GENERAL INMATE CORRESPONDENCE • TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE - INSTITUTIONAL # 1-290 (07/93) DIVISION

10/17/95

Mr. Robert J. Zani, #328938 Michael Unit 8C-41 Rt. 1 Box 4500 Tennessee Colony, TX 75886 Dear Mr. Zani,

Your letter was postmarked October 13 and reached me today. It was cancelled at Tyler, the zip beginning 757.

I'm sorry there is nothing I can do to help you. I'm out of epwtact with any who might. It has not been safe for me to drive out of Frederick for two decades and I have not. When I've been out of town it was to be riven to hospitals for medical consultations and surgeries. As indicated earlier I spend all the time I can on writing and then to restrict my interests to that now.

You mention Nagell. While I have no doubt he had had intelligence connections I also have no doubt that his story about robbing that bank to have an alibi because he knew the assassination was coming is a lie.

make them up lack the knowledge to avoid significant errors of fact.

Nagell also fails on that score.

There remains a sort of mystery about all this. After he served his time he went to East Germany and was picked up. His release was arranged by a lawyer who had in the past handled such matters for the CIA, Ricey New, of Washington, DG.

I could have interviewed hagell while he was in jail. I believe it would have been a waste of time and didn t.

Sofry I can't be of help. But I wish you what seems unlikely, goodluck!

Harold Weisberg

The envelope had a little printed slip in it reading "GLNERAL INMATE CORRESPONDENCE.

TTEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE -INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION."I thought prixsoner mail
was no longer censored

OCTOBER 8TH 1995

MR. HAROLD WEISBERG BT. #12 FREDERICK, MD. 21701

RE: THE BARIO-ZANI MATTER.
RUBT-OSWALD-JFK
ENCLOSURES, ALL FEDERAL COURT FILED.

MR. WEISBERG:

I AM SEEKING HELP AND ASSISTANCE IN OPENING UP THE BARTO-ZANI MATTER.

SANTE BARTO WAS A VERY HIGH POWERED DEA-CIA EMPLOYEE - OPERATIVE WHO WAS MURDERED IN THIS COUNTRY, IN TEXAS, IN 1978-1979. HE WAS MURDERED BY /THROUGH THE USSR. HIS MURDER BY THE USSR, IN THIS COUNTRY, IS THE ONLY FEDERAL COURT RECORDED USSR POLITICAL MURDER ON AMERICAN SOIL, TO DATE. IT HAS BEEN COVERED UP HEAVILY AND EXTENSIVELY. ACCORDING TO UNDISPUTED UNCONTESTED FEDERAL COURT RECORDS IN THE SAME CASE (INCLUDING TRANSCRIPT), H-88-1600, U.S. D. C. HOUSTON, ZANI V. COLLINS (A CIVIL CASE I WAS ON ALL POINTS), THERE ARE FEDERAL (HW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL WHO BELIEVE, ON EVIDENCE, WIA NEW ORLEMS, THAT THE BARTO-ZANI COVERUP IS LINKED TO THE RUBY-COURAL - JFK MATTER. (EVEN THOUGH, AS YOU WELL KNOW, THE OFFICIAL POSITION OF THE DOJ, FBI, AND TEXAS LAW ENFORCEMENT IS THAT OSWALD ACTED ALONE, RUBY ACTED ALONE, AND THAT THERE WAS NO CONSPIRACY AND NO COVERUP.) THERE ARE AT LEAST 4 SECRET BARIO-ZANI FILES, ONE OF WHICH IS SA-70-7373, FBI SAN ANTONIO, WHICH RUBS ALMOST I, OUD PAGES, AND TOUCHES ON A VARIETY OF MATTERS, INCLUDING RUBY-COLUNDING.

If YOU ARE NOT WELL FAMILIAR WITH SANTE BARIO, THERE IS A FAIR AMOUNT OF INFORMATION IN SEVERAL CONCRESSIONAL RECORDS (PARTICULARLY FEB. 16, 1993, PAGE H-619) AND FATAL DREAMS BY JOANNE BARIO, THE DIAL PRESS, 1985. THE OFFICIAL POSITION OF THE DOJ, FBI, AND STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT IS THAT BARIO DIED AN "ACCIDENTIAL DEATH!" THOSE ARE THE SAME PEOPLE WHO OFFICIALLY SAID FOR 23 YEARS THAT HENRY MARSHALL (A USDA EMPLOYEE AND POLITICAL ASSASSINA DION, REPORTEDLY) WAS A "TEXAS SUICIDE."!

I WOULD GUESS YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE NOTORIOUS AND INFAMOUS MARSHALL CASE, SO YOU KNOW THAT IN 1984, 23 YEARS AFTER THE FACT, A TEXAS GRAND JURY FUND THAT INDEED MARSHALL HAD BEEN MURDERED, AND THAT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM TO HAVE COMMITTED SUICIDE IN THE MANNER STATED. STATE AND PEOPLE OFFICIALS AUTEN' ABANDONED THE OFFICIAL COVERUP-LIE CONSPIRACY, BE CAUSE IN 1984 IT BE CAME OFFICIALLY MURDER - RULINGS CLIMAXED BY JUDGE LOWEN'S RULING IN AUSTIN. MUCH HAS BEEN WRITTEN, AT LEAST IN TEXAS, ABOUT THE INSTRUCTIVE MARSHALL CASE AND THE PREPOSTERUS OFFICIAL CIES AND COVERUPS FOISTED OFF

FOR BARIO - ZANI CONNECTIONS/LINKS (SOME OF THEM) INCLUDING A SERIES OF DEADLY UNPROSECUTED POISONINGS, ONE OF THEM IN THE MIDDLE OF MY STATE TRIAL.

