pubic hairs were found; several dozen files of empty envelopes; dictionaries, books for self-education; paperbound volumes of Ian Fleming and H.G. Wells; Marina Oswald's sewing basket, with Mexican coin, religious medal and nail file; her recipes and clippings on childcare; even an unusual subscription form for LIFE.

Here, by order of the Attorney General himself, he having determined that the national interast required it, we have the guts of the American heritage enshrined for the future.

It does not include Abreham Zapruder's movie. That, the most bimportant evidence of the history-chaging murder, is not part of the American heritage. It is private property. When Marine Oswald, already enriched by the cold deal the Secret Service offered before her murdered husband's body was cold, decided she wanted more money and sold the ridle allegedly his ^jhance hers) and allegedly but never proven to be the assassination weapon, the full majesty of ^Congress leaned on her. A law was passed declaring the rifle national property, and it was taken by force from the private owner.

Not the Zapruder film; not wealth, powerful LIFE, only Marina feels the power of the federal government. The best evidence of the crime is not, in the considered judgement of the Attorney General, vital to the national interest and heritage.

And so it came to pass that before the slow-moving but loud talking federal investigation got to take a peek at Zapruder's movie the parts later fiated into the most important were forever gone.

After I exposed the ireeparable damage to the essential parts of the Zapruder film, LIFE lamely explained that there had been an accident and that part at which the Commission claimed Oswald for the first time could have shot the President is missing. no longer exists, in any form. A simple accident by a careless lab worker so unconcerned he never reported it. We have the majestic Report of the President's Commission, the same "eport and the same Commission that supposedly studied this vital film frame by tiny frame, where allegedly but actually non-existent there is no mentionnof the carefully-considered non-existent pictures and no hint that the basic evidence was permanently destroyed in this preservation of LIFE's sacred property rights.

Instead LIFE announced it was "releasing" the missing frames. This, as I exposed in my second book, was another fiction, for the frames no longer exist and even the inadequate and incomplete copies are still a tightly-held LIFE secret and property. Once LIFE announced this "release" I wrote and requested copies. My letter has never been answered. Nor has the detailed exposure of this deliberate fakery.

Like most of the few other Americans who read that LIFE had paid

Zapruder the to me fentastic price of \$25,000 for the film, I wondered that any meanial employee would destroy so valuable a property, even by accident, and remain mute -and since unpunished. And I credited Zapruder as a humanitarian for giving all \$25,000 to the police relief, with the recommendation that it be given the widow of also-murdered Policeman J.D.Tippit.

But what is actually involved here is suppression, made possible by the secret exhanging of enormous sums of money.

That Widow Tippit got close to a million dollars, a fortune that for a long time she was reluctant to touch despite the needs of a large family of growing children, is relatively unimportant compared with the Zapruder deal, for no money can replace a husband and father, even though, e'er long, the Dellass ^Police Department supplied a proxy.

The LIFE-Zapruder*Federal government deal is the essence.

Penn Jones, editor of the Midlothian (Texas) Mirror, four years after the deal, told me it had made Zaoruder a rich man. His information was that LIFE had by then paid the humanitarian \$485,000 and the end was open.

In the mistaken belief that LIFE had a serious intent of really investigating the murder of the President, ¹ had been helping Billings, trusting him with copies of suppressed evidence that in any other time in our history would have caused instant sfandals and with any other journal or ers in American journalism sensational headlines. So, I phoned Dick and asked for confirmation. He was reluctant to talk about it.

I told him that whether or not he confirmed the price, I was going to quote Penn.

"If I say that to date Zapruder has gotten about a half-million dollars, will I be right or wrong,"I asked.

"Right", he conceeded.

Shocked as I was that the American people and the world were denied the evidence that is vital to any understanding of the crime of the century in an arrangement than made ^Humanitarian Zapruder fabulously welathy for less than a minute of secretary-dictated luck, I still did not being to comprehend the full enormity of this little LIFE-Zapruder deal.

New Orleans Dostrict Attorney

That didn't dawn on me until Jim Garrison, as part of his investigation of the assassination, subpensed the original film from LIFE and I was preparing to act as his adviser.

Here, I think a confession is appropriate. Although it is I who first discovered and exposed most of the deliberate misinterpretations of the Zapruder film without which a fake "solution" to the assessination would not have been possible, I who made the first and the most detailed studies of this film, I had missed what is obvious. The story of the assassination and its official explenation is like Poe's take of the Furloined Letter". In it a valuable letter is earched high and low and not found, **minimum** when all the time it is right out in the open, on the table.

