GENERAL INMATE CORRESPONDENCE •TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE - INSTITUTIONAL

н 1.290 (07/93) DIVISION

Kr. Robert J. Zani, \#328933
Hichael Unit 8C-41
Rt. 1 Box 4500
Tennessee Lolony, IXX 75306
Dear ${ }^{\mathrm{HI}_{r}}$. Zeni,
Your letter was postmarked October 13 and rached me today.
It was caneelled at TTYyler, the zip beginning 757.
I'm sorry there is nothin, I can do to help you. In out of epaltact with any who micht. It has not been safe for me to drive out of Frederick for two decades and I have not. !hen I've been out of town it was to be iriven to hospitals for medical consultations and surgeries. As + indicated earlier I spend all the time I can on writing and then to restrict my interests to that now.

You mention Nagell. While I have no doubt he hed had intelligence connections I also have no doubt that his story abouf robing that bank to have an alibi because he knew the assassination was coming is a lie.
kmong the matters in comroofwth all the assassination stories is that those who make them up lack the knowledge to avpid significant errors of fact.

Nagell also fails on that score.
There remains a sort of mystery about all this. Aftter he served his time he went to East Germany and was picked up. His release was arranged by a lawyer who had in tho past handed suchn matters for the CIA, Ricey WeW, of Washington, DG.

I could have interviewed ${ }^{\text {aggell }}$ while he was in jail. I believe $/$ it would have been a waste of time and didn $t$.

Sofiry I can $t$ be of help. But I wish you wjat seems unlikely, goodluck!


The envelope had a littile printed slip in it reading "GLNELAL IMMATE CORUZSPOMDENCE
 was no longer censored

October 8ith 1995
mr. Harolo weisberg
RT, H12
FREDERICK, MD. 21701
RE: TiNE BABTD-ZANI matIER.
Rubt-OSWAL - JFK
Enclosures, all federal Court filfo.
MR. WEISBERG:
I am Segking help and assistance in opening up dte Barto-Zanil manter.
Sante barid was a very high poliered Dea-Cia emplotee -operalive whito was murdered in titis Country, in Texas, in 1978-1979. He was murdered by/Ttrough tite uSSr. His murder by tie USSR, in titis Cuuntry, is ite only federal Court Recurded usSr political murder on american soil, Tu date. It has been coveres Lp lienuily and exiensively. According to undisputiol uncintested federal court Recoras in tite Same case (includide transcript), H-88-lboo, U.S. D. C. Houston, 2 ani v. Colluns (a civil case i wan on all points), itere are federal (alu enforcement Pereonnel who believe, on evidenke, uita New Orlenns, Atat inte Bario- Zanic coverup is (inked to Dik RUBH-OSLuALD - JFK matier. (Even Though, as you well knaw, ite official position of Tie DoJ, Fbi, amo texas Law enforcement is mat OSWmLD actas mlune, ruby actis alone, ano that tiere was no conspiracy ana no Coverup.) Dikre are at Least 4 Secret bario-Znni files, one of whicit is Sa-10-1313, FBI San Antonio, wilteh Runs almost 1, ooo pages, and tocches on a uariety of ma ters, including Ruby- colunlaJFK.

If You are not well familetar with Sante Bario, There is a fair Amant of informaidn in Severrl Congressional recoros (Paritculnely FEb, 16, 1993, page H-619) and Fatal Dreams by Joanne Bario, Dite Dial Press, 1985. Dhte official Position of the doJ. Fbi, and state law enforcement is that Bario died an "accidential deatyl." Those rare tite same peorle who offictalcy sais for 23 Years diat henry marstiall (a USDA Emplotee nano paliticil assassina tion, reporiedy) was a "TExas suicide."! I woulo guess \%al mre frmlisar witi itie noturias and infamais madshall case, So you knkw that wh 1984, 23 *abs afier ine fact, a TExas Grmo Juey Fand that indefd marshall had been murderea, and itat it would have been impassible For him to have commities suicide in the manner statea. State amo fiederal officials quietil abandones ithe official cuuerup-LIE Cunspiracy, becnuse in 1984 IT becrame officially murder - Ruinds climaxfa by Jude Loury's ruling in Austin. much has beeni waitens. at least in texas, hocut itte instructile marshill case amd ite Preposieras officlal Cies am couerups Foisiiza off onto dite Public.

