Hr. Robert J. Zani No.328958 N_jchale Unit, 80-41 Rt 1 Box 4500 Tennessee olony, Texas 75886

Dear Fir. Zani,

You should by now have received my scoud letter that I wrote when your delayed letter reached me.

I'm sorry it is not possible for me to be of any help.

1 ou have made a number of av vague references to a connection of your case or knowledge with the assassination of President Kennedy.

If you feel you can tell me anything about that I would, of course, like to know it.

Sincerely,

rold Ouskey

Harold Weisberg

OCTOBER 25 TH 1995

MR. HAROLD WEISBERG 7627 OLD RECEIVER ROAD FREDERICK, MD. 21702

RE: YOUR NOTE OF 10-16-95

DEAR MR. WEISBERG:

I HAVE RECEIVED YOR NOTE OF 10/16/95. THANK YOU.

I MAILED YOU TWO(2) LETTERS : ONE & PAGES (INCLUDING LEGAL DOCUMENTS), AND ONE 4 PAGES. SINCE YOU ONLY RECEIVED THE 4 PAGE LETTER, THAT'S AKEN TO BEGINNING IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STORY! PERHAPS THE OTHER LETTER, WITH ENCLOSURES, HAS ARRIVED THERE BY NOW.

NOW. I WROTE TO XU GONCERNING OPENING UP THE BARIO-ZANE MATTER, AND SUGGESTED THAT IF YOU WERE NOT IN PERESTED, THAT YOU MIGHT PASS ON TO SOMEONE FLSE WHAT I HAD SENT. I UNDERSTAND FULL WELL YOUR STATEMENT AS TO YOUR AGE AND IMPAIRED HEALTH. I WAS SORRY TO HEAR THAT. IN CIDENTALLY, THE G.Q. ARTICLE IN 1992, VIS & VIS JEN GARRISON, PATO YOU HIGH PRAISE, I THOUGHT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CORRECTION AS TO ST44 INSTEAD OF ST46 CAMP STREET. MY COPYERROR. FRANKLY, I DO NOT REMEMBER THE AD ORESS OF THE "OLD" U.S. COURTHOUSE IN NEW ORLEANS, OR EVEN ITS PRECISE LOCATION. AS FOR THE FIFTH CERCUIT, I DO NOT HAVE THEIR EXACT ADDRESS FOR 1962-63-64 ETC., BUT I DO BELLEVE IT WAS IN THE VICINITY - THE U.S. POSTOFFICE TOU. LOBINITUD SOCH IS NOT EAST FROM MY PRESENT (OCATION) THE PUINTS YOU MADE - CORRECTIONS- ARE LOBINITUD SOCH IS NOT EAST FROM MY PRESENT (OCATION) THE PUINTS YOU MADE - CORRECTIONS- ARE LOBINITUD SOCH IS NOT EAST FROM MY PRESENT (OCATION) THE MENTIONS THE CAMP STREET PEMOLITIONS, ER. THINGS SALD BY HENRY HORT IN REASONABLE DOUBT. HE MENTIONS THE CAMP STREET PEMOLITIONS, ER.

AND, I AM AWARE HIS BOOK WAS NOT PUBLISHED UNTIL 1987. BUT DIERE IS SOME DIENG IN IT (SUBJECT MATTER) ABOUT WITCH PERSONNEL FOR THE U.S.M.S. AND DIE F.B.I. ATTEMPTED TO QUESTION ME IN APRIL 1981. AND THER SUCH ATTEMPT WAS MADE IN 1988. (SAME SUBJECT MATTER)

YOUR BOOK IS NOT AVMILABLE LOCALLY, SAD TO SAY, AND ALL I HAVE READ OF IT ARE A FEW COPIED PAGES. IN 1989 THE TOC (TEXAS PRISON SYSTEM) BANNED FATAL DREAMS BY JOANNE BARID, SEIZED AND DESTROYED MY COPY AND MY COPY OF GEORGE ORWELL'S 1984. BELIEVE IT? IT'S TRUE. THEY'VE ADMETRED THEY DID SO. THE SEIZURE IS A PART OF A PENDING CIVIL COURT CASE I HAVE IN FEDERAL COURT IN HOUSDIN. I DO NOT KNOW IF YOUR BOOK HAS BEEN COURT CASE I HAVE IN FEDERAL COURT IN HOUSDIN. I DO NOT KNOW IF YOUR BOOK HAS BEEN.