So it is with the LIFE-Zapruder-federal government deal on the most important movie film in history, the one that proves President Kennedy was the victim of a conspiracy, not a single gunman. The whole deal is set forth in Zapruder's testimony, in both his enswers and the incompetent and inadequate questioning of Commission Lawyer Wesley J. Liebeler.

What LIFE really bought from Zapruder w is the right to suppress the

film.

And it is this that is so attractive to federal power, which cannot exercise that right in its own name:

This is how Zapruder got so rich.

And this is why LIFE, having doled out a king's ransom for the property, neither uses it nor permits its use.

Although it is the prime evidence of the essessination and should have been the first exhibit in evidence. the Zapruder film-and then only in a form totally acceptable in even a jerkwater court - was not introduced into evidence until the emhibits numbered more than 900. It is Exhibit 904.

It then was not introduced into evidence, as the law requires, with Zapruder to authenticate it and give th evidence he alone could give of its taking and suthenticity. Zapruder was not called as a witnesses until July 23, 1964, the month after the Commission planned to complete and publish its ^R eport, eight months after the crime.

5

This testimony appears in the seventh volumes of the Commission's little-seen printed evidence, the part that I will quote on the bottom of page 575 and the top of 576.

It is more than a monument to the legal incompetence of Liebeler, who thereupon was selected by the State of California to instruct the coming generation of lawyers as Assistant Professor of Lew at the University of California at Los Angeles. It is much more than a lawyer falling all over himsdaf in an until-now successful attempt at hiding a monster scandel. It is more, even, that a brilliant exercise in obfuscation.

It is one of the major scandals in our history.

Without it there is but slight chance that go an official fairy tale could be fobbed off as a scholarly decisions. It is indespensible to the official fiction that Lee Harvey was a lone, alienated assassin, when he was none of these things.

Liebeler's interrogation about the deal for the film begins with a supurb and uningibited display of the most complete ignorance of what he was supposed to be expert in:

Warren Report, Vol VII, Pp 575-576

6

Liebler: Now, I understand thet you, yourself, retained the original film? Zapruder: No; I don't have that at all-I don't have any at all. They were sold to Time and Life magazines. Liebler: You sold that to Life magazine? Zapruder: Yes. Liebler: The Commission is interested in one aspect of this and I would like to ask you if you would mind telling us how much they paid you for that film? Zapruder: For the film? Liebler: Yes. Zapruder: Well, I just wonder whether I should answer it or not because it involves a lot of thinsg and it's not one price---it's a question of how they are going to use it, are they going to use it or are they not going to use it, so I will say I really don't know how to answer that. Liebler: Well, I am not going to even urge you to answer the question. We will ask it and if you would rather not answer it --- the commission feels it would be helpful. Zapruder: I received \$25,000 as you know, and I have given that to the Firemen's and Policemen's Benebolence with a suggestion for Mrs. Tippit. You know that? Liegler: I don't know that --- you received \$25,000? Zapruder: \$25,000 was paid and I have given it to the Firemen's and Policemen's Fund: Liebler: You gave the whole \$25,000? Zapruder: Yes. This was all over the world. I got letters from all over the world and newspapers --- I mean letters from all over the world. It was all over the world---- I am surprised----that you don't know it---- I don{t like to talke about it too much.

Leibler: We appreciate your answer very much.

Dedeliesting

^{This} is perhaps the most brilliently-staged "accident" in legal history. The jewel of truth is surrounded by verbel garbage. And just how diligently did Liebeler seek it when he announced, "I am not going to even urge yiu to answer the question", how much was your take on this deal? Zapruder's response is short of perjury, thanks to Liebeler. It is a lie, a lie because of the gross inco pleteness of the little truth he uttered.

The escence, however, is in the seemingly emotional and fumbled anaanswer that is worth repeating:

"...it involves a lot of things, and it is not one price - it's a question of how they are going to use it, arebthey going to use it or are they not going to use it...."

"ARE THEY NOT GOING TO USE IT"!

Here is the essence. The Zapruder film was no used by LIFE and its use by others is prohibited by LIFE.

And for this, the "lots of things" Zepruder testified were "involved"the right to suppress his film -that Zepruder got rich.

Judes was a piker. Humanitarian Zapruder got not 30 pieces but he, LIFE and God alone know how much, the take seemingly mounting with each day of suppression.

When he said,"I received \$25,000, as you know, and I have given that to the Fireman's and Policeman's Benevolence", he was lying by[†] telling the incomplete truth. His <u>initial payment</u> was \$25,000, and he did give that away.