(MARCH 1981), AND PROOF OF THE FALSE CHARGES DELIBERATELY FILED ACAINST ME, AND BARIO, SEE ZANIV. COLLINS, A-92-CA-683, U.S.D.C. AUSTIN (FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS) — A CASE AND MAITER WHICH THE FEDERAL COURTS AND FEDERAL SYSTEM HAVE CONTINUALLY REFUSED TO HANDLE AND LIED/LIES WITH ABANDON TO A VOID AND EVADE. THE FULRECORD IN A-92-CA-683 IS BEYOND THE PALE. (OTHER BARIO-ZANI LINES/GNINECTIONS, THICLUDING CARLOS MARCELLO, SOUTHERN MEXICO, FOREIGN EMBASSIES, ETC. ARE IN OTHER COURT RECORDS.) JUST PRIOR TO BECOMING DIRECTOR OF THE FBI, AS CHIEF JUDGE FOR THE LUESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, JUDGE WILLIAM S. SESSIONS TOOK A POWDER ON THE BARIO-ZANI MATTER LINOUEMBER 1986) IN ZANIV. WILLIAMS, SA-83-2112-CA, U.S.D.C. SAN ANTONIO, ON THE RECORD.

IN THE COURT RECORD IN TY-91-108-CA, ZANI V. RAINES. U.S. D. C. TYLER, A PANEL OF THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT MAKES IT VERY CLEAR ON THE RECORD. THAT THE BARIO-ZANI MATTER (DIRECTLY ADDRESSED) AND RELATED MATTERS ARE /WILL BE OFF LIMITS AND NOT TO BE CONSIDERED EVER IN THE FIFTH CIRCUIT - AND THE COURT FALSIFIED SANCTIONS. ANOTHER COURT RECORD SIMPLY BEYOND THE PALE. REMEMBERING, SIMULTANEOUSLY, THAT THE FIFTH CIRCUIT DISMISSED CARLOS MARCELLO'S LAST CONVICTION AND SET HIM FREE. U.S. V.

NO ONE YOU KNOW ABOUT CON MATCH THE "NACELL LETTER", ENCLOSED. THOSE FACES TOO ARE EASILY VERIFIABLE.

THE MOST DIFFICULT THING, MR. WEISBERG, IS KNOWING WHERE TO BEGIN, ESPECIALLY TO MAKE THINGS EASILY COHERENT.

STRAIGHTFORWARDLY, I HAVE ONLY ONE FINAL GINVICTION EVER, AND INNOCENCE IS OBVIOUS MEREIN.

THE ENCLUSURES ARE COURT FILED AND SWORN TO; NO U.S ATTORNEY WILL CHALLENGE THEY IN

If YOU ARE INTERESTED, OR MIGHT BE, WOUD YOU PLEASE LET ME KNOW. IF NOT, WOULD YOU PLEASE LET ME KNOW. IF NOT, WOULD KINDLY PUT ME IN TACH WITH SOMEONE WHO MIGHT BE AND COULD HELP. SOME KNOWLDGE OF EDWIN WILSON, HIS DEALTHOS WITH LIBYAN AND SOVIET AUTHORITIES, AND PROSECUTION, WOULD HELP. (YOU UNDERSTAND I OM WRITING FROM IN PRISON.)

THANK YOU.

SINCERELY,
Robert J., Zani
ROBERT J., ZANI
NO. 328938
MICHAEL UNIT, 8C-41
RT. 1, BOX 4500
TENNESSEE COLONY, TEXAS 75886

P.S. I WENTTO SCHOOL WITH SEVERAL INTERESTING PEOPLE INCLUDING MIKE MCGRMICK LPRESIDING JUDGE, TEXAS COURT OF (RIMINAL APPEALS), LINDA BIRD JOHNSON ROBB SEN. KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON, ETC. JOINED PHI ALPHA THETA WITH LINDA BIRD. WAS ON THE STAFFOF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN (1965-1967) AS A TRANSLATOR FOR THE LIBRARY SYSTEM. ALSO WORKED WITH <u>CLOSED</u> COLLECTIONS (ARCHIVES)
B.A. 1967

May 31, 1992

Hon. Ronald F. Ederer United States Attorney Western District of Texas A-601 Hemisfair Plaza 727 E. Durango Blvd. San Antonio, TX 78206

Re: Ganucheau letter (enclosed). Bario-Zani matter.

Mr. Ederer:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter (4 [handwritten] pages) sent to Mr. Gilbert F. Ganucheau, Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, dated May 19, 1992. It concerns a long running matter, the Bario-Zani matter, in state courts, U.S. district courts within the Fifth Circuit and including the Fifth Circuit itself. Vis a vis the enclosure I recently testified in federal court for approximately 12 hours on May 4-5, 1992. As the enclosure correctly and explicitly states:

A. The State of Texas <u>conceded</u> (previously) that the <u>same people</u> ultimately responsible for the set-up and <u>murder</u> of federal law <u>enforcement</u> agent Sante Bario (DEA-CIA) were the same people who were responsible for setting me up, false prosecution, fictitious stories, silencing me, etc.

B. The State of Texas <u>conceded</u> that Sante Bario was, ultimately, "murdered by employees of the U.S.S.R." (Bario died in San Antonio in 1979.)