WHETTER OF NOT, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, THE RUBY-OSWALD-JFK MATTER (BROADLY PUT) COULD BE OPENED LIP, TN GLET, VIA THE FRONT DOOR, I DOUBT. BUT COLLATERALLY, OR VIA THE BACK DOOR, IT COULD BE: TWO APPROACHES - ONE IS BARIO-ZANI. I CAME WITHIN AN EXELAST OF POING SO IN GUET, IN HOUSTON, IN 1992, BEFORE BEING SHUT DOWN. (THE GWERUP IN BARIO-ZANE GUES REGHT TO RUBY-OSWALD-JFK)

I AM ENCLOSING A COPT OF THE EDERER LETTER. I SENT YOU A COPY IN MY FIRST LETTER.

I REGRET, AND DEEPLY SO, MINT YOU ARE ENCAPACITATED; YOU HAVE MY SINCERE BEST WISHTES AND PRAYERS. AND, IF YOU KNOW OR ARE AWARE OF SOMEONE WHO MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN BARIO-ZANI, WOULD YOU PLEASE PASS ON TO DHEM WHAT I HAVE SENT TO YOU AND/OR ASK THEM TO GNITHET AND/OR ALLOW ME TO CONTACT THEM. FAMILIARITY WITH RUBY-OSWALD-JFK IS A MUST. SINCE RELY,

Robert J. Zumi

RUBERT J. ZANI NO. 328938 MICHAEL UNIT, 8C-41 RT.1, BOX 4500 TENNESSEE COLONY, TEXAS 75886

....

. .

FEDERAL COURT FILED.

AUSTIN HOUSTON TYLER NEW ORLEANS

May 31, 1992

Hon. Ronald F. Ederer United States Attorney Western District of Texas A-601 Hemisfair Plaza 727 E. Durango Blvd. San Antonio, TX 78206

Re: Ganucheau letter (enclosed). Bario-Zani matter.

Mr. Ederer:

H-86-1600 U.S. D.C. HOUSTON

ZANE V. CULEN'S

Enclosed is a copy of a letter (5 pages) sent to Mr. Gilbert F. Ganucheau, Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, dated May 19, 1992. It concerns a long-running matter, the Bario-Zani matter, in state courts, U.S. District Courts within the Fifth Circuit, and including the Fifth Circuit itself. Vis-a-vis the enclosure I recently testified in Federal court for approximately 12 hours on May 4-5, 1992. As the enclosure correctly and explicitly states:

A. The State of Texas conceded (previously) that the same people ultimately responsible for the set-up and murder of Federal law enforcement agent Sante Bario (DEA-CIA) were the same people who were responsible for setting me up, false prosecution, fictitious stories, silencing me, etc.

B. The State of Texas conceded that Sante Bario was, ultimately, "murdered by employees of the U.S.S.R." (Bario died in San Antonio in 1979.)

Although such matters were certainly in-court conceded as specified in the Ganucheau letter (Soviet murder and vicarious prosecutions in America and coverups), the <u>state's argument</u> is that the state and <u>its employees</u> have been/are loyal proxies for the Soviet government--and that, although such a government and individuals no longer exists, I was prosecuted by and am being held by, vicariously, the Soviet government and individuals loyal to that government. Tragically, the State of Texas "merely" took 12 years to concede the truth, during which time certain people have lied prolifically, have acted only to coverup, which has included, inter alia, the deliberate creation of false, coverup, set up situations. The state's in-court concessions have far-reaching implications. Succinctly, the state admits Bario was murdered, ultimately by the Soviet Union, that there was not/will be no prosecution, that the State of Texas prosecuted me as a Soviet proxy ploy to cover up the all-important Bario case--and that there is nothing wrong with all that! Nothing wrong with covering up the War for Drugs, poisonings, Soviet murders, and Soviet proxy prosecutions in America.