But to the knowledge of the Commission, its knowledge coming from since-silent Commission Member John J. McCloy, eminent international lawyer and government official of high rank under a number of administrations, before the Commission began work it knew Zapruder had gotten \$200,000 from LIFE pursuant to this quiet little deal. Seven months before Liebeler's participation in this fraud the Commission knew Zapruder had by then been paid off <u>eight times</u> more that the fictitious figure Liebeler accepted.

Does one wonder that the Commission could be delivered of such a

fraud for a Report and that with such facility history, law and justice could be so rewritten, as Orwell speaks of the rewriting of history, to control the future?

But with the enrichment of Humanitarian Zapruder whose public pose is of having given away all the money he got, with the public incompetence, if that is what it really is, of Lawyer Liebeler, with the culpable silence of Commissioner McCloy and those of his colleagues with whom he schred his knowledge - the silence of LIFE is more comprehensible - the scendal begins. ^This is not where it ends.

The greater scandal is what is suppressed -what was Zapruder paid for what does the government want hidden from the people and from history?

That bis the irrefutable proof that its solution to the crime, the new government meaning how it came into power, for it is by the Kennedy murder that we got the ^Johnson Presidency, and by that alone, was a kowing fraud deliberately perofficials petrated by prespin who knew better.

For Oswald to have been <u>an</u> assassin -not even the only one, which is the basis of the Report and the "solution"- the President could not have been struck by any bullet prior to the 210th of the individual still pictures that, when projected, become a motion picture. This is the solemn word of the Commission and all its assorted experts. Prior to that,xxxxx access to the President by a shot fired from that sixth-floor window was blocked. It is possible to demonstrate that even this is an early point by examining the evidence the Commission elected to ignore. But let us take the official word:Oswald could not have been an assassin **pt** if the President were struck before **XXXXX** Zepruder Freme 210.

The Zapruder movies proves beyond questoon that he was.

It is not possible to make an examination of what remains of the original film with minimal competence, with the intelligence and honesty of the average B_{0_y} Scout of normal endowment, without seeing this in its arrefutable, photographic form.

This is why the government preserves Oswald's puble hairs and his

Zapruder ordered three copies of the film made when he asked that it be processed. He gave one and the original to LIFE , the other two to the Secret Service, which dispetched one to Washington that night. Another of the unnecessary official secrets is that LIFE made a further copy in ^Chicago while taking the film to New York.

Now even an Sum motion-picture is copied by the autmostic machibes that are used, only about 80% of the exposed film is copied. There is a space on the left of all Sum film punched with sprocket holes. "t is by the revolving of into geners fine gears through these sprocket holes that the film is moved, both in taking the original pictures and in projecting them on a screen. When the film is projected, the 20% oor so where these holes are is not visible. It is masked out. So it is when the film is d advanced in the copying process. Thus, no copy of the original hes this approximately 20% of the exposed film that exists in the original end is visible when that film is examined frame by frame. wife's household trivialities while steadfastly refusing to have the most vital evidence of the entire crime. This is why Zapruder's silence added to his deal makes him wealthy. This is why LIFE denies all access to the evidence the government shuns.

This, too, is why courts and the law require evidence to be original and authenticated, not the solidified whim of some bureaucrat.

To understand an amazingly simple thing, it is necessary to recount the dramatic early history of the Zapruder film.

With Kafka-like effeciency, Zapruder, Sorrels and the deliberately unidentified remainder of the entourage, having survived a comedy of filmdeveloping errors, arrived at the Eastman lab in Dallas shortly after the murder. A retired military man, Phil Willis, was already there, with his family and many more pictures than the government ever acknowledged that he had taken.

The fact is, the government would have entirely suppressed Willis's film, t ∞ , if seven months after the assassination he had not copyrighted a dozen of them. Sorrels nebbed them immediately, not quite like what he allow edbe done with Liebeler, and according to what Willis personally told me() and would have told any official investigator who asked, had he been asked), stayed latched to them until Willis demanded their return.

The FBI kept Willis under the closest serutiny while refusing to get or acknowledge the existence of his pictures -intil he copyrighted them. Then J. Edgar Hoover notified the ^Commission of the existence of the pictures- seven months late- and sent an egent to see him.

Willis stayed at the scene of t e crime shooting away and taking picto pictures that, because of their background, couldhave evidentiary significance. He copyrighted but 12 of these pictures. Those 12 are the only ones with official existence, the only ones mentioned in the evidence or the ^Heport. Even then they have been cropped, trimmed a bit.