Although such matters were certainly in-court conceded, as specified in the Ganucheau letter (Soviet murder and vicarious prosecutions in America and coverups), the State's argument is that the State and its employees have been/are loyal proxies for the Soviet government, and that, although such a government no longer exists, I was prosecuted by and am being held by, vicariously, the Soviet government and individuals loyal to that government. Tragically, the State of Texas "merely" took 12 years to concede the truth, during which time certain people have lied prolifically, have acted only to cover up, which has included, inter alia, the deliberate creation of false, cover up, set up situations. The State's in-court concessions have farreaching implications. Succinctly, the State admits Bario was murdered, ultimately by the Soviet Union, that there was no/will be no prosecution, that the State of Texas prosecuted me as a Soviet proxy ploy to cover up the allimportant Bario case--and that there is nothing wrong with all that! Nothing wrong with covering up the War For Drugs, poisonings, Soviet murders, and Soviet proxy prosecutions in America.

I have advised the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the U.S. Department of Justice--Civil Rights Division--and many others of the State's in-court concessions, including the murder of Sante Bario in San Antonio, Texas--1978-79 by the U.S.S.R.

Inadvertently or advertently, the State has revealed in court, 12 years late, precisely why the State has been "unable" to release me.

As the enclosed Ganucheau letter accurately states, I have volunteered to appear unconditionally before any federal grand jury concerning this--or any related--matter; and I know of several state employees who should be

subpoenaed to explain fully and factually their multifarious desperate acts to keep me locked up; in light of their own attorney's in-court concessions.

Re: part 19 of the Ganucheau letter, page 4, the reason I was not allowed to testify at "my own" state trial was that, in part, the state court could not handle the subject of my innocence. Two (2) federal courts have passed ruling on the issue, as part 19 accurately states.

The Bario case has certain similarities to the notorious Henry H. Marshall case in Texas, 1961-1984, but is much more expansive than the Marshall case, and the State has already conceded/confessed a "fix" in the

Bario coverup.

The Bush Administration may (or may not) be in office in January 1993. This may well be the only real opportunity this administration has to open and air out this matter. Now is an ideal time in light of the State's in-court concessions. Otherwise, this unique situation will be left to another administration or an anti-drug judge. I am ready, willing and able to appear before your grand jury at any time--no holds barred.

Sincerely.

Robert J. Zani
No. 328939
Michael Unit, 12E-19
Rt. 1, Box 4500
Tennessee Colony, TX 75886

P.S. In order perforce to coverup this entire situation, including the War For Drugs, enumerated poisonings, murders and other matters, I understand a "Mr. Fero" has written a libelous book about me. And although I have only one final conviction ever wherein innocence is obvious, Fero had the brazen effrontery to call his book, "The Zani Murders." While I don't know if, appropriately, the author was paid in rubles, cocaine, or both, the purpose of his book has now been revealed in court by the State of Texas; pure Soviet coverup propaganda. That foreign governments commit murder, etc., is well known; that they have done so conspiratorialy in the past in America is known fact: Orlando Letelier, Ronni Moffit, etc. Fero should be the first witness federally subpoenaed to testify, under strict penalties of perjury, before a grand jury in the Bario-Zani matter. He will hide behind the First Amendment. He should be forced to answer for his imaginative pack of lies, his twisted fantasies made profitable, and his seedy pimping for Soviet murders and various, vicious, vicarious prosecutions.

A thorough but incomplete list of Fero's inmate and jail and prison snitches/tricks is on file in federal court in Tyler, Texas. It is not known how much they were paid-rewarded for their inaccurate information, or their relationship with any Texas attorney general.

Note: I have read copied portions of Fero's trash. He <u>cannot</u> hold up before a grand jury. His "book" is a lie a page. Considering the fact the State has conceded in court that Bario was "murdered"—Fero's full motivation (and that of others) has been <u>unveiled</u>.

SEE: FATAL DREAMS BY JUNINE BARIO, THE DIAL PRESS, 1985.

May 19, 1992

Hon. Gilbert F. Ganuchea), Clerk U.S. Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit 600 Camp Street, Room 100 New Orleans, LA 70130

Re: Civil causes No. 91-4823 (Raines), No. 91-4570 (White), No. 91-4810 (Berryhill) (Decided in that order)

Dear Mr. Ganucheau:

The above three (3) cases were recently ruled on by the Fifth Circuit Court. Apparently those cases were not assigned on any sort of a rotating basis, but were all three (3) assigned to the same panel. Why was that done? I was under the impression that cases in the Fifth Circuit were assigned on a random/rotating basis. Due to what was said in these opinions, as well as what was neither said nor addressed, (omission), I want to know why such a case assignment was made, specifically, and by whom? It certainly seems as though Judge Jolly wrote the "Per Curiam" opinions in these cases, one of which is fantastic—as in fantasy. Since there were two (2) conflicting decisions issued simultaneously in No. 91-4823, I will not take that case up further in detail in this letter. (All decisions were issued per curiam.)

Also, I would like to know why the name of Judge Jolly, denying appointment of counsel, was blocked out on papers sent to

And, I would like to know if the special assignment of these cases to a Jackson, Miss., judge was in connection with the simultaneous resignation of the Hon. Charles Clark, Chief Judge, Jackson, Miss.?

Because I am going to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, again, and the D.O.J. Office of Professional Responsibility, again, and because I am going to ask that these matters be thoroughly examined, I am stating that I believe such actions, including the decisions in these cases, were, particularly 91-4810 and other previous decisions, made in retaliation for just criticism, whistleblowing, and the mere mentioning of the Sante Bario case.