For barto- Zant connections/Links (SOME of item) including a Serifs of deadly unprosecuiea poisoninos, one of them in die middle of my smie trial.

$$
\downarrow(\text { cover }) \downarrow
$$

(march 1981), and Proof of int false charges deliberately files against me, and bario, See Zany. Collins, A-92-CA-683, U.S.D.C. AUSTIN (FEDERAL HABEAS Corpus) - a Case and matier witich the federal Courts nav federal system Have Conirnualy refused to Handle and Lied/ Lies with abandon to avoid am EVADE. DHE FULLRECORA IN A-92-CA-683 IS Beyond tie pale. (OTter Bario-Zans Links / Connections, tnalluing Carlos marcelo, SOU tern mexico, Fureicn Embassies, etc. are in otter (curt records.) Just prior tu becoming director of the fbi, as Chief Judge for tie Luestern district of Texas, Judge William S. Sessions took a Powder on die barto-2ant matier (november 1986) in 2 ant vi. Lutllitams, Sa-83-2112-Ca, U.S. D. C. San Antonio, on te record.

In te Court record in Ty-91-108-CA, Zany v. Raines, U.S. d. Ci TYler, a Panel of the dis. Court of Appeals for inter fifth Circuit makes it very clear on the record, Dat die Bario-Zani matter (directly adoressea) ana related MATERS ARE/WILL BE OFF LIMITS AND NOT TO BE CONSIDERED EVER IN DIE Fifth Circuit - and Die Curt Falsified Sancilans. Anciticr cart record simply be fond te pale. Remembering, Simultaneously, that tile Fifit Circuit DISMISSED CARLOS MARCFLLO'S LAST CONVICTION AND SET HIM FREE. DIS. V. MARCELO, 876 Fad 1147 (Sm (TR. 1989).

No one you know about con match Tote "nagell LImier", enclosed. THose fact tod ARE EASIU VERIFIABLE.

AHE most difficult intel, mr. Wetsberg, is knowing where to begin, especially to make tidings easily coherent.

Straightforlarody, I have cindy one final conviction EUER, end inNocence is obvious hEREIN.

The Enclosures are Court files and Sworn to; no U.S attorney will challenge hen in Court.

If you are in ierestes, or might be, wail You please let me know. If not, wales you Kindly put me wt burt with someone with migitbe and cullahelp. Some knouloace of
 Help. (You undersimad mm WRIting From in PRISoN.)
THANK You.

## Sincerely,

Roberts Rani
No. 328938
MiCHAEL UNIT, $8 C-41$
RT. 1, BaX 4500
TENNESSEE COLONY, TEXAS 75886
P.S. I Went to School with several interesting people including mike Mc Cormick (presiding Judge, texas Court of (ruminal appeals), linda bird Juhinson rob, Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison, Etc. Joined phi alpha theta with Linda bird. Was on tee staff of tie University of TEXAS, AUSTIN (1965-1967) as a TransLator FOR DIE LIBRARY SYSTEM. ALSO WORKED WITH CLOSED COLLECTIONS (ARCHIVES) Bi .A. 1967

# Flued in Federal Cuibi: <br> Austin <br> Haston <br> TYLER <br> NELL ORLFANS 

May 31, 1992

Hon. Ronald F. Ederer
United States Attorney
Western District of Texas
A-601 Hemisfair Plaza
727 E. Durango Blvd.
San Antonio, TX 78206
Re: Ganucheau letter (enclosed). Bario-Zani matter.
Mr. Ederer:
Enclosed is a copy of a letter (4 [handwritten] pages) sent to Mr. Gilbert F. Ganucheau, Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, dated May 19, 1992. It concerns a long running matter, the Bario-Zani matter, in state courts, U.S. district courts within the Fifth Circuit and including the Fifth Circuit itself. Vis a vis the enclosure I recently testified in federal court $<$ for approximately 12 hours on May 4-5, 1992. As the enclosure correctly and explicitly states:
A. The State of Texas conceded (previously) that the same people ultimately responsible for the set-up and murder of federal law enforcement agent Sante Bario (DEA-CIA) were the same people who were responsible for setting me up, false prosecution, fictitious stories, silencing me, etc.
B. The State of Texas conceded that Sante Bario was, ultimately, "murdered by employees of the U.S.S.R." (Bario died in San Antonio in 1979.)