Liebeler examined Willis no whit less diligently than he did Zapruder, and at the same time. Now it happens that is all 26 of the volumes of evidence the Commission published, an estimated 10,000,000 word, and in all of that enormous literary and legal quicksand of its files, an estimated 300 cubic feet, there is virtually nothing on which there is universal agreement -aside from the fact of the President's murder, which required no Commission of eminences for its authentication.

One of the few things on which LOC% of the evidence is in accord is when Willis took the fifth of his pictures that the Commission published. That Liebeler and the Commission elected to introduce it into evidence as "Hudson Exhibit ^No. 1" is deceptive and helps obfuscate but when considered against the enormity nof the rest of the story is a minor crime. Every witness, including Willies and the Presidential widow, testified in perfect conformity with each other. There is a detailed presentation of all of this testimony in my second book. Willis took that picture when he didn't intend to and when he wasn't quite ready in reaction to a shot that he, from his proximity and military experience, immediately identified as a shot.andxmackhorrificeXanx

He was horrified. e had no control over his nerves and reflexes. With the realization to which his nerves reacted before his mind, he involuntarily snapped the shutter. His film is not properly exposed but it is clear enough.

It was, as all the evidence proves, taken after the President was shot.

When, then, was it taken?

This is the quintessential questions, for if this were the first shot, it can definitely tell us whether or not it could have come from that sixth-floor Texas School Book Depository ^Building window in which the government clams Oswald lurked to murder.

Wli Willis was on the south side of Elm Street. Zapruder was opposite him, on the north side. Providentially, President Kennedy was between them.

In leading Willis in the taking of testimony, Liebelær went to such greet pains to hide where Willis stood when he took this picture that the F BI invented a new concept of evidence to establish the point. It's agent engaged in correspondence with Willis end then interpreted Willis' letter, which is carefully excluded from the evidence. Of course, this would have been prohibited in the most primitive of courts. Willis was available to give testimony under oath. It was Liebeler's function to take just this testimony under oath. He didn't do it. With an experienced lawyer this cannot be regarded as carelessness. By such shabby devices and unabashed misinterpretation of what had actually happened and what he had actually said, Liebeler arranged what amounts to false testimony that could be misrepresented int the Report.

The Commission concluded that Willis took this picture at the very moment of the first shot.

That cheap chisseling does not save it, "nly suppression of the original Zapruder film made this possible.

Accepting this falsehood as truth, the most elementary comparison of the fifth Willis film with Zapruder's proves that Willis' was taken not later that Zapruder 202, not Zapruder 210 or later.

What more urgent requirement could there be for the disappearance, unreported and ignored by accidental destruction of otherwise, than this for the absence of Frame 210?

The FBI supplied the Commission with a photographic expert, one "yndel L. Shaneyfelt. Shaneyfelt promptly ignored the streight-line relationship between Willis and Zepruder and the photographically sendwiched President and presented instead a rgoss grossly inaccurate mixture of conjefture and estimate to the members of the Commission. Using the Willis picture that had already been trimmed along its edges, he guessed where the President was, guessed where Willis was, conveniently ignored all the hard and available evidence, and saw to it that the Commission members believed that at the moment Willis snapped his shutter Zapruder had shot Frame 210 at the wary earliest and Frame 225 as the vert latest.

"e avpided perjury by saying that if it were necessary he could reconstruct the moment of Willis' picture-taking with precision.

The one thing the government didn't went was precision.

Therefore, it alchemized the base metal of Shaneyfelt's incompetent testimony, this droass of speculation and conjecture, into evidentiary gold. Themofficial account is that Willis took his picture somewhere after ^Prame 210 and at or prior to ^Frame 225, a period when, providentially, the President was also obscured from Zapruder's camera alone by a road-sign.

12

(This sign was immediately removed and relocated ; with the result that thereafter no precise re-enactment of the crime was possible. About this the ommission was silent. It also, and not by his design, was blundered into evidence while Liebeler was examining the groundskeeper of the area. There was no official interest in this tampering with evidence.)