I have advised the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the U.S. Department of Justice--civil rights division--and many others of the state's in-court concessions, including the murder of Sante Bario in San Antonio, Texas--1978-1979 by the U.S.S.R.

Inadvertently or advertently, the state has revealed in court, 12 years late, <u>precisely</u> why the state has been "unable" to release me.

As the enclosed Ganucheau letter accurately states, I have volunteered to appear unconditionally before any Federal grand jury concerning this--or any related--matter; and I know of several state employees who should be subpoenaed to explain fully and factually their multifarious desperate acts to keep me locked up; in light of their own attorney's in-court concessions.

Re part 19 of the Ganucheau letter, page 4, the reason I was not allowed to testify at "my own" state trial was that, in part, the state court could not handle the subject of my innocence. Two (2) federal courts have passed ruling on the issue, as part 19 accurately states.

The Bario case has certain similarities to the notorious Henry H. Marshall case in Texas, 1961-1984, but is much more expansive than the Marshall case, and the state has already conceded/confessed to a "fix" in the Bario coverup.

The Bush Administration may (or may not) be in office in January 1993. This may well be the only real opportunity this administration has to open and air out this matter. Now is an ideal time in light of the state's in-court concessions. Otherwise this unique situation will be left to another administration or an anti-drug judge. I am ready, willing and able to appear before your grand jury at any time--no holds barred.

Sincerely,

Debent

Robert J. Zani No. 328938 Michael Unit, 12 E-19 Rt. 1, Box 4500 Tennessee Colony, TX 75886

P.S. In order perforce to cover up this entire situation, including the War For Drugs, enumerated poisonings, murders, and other matters, I understand a "Mr. Fero" has written a libelous book about me. And although I have only one final conviction <u>ever</u> wherein innocence is obvious, Fero had the brazen effrontery to call his book "The Zani Murders." While I don't know if, appropriately, the author was paid in rubles, cocaine, or both, <u>the purpose of his book has now been revéaled in court by the State of Texas: Pure Soviet</u> coverup propaganda. That foreign governments commit

murder etc. is well known; that they have done so conspiratorially in the past, in America, is known fact: Orlando Letelier, Ronni Moffit, etc. Fero should be the first witness federally subpoenaed to testify, under strict penalties of perjury, before a grand jury in the Bario-Zani matter. He will hide behind the First Amendment. He should be forced to answer for his imaginative pack of lies, his twisted fantasies made profitable, and his seedy pimping for Soviet murders and various, vicious, vicarious prosecutions.

A thorough but incomplete list of Fero's inmate jail and prison snitches/tricks is on file in Federal Court in Tyler, Texas. It is not know how much they were paidrewarded for their inaccurate information, or their relationship with any Texas Attorney General.

Note: I have read copied portions of Fero's trash. He cannot hold up before a grand jury. His "book" is a lie a page. Considering the fact the state has conceded in court that Bario was "murdered"--Fero's full motivation (and that of others) has been <u>unveiled</u>.

FROM 1977- 1983, ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, STATE AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES CLIMBED IN BED WITH THE USSR AND REPRESENTED THE USSR, BY PROXY, IN THE COURT SYSTEM, EITHER OPENLY OR CLANDESTINELY.

IN 1978-1980, AFTER BEING FED "ABUNDANT PROOF" BY THE USSR THAT JCHN DEMJANJUK WAS EX-NAZI TREBLINKA PRISON GUARD "IVAN THE TERRIBLE" RESPONSEDCE FOR THOUSANDS OF MURDERS, HE WAS PROXY PROSECUTED BY THE USA FOR THE USSR, AND DEPERTED TO ISRAEL. (1986) IN 1993 AN ISRAELI APPEALS COURT, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCLET, AND U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE THOMAS A. WISEMAN IN CINCINNATI FOUND THAT DEMJANJUK WAS NOT "IVAN THE TERRIBLE" AND THAT THE SOUTETS HAD, YET AGAIN, DUPED ALL-TOO-WILLING AMERICANS. THE CASE WAS THE SUBJECT OF MASSIVE INTERNATIONAL PUBLICITY.

SO MUCH FOR BLINDLY ACCEPTING THE USSR'S WORD AND GETTING IN BED WITH THE USSR. AT LEAST FOR SOME.