Although such matters were certainly in-court conceded, as specified in the Ganucheau letter (Soviet murder and vicarious prosecutions in America and coverups), the State's argument is that the State and its employees have been/are loyal proxies for the Soviet government, and that, although such a government no longer exists, I was prosecuted by and am being held by, vicariously, the Soviet government and individuals loyal to that government. Tragically, the State of Texas "merely" took 12 years to concede the truth, during which time certain people have lied prolifically, have acted only to cover up, which has included, inter alia, the deliberate creation of false, cover up, set up situations. The State's in-court concessions have farreaching implications. Succinctly, the State admits Bario was murdered, ultimately by the Soviet Union, that there was no/will be no prosecution, that the State of Texas prosecuted me as a Soviet proxy ploy to cover up the allimportant Bario case--and that there is nothing wrong with all that! Nothing wrong with covering up the War For Drugs, poisonings, Soviet murders, and Soviet proxy prosecutions in America.

I have advised the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the U.S. Department of Justice--Civil Rights Division--and many others of the State's in-court concessions, including the murder of Sante Bario in San Antonio, Texas--1978-79 by the U.S.S.R.

Inadvertently or advertently, the State has revealed in court, 12 years
late, precisely why the State has been "unable" to release me.
As the enclosed Ganucheau letter accurately states, I have volunteered
to appear unconditionally before any federal grand jury concerning this--or any related--matter; and I know of several state employees who should be

Re: part 19 of the Ganucheau letter, page 4, the reason I was not
allowed to testify at "my own" state trial was that, in part, the state court could not handle the subject of my innocence. Two (2) federal courts have passed ruling on the issue, as part 19 accurately states.

The Bario case has certain similarities to the notorious Henry $H$. Marshall case in Texas, 1961-1984, but is much more expansive than the Marshall case, and the State has already conceded/confessed a "fix" in the Bario coverup.

The Bush Administration may (or may not) be in office in January 1993. This may well be the only real opportunity this administration has to open and air out this matter. Now is an ideal time in light of the State's in-court concessions. Otherwise, this unique situation will be left to another administration or an anti-drug judge. I ant ready, willing and able to appear before your grand jury at any time--no holds barred.

Sincerely,


No. 328939
Michael Unit, $12 \mathrm{E}-19$
Rt. 1, Box 4500
Tennessee Colony, TX 75886
p.S. In order perforce to coverup this entire situation, including the War For Drugs, enumerated poisonings, murders and other matters, I understand a "Mr. Fero" has written a libelous book about me. And although I have only one final conviction ever wherein innocence is obvious, Fer had the brazen effrontery to call his book, "The Rani Murders." While I don't know if, appropriately, the author was paid in rubles, cocaine, or both, the purpose of his book has now been revealed in court by the state of Texas; pure Soviet coverup propaganda. That foreign governments commit murder, etc., is well known; that they have done so conspiratorially in the past in America is known fact: Orlando Letelier, Ronni Moffit, etc. Faro should be the first witness federally subpoenaed to testify, under strict penalties of perjury, before a grand jury in the Bario-Zani matter. He will hide behind the First Amendment. He should be forced to answer for his imaginative pack of lies, his twisted fantasies made profitable, and his seedy pimping for Soviet murders and various, vicious, vicarious prosecutions.

A thorough but incomplete list of Nero's inmate and jail and prison snitches/tricks is on file in federal court in Tyler, Texas. It is not known how much they were paid-rewarded for their inaccurate information, or their relationship with any Texas attorney general.

Note: I have read copied portions of Nero's trash. He cannot hold up before a grand jury. His "book" is a lie a page. Considering the fact the State has conceded in court that Baric was "murdered"--Fero's full motivation (and that of others) has been unveiled.

See: Final Dreams by June. Baric, Die Dial Press, 1985.