BACKGROUND

- 1. The first time I was in the Fifth Circuit, I did not prevail, precisely, but I did receive justice--a decade ago--from Judges Tate, Gee, and Garza.
- 2. However, in $\underline{1985}$, in causes No. $\underline{84-1005}$ and No. $\underline{84-2434}$ and No. $\underline{85-1216}$, it became readily apparent that something was seriously amiss in the Fifth Circuit, cases wherein relief was denied simply because of the non-payment of filing fees by one

obviously and indisputably indigent. That was totally wrong. Such actions were deliberately taken, so noted, and were a sad preview of coming attractions. Such actions delineated in stone Fifth Circuit Court Policy toward me. My repeated protests fell and have fallen on deaf ears. Concerning the two (2) remaining cases referred to above, Judge Jolly's uncalled-for bombast, vitriol and evasiveness, particularly in 91-4810 is simply more gasoline on a fire which has been long burning; the egregiousness and enormous enormity of this peculiar situation is astonishing. Such an overall court policy, is, unveiled, nothing short of spite work and hate. Judge Jolly's use of the phrase "bald assertion" is belied by a long look at the entire record, my situation, and the Sante Bario case/matter.

- 3. Case after case, before and after No. $\underline{84-1005}$, $\underline{84-2434}$, and $\underline{85-1216}$ leave no doubt of a defacto policy; certainly districts courts and the Texas Attorney General's office have "picked up on" and understood this policy.
- 4. In 1986, in cases SA-83-2112-CA (San Antonio) and A-85-CA-477 (Austin) the Texas Attorney General took two (2) directly contradictory positions converning me and my state case. The U.S. District Courts in those cases both accepted the Texas Attorney General's positions and dismissed! I could not have "2 final convictions" and "no final conviction" ever. The TAG used the true fact that I had no final conviction ever to get A-85-CA-477 dismissed.
- 5. In 1986 in case SA-83-2112-CA, the State of Texas <u>conceded</u> that the people <u>ultimately responsible</u> for the <u>silencing and murder of Sante Bario</u> were the same people responsible for the <u>dishonest/wrongful</u> prosecution of me, for <u>silencing me</u> in court, and for sending me wrongfully/falsely to prison.
- 6. In May 1992, in cause H-88-1600 (Houston) when presentation of testimony and evidence, the state of Texas <u>conceded</u> that Sante Bario, a DEA employee and CIA operative in Mexico was <u>murdered</u> in the Bexar Co. Jail, San Antonio, <u>by ultimately</u>, <u>employees and</u> agents of the U.S.S.R. (1979).
- 7. The state prosecutor who wrongfully prosecuted me in state court in 1981 was later busted by the DEA; again, not a "bald assertion", but raw fact. The in rem case is <u>published</u> in the Fed. Supplement and Fed. Reporter. Joseph A. Turner.
- 8. Two (2) of the witnesses against me at my state trial, in 1981, Ocampo and Garcia, whose testimony was irrelevant, and whose "immaculate character and superb citizenship" (in Mexico) was vouched for by the Travis County District Attorney, in 1986 turned out to be 1981 self-identified members of the same group identified and prosecuted in the murder of DEA agent Enrique Camarena Salazar, a DEA employee in Mexico--a widely publicized case, and a case once in the U.S. Supreme Court. A matter which was the subject of a variety of prosecutions and millions of dollars expended.

9. At virtually the mere mentioning of the Sante Bario matter state and federal courts have dismissed, affirmed, and/or refused to so much as receive filing. If I had been allowed to testify at "my" state trial, allowed to call my witnesses, self-representation, etc., or if courts hadn't shut me off prior to 1986, would Mr. Camarena Salazar still be alive today?

According to Judge Jolly, it is <u>unimportant/"Irrelevant"</u> how Sante Bario met his death (No. 91-4810, "Regardless of how Sante

Bario met his demise . . .")

Regardless of the <u>Federal Court fact</u> that he was an innocent federal employee ultimately murdered by the Soviet Union--

employees -- living in Mexico?

Judge Jolly implies that there is no factual difference between natural death and murder. Has he and will he be so theoretically generous with criminal appellants in his court? I have never personally alleged Sante Bario met his death via "poisoned peanut butter." Judge Jolly errs. I have stated under oath that his poisoning was one of an enumerated series of poisonings, non-prosecuted poisonings, which took place over a short period of time, including one which took place in the middle of my state trial; the poisoning of state witness Mr. Mogoyne, Sr., which was so "timely" as to eliminate his being cross-examined by the defense. On appeal the state court erroneously stated that court force--appointed counsel did not move for a "mistrial" after the successful poisoning-elimination of the witness to prevent deliberately his cross examination. Thus they wrongfully killed the issue.