The Commission could not pretend to make a study of the Zapruder film without having copies of the individual pictures that make the movie. Therefore, on behalf of the stockgolders of LIFE, the film not being the government's, it went on an economy bender. Instead of asking for a copy of each of the frames, which would at most have cost a few dollars, it restricted its interest to those pcutures between 171 and 343. Even this it could not honestly admit. When it published these prints in its 18th volume and it included up to rame 334 only. In the testimony an accommodating typographic error is duly recorded. Not until I exposed this additional fakery, and then too late for it to have any meaning, the missing nine pictures were quietly added to the stored evidence. But they were not considered by the Commission and are not in the published evidence, they only place then cen have even limited value and meaning

Phil Willis is visible in Frame 202. If a line is projected between the center of his picture kim and Zapruder is crosses the left shoulder of Secret Service Agent Clint Hill, who was riding on the left running-board ofnthe car carrying the President's Secret Service Escort.

If a line is projected from the center of Willis' lens to the center of Zapruder's, at "rame 202 it crosses both Clint Hill's left shoulder and the President.

In short, at Frame 202, possibly earlier but not later, Willias had

proves already taken his picture, and it shows the President had been shot.

This, of course, destroys the entire fiction of the Warren Heport, the entire accounting of the assassination.

To prove this was not difficult. It required simple, basic thought, only limited understanding, and a willingness to search for the truth. Whether or not this would be done was inknown at the moment of the assassination and when the familiarian government began its "investigation".

Knowing the President was between Zapruder and Willis, there is an evensimpler means of dte determining when the President eas shot, because it was known that Willis took his picture <u>after</u> the President was shot.

Willis has to be in Zapruder's film, somewhere.

Here the significance of the original, with its 20% of more of what the camera saw preserved in the left-hand margin is apparent.

Close examination of the Zapruder frames establishes that at frame 202 Willis has taken his picture, is taking the camera down from his eye and is walking into the street. At this koment he is on the very edge of the part of the Zapruder film visible on projection in motion. When the film is shown in motion it is physically impossible to identify any individual frame. If he is not by this fraction of a second invisible in any copy if the Zapruder film, he is in the very next frame.

So, the question of Phil Willis in making a frame-by-frame examination of any <u>copy</u> of the Zapruder film does not exist, for he is not in it. In copies of the ;ertiment frames he does not exist.

Not so with the original. ^He is visible until he pesses entirely out of view of Zapruder's lens. ^This is only in the margin of the film, the part not seen in $\frac{1}{2}$ rojection, not preserved in copying. In the original he das disappears at ^Fremes 204-5.

The original Zapruder film, therefore, proves by simple, casual examination that Willis had to have taken his picture, the picture he took <u>after</u> the President was shot, well before ⁰ swald or anyone else inthat window could have fired it. The original Zepruder film, therefore, proves that the Warren report

14

This is the reason the government cannot tolerate its existence in government files.

This is the reason it is content, if not elated, that the oirginal Zapruder film is private porperty, LIFE's.

And this is the only reason we have to explain LIFE's paying of incredible, unequalled hundreds of thousands and dollars for the film it will not use and refuses to let others use or even see.

Abraham Zapruder sold the right to suppressm his movies, and he got wealthy for it.

Life peid Zapruder to get this right and it has steadfastly exercised that right.

The government knew the whole seamy story, began by hiding from the evidence what it knew, then gathered false evidence, then misrepresented its false evidence, and has been satisfied to first create and then perpetuate the most awful lie ever told by any government in history, the lie that prese preserved its authority.

There is, of course, much more disproof of the official accounti of John Kennedy's murder in the Zepruder film. In my earlier writing ^I brought most For example, of it to light.tThere is no question but that at the time of the so-celled "fatal shot" the President was hit from the front. Here there was enother convenient "typographical er or", the succeeding frames were merely printed in reverse order, making it seem as though the President was bettred forward by the force of the bullet when he was driven violently backward. The "fatal shot" was fron the fron, impossible had it comefrom that sixth-floor window and behind.

But the simple, comprehensible disproof of the basic, deliberate error of the entire fictitious and fraudulent explanation of the assassination, the framing of Lee Harvey Oswald and history, was made possible by the quiet little deal between Life and Zapruder, agreed to by the government then and since, by which Zapruder got rich and famous for selling Life the right to suppress the most important evidence of the murder of John F. Kennedy.

15

Without this neat package, without the subsequent conspiracy of silence by all the parties, it would have been difficult if not impossible to pin the bum rap on Lee Harvey Osweld, to rewrite history, and to pretend that the ^Johnson edministration did not come into power as the consequence of a conspiracy to commit this murder, which it did.

It is a new way to spend copporate money, a new concept of the sanctity of private property.

It is not a new concept af politics. That part is as old as recorded history.

But it is a new way to wealth, for Abraham Zapruder.