- 10. Judge Jolly--and the District Court--did not dispute that on June 8, 1990, the TDC confiscated: 1) my Sante Bario file, 2) classified government documents, 3) documents relating to my state trial "innocence" claim which I had/have in court. (Cause 91-4810 was about the TDC's admitted seizure of legal documents/materials from me.) The relevance of the Sante Bario file to the papers seized is prima facie obvious: It was part of them. In 91-4810 Judge Jolly found the seized Sante Bario file "irrelevant" to the documents seized! Why?
- 11. Judge Jolly went to some research length to mention the legal Ben-Veniste reference anent the Sante Bario case. However, Judge Jolly failed to mention, in any way, just what court Sante Bario's emergency bond appeal cause was pending in when he was murdered (The Fifth Circuit). Judge Jolly also failed to mention that evidence uncovered after Bario's death established his innocence.
- 12. Judge Jolly in his discussion of the Bario matter failed to state that the Bario family had publically raised the issue of possible judicial corruption as lending itself to the Bario murder. Although such statements to not jibe with my personal knowledge, the Bario family, not I, have raised them. Publically.
- 13. It takes a heap o' malice to sanction someone for something non only not on the record, but not recorded anywhere! In order to approve sanctions by the District Court, Judge Jolly did just

- 14. Judge Jolly's decisions, carefully examined, are right in line with decisions dating back to 1984-85. There is a Fifth Circuit Court policy against me, a sub silento policy in de facto effect. Sadly, it is an intellectual dishonesty comparable to the fiscal dishonesty of U.S. District Judge Robert Collins, convicted in the District Court in the Eastern District of Louisiana, New Orleans.
- 15. Judge Jolly has, according to the full record in 91-4810, approved of the elimination of information/facts concerning: The Sante Bario case, classified government documents, evidence relative/relevant to my factual innocence concerning my state case.
- 16. According to state and federal court records (no "bald assertion"), the only witness court <u>force</u>-appointed counsel presented in "my" state defense, who testified at length in/on my behalf, was later a major person in the investigation and prosecution of the assassin of the Hon. John H. Wood, Jr., Chief Judge, Western District of Texas. (Dr. Richard Garver) Dr. Garver was not on my state witness list.
- 17. According to papers/documents filed in the Fifth Circuit, in a lengthy Title 28 Sect. 372 complaint and affidavit, dismissed by Chief Judge Clark on August 23, 1990, if it had not been for my quick and decisive actions, in times past, either one or two Article III judges would have been dead long ago. Those two (2) judges are still on the federal bench. Judge Kazen and Judge De Anda. Sadly, both judges were Democratically appointed—and the Jolly panel was all Republican appointed. (My Title 28 Sec t. 372 complaint pointed to the belief that "The Fifth Circuit well has been poisoned against me and my litigation." That factually supported belief, dismissed on August 23, 1990, has proven again and again to be fact.)
- 18. Cause No. 91-4330 was dismissed in both the District Court and the Fifth Circuit because: It was a Habeas Corpus petition filed on Habeas Corpus forms. There are several other decisions just as egregious including No. 89-12366 and 88-1590.
- 19. I have had the issue/claim of "a colorable showing of factual innocence" in two (2) federal courts. It has not been ruled on on its merits. It is again pending.
- 20. It is true and correct that in December of 1982, in case CRF-81-3065 in State District Court in Oklahoma (dismissed) I discussed under oath, and in part "bribe paid to judges and magistrates." Two (2) instances were discussed at length. Unfortunately, the media broadcast their version all over, and I heard from people in other states about it. The media \underline{failed} to

7-40-504-CP

 $\frac{\text{mention}}{\text{gratuities}}$, for some strange reason, that $\frac{\text{all such}}{\text{gratuities}}$ favors, etc., took place, as described, in $\frac{\text{Central America}}{\text{life.}}$

Thank you in advance for answering my questions. I volunteer to appear before any Federal Grand Jury concerning this matter which is much more extensive and sad than I have related, including a Federal Grand Jury in New Orleans.

Anent litigation, at this point, all things considered, the overall record is so unbelievably bad, I know that the only justice possible is out-of-circuit.

I do wonder why the Fifth Circuit is so desperate to keep me wrongfully in prison.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Zani
No. 328938
Michael Unit, 12E-19
Rt. 1, Box 4500
Tennessee Colony, TX 75886

copy: Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Office of Professional Responsibility, Dept. of Justice
U.S. Attorney, New Orleans, Louisiana
Director, Federal Judicial Center
U.S. Attorney, San Antonio, Texas
U.S. Marshal, Houston, Texas
Senator Joseph R. Biden, Jr.
U.S. Marshal, San Antonio, Texas
Drug Enforcement Administration, Houston
U.S. Marshal, Tyler

U.S. U MARCELLO, 87620 1147 (STH CIR. 1989) REVERSED AND DISMISSED.

TRUTH IS STRANGER THAN FICTION

- 1. HE WAS OFFICIALLY CHARGED LUITH 102 MURDERS: MEN, WOMEN, CHILDREN.
- 2. HE WAS TRIED IN MARCH OF 1971, IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA.
- 3. HE WAS CONVICTED OF 22 PREMEDITATED MUPDERS.
- 4. HE WAS SENTENCED AND WENT TO PRISON.
- S. ON DIRECT APPEAL TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT, HE HAD JUDGE LUTSDOM, AMONG OTHERS. ON THAT SAME APPEAL HE HAD A FUTURE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, GRIFFIN B. BELL.
- 6. AFTER A TOTAL OF FIVE (S) YEARS IN JAIL/PRISON, HE WAS RELEASED ON PAROLE IN 1975!
- 7. HE WAS NEVER CONVICTED OF ANOTHER FELONY AGAIN.
- 8. YOU CAN LOOK IT UP.

WHO?

(SEE THE BACK)

ANSWER

- 1. HIS NAME IS WILLIAM L. CALLEY, JR.
- 2. HIS TRIAL TOOK PLACE IN FT. BENNING, GA. THE FACTS ARE AS DESCRIBED.
- 3. YOU CAN READ THE CASE IN A LAW LIBRARY.

 CALLEY V. CALLOWAY, SIGF. 2d 184 (STH CIR. 1975)

IN HIS <u>DISSENT</u>, FUTURE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL GRIFFIN B. BELL IDEALISTICALLY WROTE:

"ONE OF THE UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES OF AMERICAN JURISPRUDENCE IS THAT NO MAN OR INSTITUTION IS ABOVE THE LAW."

SO - NOW YOU KNOW AND FOREVER KNOW WHAT HAPPENS TO THE ASSUREDLY GUILT IF ENOUGH POLITICS ARE INVOLVED.

AS FOR WHAT HAPPENS (JUST THE OPPOSITE) TO THE ASSUREDLY INNOCENT IF ENOUGH POLITICS ARE INVOLVED, YOU CAN ALSO LOOK THAT UP. It'S MUCH MORE DIFFICULT BECAUSE ONE MUST DIG THROUGH THE COVERUPS, HALF-TRUTHS, AND OTHER LIES. INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, THE COURT RECORD IN A-92-CA-683, U.S.D.C. AUSTIN, ZANI V. COLLINS, IS INSTRUCTIVE, INCISIVE, DECISIVE.

MEANWHILE -

"... A STATEMENT BY KENNETH P. WALTON, A RETIRED HEAD DEF THE FBI'S DETROIT FIELD OFFICE, TO THE EFFECT THAT ALTHOUGH HE KNEW WHO HAD MURDERED JIMMY HOFFA, THERE WOULD NEVER BE A PROSECUTION BECAUSE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S UN WILLINGNESS TO DISCLOSE CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES."

DICKERSON V. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, 992 F. 2d 1426 (6TH CIR. 1993)

THE GOVERNMENT IS NO LUNGER THE PEOPLE. IT'S (STATE AND FEDERAL) REFUSAL TO DISCLOSE VAST AMOUNTS OF INFORMATION, GOTHERED AT TAXPAYER EXPENSE, LETS THE GUILTY GO FREE, INCARCERATES THE INNOCENT, AIDS AND ABETS, PERPETRATES AND PERPETUATES MISINFORMATION, DISINFORMATION, HALF-TRUTHS, COVERUPS, FRAUDS AND HOAXES AND DELIBERATE CONFUSION.

AND — THERE IS NO BETTER EXAMPLE THAN THE BARTO- ZANI MATTER, THE RUBY-OSWALD-JFK MATTER, SOME MONUMENTAL COVERUPS; ALTHOUGH VITAL FACTS AND ACCURATE INFORMATION WAS IS EXISTING, AND OFTEN AVAILABLE.

THE ANATOMY OF A PROVEN, HIGH LEVEL, HIGH POWERED CONSPIRACY. (THE BARIO - ZAND MATTER, THE RUBY OSWALD-JFK MATTER, AND OTHER MATTERS AS WELL.)

THOSE WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE RUBY-DSWALD-JFK MATTER AND SEGMENT OF U.S. HISTORY, KNOW THE NAME RICHARD CASE NAGELL. MUCH HAS BEEN WRITTEN ABOUT NACELL, HIS CONVICTION IN THE WESTERN (FEDERAL) DISTRICT OF TEXAS, AND THE U.S. GOVERNMENT'S ATTEMPTS TO SILENCE HIM AND "PUT HIM AWAY." SOME INTERESTING THINGS ABOUT NACELL ARE IRREFUTABLE.

BUT, WHEN THE U.S. GOVERNMENT HADLED NAGELL TO COURT THEY SAID "LET'S GET HIM." AND DID. WHEN THE U.S. GUVERNMENT HAULED ME TO COURT, THEY PAUSED, AND SAID "OH SHIT. LET'S

GET!" AND THEY ARE STILL RUNNING.

IT NEVER HAS AND NEVER WILL GET ANY HEAVIER THAN THAT.

(-80-51-5

C-80-SI-S WAS A FEDERAL CRIMINAL CAPITAL CHARGE BROUGHT IN 1980 IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION. THE FILE IS PUBLIC RECORD.
THE CASE WAS ORIGINALLY ASSIGNED TO JUDGE OWEN COX AND U.S. MAGISTRATE DE ASES.
THE CASE WAS STYLED (TITLED) THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. ROBERT JOSEPH ZANI. TWO (2) DIFFERENT ATTORNEYS WERE ASSIGNED TO ME IN C-80-51-5; THE LAST ONE WAS REMOVED BY THE COURT ON JULY 27, 1981.

A MAJOR HEARING WAS HELD ON JULY 27, 1981, WITH JUDGE JAMES DE ANDA (BROWNSVILLE-HOUSTON) PRESIDING, AND JOHN R. CORR, BROWNSVILLE, AS COURT REPORTER.

THE TRANSCRIPT-PAGES

"THE COURT: SO I WOULD STRONGLY URGE YOU TO PERMIT YOUR PRESENT COUNSEL TO CONTINUE REPRESENTING YOU. BUT IF YOU GET GOVICTED YOU ARE GOING TO BE THE ONE WHO IS GOING TO DO THE TIME AND NOT HIM. SO YOU HAVE A RIGHT UNDER THE CONSTITUTION TO REPRESENT YOURSELF IF YOU WISH ..."

THE TRANSCRIPT - PAGE 6

"DEFENDANT: I WANT TO REPRESENT MYSELF, HANGS DOWN.
THE COURT: YOU ARE NOW YOUR OWN ATTORNEY."

THE TRANSCRIPT - PAGE 8

" THE COURT : YOUR DECORUM IN THE COURTROOM HAS BEEN COURTEOUS, AND I DON'T AGREE WITH YOUR DECISION TO REPRESENT YOURSELF. BUT THEN THAT DUEN'T MEAN ANYMING EXCEPTIVE JUST DISAGREE ABOUT THAT."

FROM THAT POINTON, NO ATTORNEY WAS ASSIGNED TO C-80-51-S. IN DECEMBER OF 1981 I WON THAT CAUSE. (SEE THE COURT RECORDS, SIGNED BY JUDGE HEAD.) IT WAS THE SECOND TIME IN SIX (6) MONTHS I HAD WON PROSE IN GURT AGAINST DIE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

AT THAT POINT I BECAME, AND APPARENTLY STILL AM, THE COLY LIVING NON-LAWYER AMERICAN COUT OF 260 MILLION INDIVIDUALS) WHO HAS WON A FEDERAL CAPITAL CASE. SANS COUNSEL. NO ATTORNEY WHATSOEVER.

YET - NARY A WORD ABOUT THAT APPEARED IN THE MEDIA. WHYNUT? ESPECIALLY AFTER ALL THE PRETRIAL PRINTED MALARKEY. COURT RECORDS TELL THEIR OWN STORY; BUT

SOME MUCH PREFER TO ACCEPT THE ILLUSION AND DENY THE REALITY.

THE REACITY IS THAT ONCE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISCOVERED THAT I WAS GOING TO RUN THE CASE, AND TESTIFY TESTIFY FULLY, FAIRLY, OPENLY, AND FREELY, AS THE LAW REQUIRES - THE ENTERE GOVERNMENT TURNED TAIL AND RAN FOR COVER. THEY FLED THE SCENE. I HAVE BEEN IN COURTS WHERE THE JUDGE (NORMALY) AND LOR THE PROSECUTOR AND FOR ATTORNEYS TRIED TO BLOCK, ELIMINATE, OR SEVERELY (IMIT MY TESTIMONY. "TESTIMONY" IS THE KEY WORD. ALWAYS HAS BEEN, ALWAYS WILL BE.

" AHA- GREYMAIL!" YOU SAY. THAT'S A VERY OVER RATED, OVERUSED WORD. MOSTLY BY THE MASS MEDIA. THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T BAT AN EYE PROSECUTING RICHARD NAGELL; NOR MANUEL NORIEGA - THE PRESIDENT OF A SOVERIGN COUNTRY WITH LOADS OF HIGH LEVEL SECRETS. AND EDWIN LIELSON. DO YOU KNOW WHO EQUIN WILLSON WAS ITS? THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T BAT AN EXE AND PROSECUTED HIM SEVERAL TIMES! AD INFINITUM. THE COVERNMENT SAID "WE WELCOME YOUR TESTIMONY. SPEAK FREELY!" SO MUCH FOR GREYMAIL.

BUT THEN I SHOW UP IN FEDERAL COURT AND THE GOVERNMENT STARTS TO SHIT AND GO BLIND. MATS HEAVY: THE LIKES OF WHICH YOU DO NOT IMAGINE . YET.

IN ANY CASE THAT HASMY NAME ON IT, CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, YOU SIMPLY READ THE RECORD TO SEE CUHO IS TRYING TO BLOCK MY TESTIMONY TO KEEP ME OUT OF COURT . BLOCK, STIFLE, PREVENT TESTIMONY.

THE FEDERAL COURT IN THE <u>WESTERN</u> DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN-JUDGE NOWLIN) LONG AGO DETERMINED TO BLOCK TESTIMONY, AND HAS LIED ON THE RECORD TO DO JUST THAT-RECORDS FROM MY STATE CONVICTION, LONG CHALLENGED IN FEDERAL COURT IN AUSTIN, ZANT V. COLLINS, A-92-CA-683 (FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS) PROVES BEYOND ANY POSSIBLE DOUBT THAT IF I HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO TESTIFY AT MY STATE TRIAL, I COULD NOT HAVE BEEN CONVICTED. THE JUDGE KNOWS THAT. A-92-CA-683 ALSO ESTABLISHES ACTUAL-FACTUAL INNOCENCE, TRAVIS COUNTY (AUSTIN) ITSELF DISMISSED CAUSE 60,003 (1980) THE STATE OF TEXAS V. ROBERT JUSEPH ZANI BECAUSE I PERSONALLY MADE IT KNOWN IN COURT I WAS GOING TO TESTIFY. SIMPLE AS THAT. (ALL DELINEATED IN A-92-CA-683) LATER, IN CAUSE 61,076, TRAVIS COUNTY, AFTER DETERMENING THE ONLY WAY TO CONVICT ME WAS TO BLOCK AND PREVENT MY TESTIMONY, THAT WAS DONE.

TESTIMONY. IT'S ALL ABOUT TESTIMONY.

(-80-51-S

C= CORPUS CHRISTI

80 = 1980

SI = THE CASE NUMBER

S = A SUBSEQUENT / SUPERCEDING / SECOND INDICTMENT.

AS THE COURT RECORDS SHOW IN H-88-1600, D.S. D. C. HOUSTON, ZANI V. COLLINS
THE U.S.S.R. KILLED SANTE BARIO AND GOT AWAY WITH IT. IF INDIVIDUALS IN THE
FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS DID NOT EITHER KNOW OR BELIEVE THAT, AND
A LOT MORE, INCLUDING (BUT NOT LIMITED TO) THE STRONG BELIEF THAT THE BARIO-ZANT
FALSE CHARGES AND COVERUPS ARE THEMSELVES RELATED TO THE RUBY-CSWAD-JFK
MATTER/COVERUP/CONSPIRACY, DO YOU THINK THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TURNED TAIL
AND RAN FOR ITS LIFE WHEN IT BECAME AWARE I WAS GOTNIG TO RUN C-80-51-S AND
TESTIFY? DROP A CAPITAL CASE AND FLEE? THE EXACT SAME IS TRUE OF TRAVIS COUNTY,
WHICH ONCE FLED OUT OF FEAR OF MY TESTIMONN, AND WHICH KNEW THE ONLY WAY I
COULD EVER BE FALSELY SENT TO PRISON WAS TO BLOCK MY TESTIMONN: ILLEGALLY,
CORRUPTLY, DISHONESTLY. DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW MANY JUDGES — STATE AND
FEDERAL — COURT RECORDS SHOW HAVE TAKEN A POWDER IN THE BARIO-ZANI MATTER
OVER IS YEARS? ABSOLUTELY SHOCKING. CORPUPTION AND DISHONESTY UNLIMITED.
WHAT TRUTHS ARE THESE PEOPLE DEDICATED TO HIDING? TO COVERING UP?

NO ONE WHOSE NAME HAS EVER EVEN BEEN MENTIONED RELATIVE TO THE BARTO CASE, THE RURY-OSCUALD-JFK CASE, AND A COUPLE OF OTHER CASES HAS ANYTHING EVEN REMOTELY CLOSE TO SUCH A COURT RECORD AS I DO. AND FOR GOOD REASON. THERE MORE THAN HALF A DOZEN COURT RECORDS AROUND, JUST AS OR MORE SHOCKING, WHEN STUDIED CAREFULLY. E.G. ZANI V. COLLINS, A-92-CA-683, U.S. D. C. AUSTIN, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, ZANI V. WILLIAMS, SA-83-2112-CA, U.S. D. C. SAN ANTONIO, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, ETC.

IF YOU HAVE NOT READ PAGE H-619 OF THE CONCRESSIONAL RECORD OF FEARVARY 16, 1993, YOU SHOULD. IT'S VERY HELPFUL. THAT (BARIO) SPEECH WAS MADE ABOUT 8 MONTHS AFTER THE TRIAL OF H-88-1600, U.S.D.C. HOUSTON, ZANI V. COLLINS, MAY 4-5, 1992. VERY INTERESTING.

INSTEAD OF A PULITZER PRIZE, SOVIET AGENT KELLY FERD LAID A PULLET SURPRISE. FERD, THE ALDRICH AMES OF TEXAS, IN FACT WROTE A LIBEL-SLANDER BOOK TO COVERUP THIS ENTIRE MATTER, WHICH INCLUDES THE MURDER OF BARIO (CONCRESSIONAL RECORD) AND OTHERS. THAT'S JUST HOW HEAVY AND IMPORTANT IT IS.

MATS JUST WHY THE COVERNMENT TWICE FLED FROM THE COURTROOM.

SEVERNLJUDGES COULD OPEN THIS MATTER UP TOMORROW VIS A VIS MATTERS PENDING BEFORE/IN THEIR GURTS. THEY WON'T; THEY'RE SCARED.

CONSPIRACY

A MUCH OVERUSED AND MISUNDEPSTOOD WORD, PARTICUARLY ITS LEGAL DEFINITION IN PRACTICAL TERMS, AS OPPOSED TO WHAT ONE GENERALLY THINKS.

WHAT DUES THE LAW SAY?

1. "ALMOUGH IT TAKES TWO TO CONSPIRE, A PLAINTIFF DOES NOT HAVE TO PROVE WITH WHOM DEFENDANT CONSPIRED, HE NEED ONLY PROVE THAT DEFENDANT JUINED A GREEMENT ALLEGED, NOT THE GROUP."

U.S. V. TOWNSBUD, 924 F.2d 1385 (7 TH CIR. 1991)

2. "CONSPIRATORS ARE <u>RESPONSIBLE</u> FOR ACTS COMMITTED BY COCONSPIRATORS IN FURTHER ANCE OF CONSPIRACY."

U.S. V. PARKIN, 917 F.Zd 313 (7TH CIR, 1990)

3. "CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IS SUFFICIENT TO PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF A CONSPIRACY. AN AGREEMENT MAY BE INFERRED FROM CONCERT OF ACTION.

AMONG THE ALLEGED PARTICIPANTS. AND VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION

MAY BE INFERRED FROM A COLLOCATION OF CIRCUMSTANCES."

U.S. U. THORN, 917 F. 28 170 (STM CIRCUIT, 1990.)

Roleans Zami

ROBERT J. ZANI NO. 328938 MICHAEL UNIT, 8B-70 RT. 1, BOX 4500 TENNESSEE COLONY, TEXAS 75886

DATED: APRIL 24, 1995.

RABERTY ZANI NO. 528958 MICHAEL UNIT, 8C-4/ RT. BOX 4500 TENNESSEE COLNY, TEXAS 75886



MAN Y

屋田

I POL

WHAT

問題

经验

BHUM

學出

·

30 OME 2003 W THE COMM MI 地開 網盤 16 MRIMHAROLD 7年 1995 ER 遊問 豳 -國 山田 國 STORY . 總持 型語 物語 地址 期到 經濟 MO. A SECOND

100

No.

FREDERICK, MD. 21701

開始

1

TOWN