May 19, 1992
Hon. Gilbert F. Ganucheaid, Clerk
U. S. Court of Appeals

Fifth Circuit
600 Camp street, Room 100
New Orleans, LA 70130

Re: Civil causes No. 91-4823 (Raines), No. 91-4570 (White), No. 91-4810 (Berryhill) (Decided in that order)

Dear Mr. Ganucheau:
The above three (3) cases were recently ruled on by the Fifth Circuit Court. Apparently those cases were not assigned on any sort of a rotating basis, but were all three (3) assigned to the same panel. Why was that done? I was under the impression that cases in the Fifth Circuit were assigned on a random/rotating basis. Due to what was said in these opinions, as well as what was neither said nor addressed, (omission), I want to know why such a case assignment was made, specifically, and by whom? It certainly seems as though Judge Jolly wrote the "Per Curiam" opinions in these cases, one of which is fantastic-as in fantasy. Since there were two (2) conflicting decisions issued simultaneously in No. 91-4823. I will not take that case up further in detail in this letter. (All decisions were issued per curiam.)

Also, I would like to know why the name of Judge Jolly, denying appointment of counsel, was blocked out on papers sent to me?

And, I would like to know if the special assignment of these cases to a Jackson, Miss., judge was in connection with the simultaneous resignation of the Hon. Charles Clark, Chief Judge, Jackson, Miss.?

Because I am going to the Administrative office of the U.S. Courts, again, and the D.O.J. Office of Professional Responsibility, again, and because I am going to ask that these matters be thoroughly examined, I am stating that I believe such actions, including the decisions in these cases, were, particularly $91-4810$ and other previous decisions, made in retaliation for just criticism, whistleblowing, and the mere mentioning of the Sante Bario case.

BACKGROUND

1. The first time $I$ was in the Fifth Circuit, I did not prevail, precisely, but I did receive justice--a decade ago--from Judges late, Gee, and Garza.
2. However, in 1985, in causes No. 84-1005 and No. 84-2434 and No. $85-1216$, it became readily apparent that something was seriously amiss in the Fifth circuit, cases wherein relief was denied simply because of the non-payment of filing fees by one
obviously and indisputably indigent. That was totally wrong. Such actions were deliberately taken, so noted, and were a sad preview of coming attractions. Such actions delineated in stone Fifth Circuit Court Policy toward me. My repeated protests fell and have fallen on deaf ears. Concerning the two (2) remaining cases referred to above, Judge Jolly's uncalled-for bombast, vitriol and evasiveness, particularly in 91-4810 is simply more gasoline on a fire which has been long burning; the egregiousness and enormous enormity of this peculiar situation is astonishing. Such an overall court policy, is, unveiled, nothing short of spite work and hate. Judge Jolly's use of the phrase "bald assertion" is belied by a long look at the entire record, my situation, and the Sante Bario case/matter.
3. Case after case, before and after No. 84-1005, 84-2434, and 85-1216 leave no doubt of a defacto policy; certainly districts courts and the Texas Attorney General's office have "picked up on" and understood this policy.
4. In 1986, in cases SA-83-2112-CA (San Antonio) and A-85-CA-477 (Austin) the Texas Attorney General took two (2) directly contradictory positions con erning me and my state case. The U.S. District Courts in those cases both accepted the Texas Attorney General's positions and dismissed! I could not have " 2 final convictions" and "no final conviction" ever. The TAG used the true fact that I had no final conviction ever to get A-85-CA-477 dismissed.
5. In 1986 in case SA-83-2112-CA, the State of Texas conceded that the people ultimately responsible for the silencing and murder of Sante Bario were the same people responsible for the dishonest/wrongful prosecution of me, for silencing me in court, and for sending me wrongfully/falsely to prison.
6. In May 1992, in cause $\mathrm{H}-88-1600$ (Houston) when presentation of testimony and evidence, the state of Texas conceded that Sante Bario, a DEA employee and CIA operative in Mexico was murdered in the Bexar Co. Jail, San Antonio, by ultimately, employees and agents of the U.S.S.R. (1979).
7. The state prosecutor who wrongfully prosecuted me in state court in 1981 was later busted by the DEA; again, not a "bald assertion", but raw fact. The in rem case is published in the Fed. Supplement and Fed. Reporter. Joseph A. Turner.
8. Two (2) of the witnesses against me at my state trial, in 1981, Ocampo and Garcia, whose testimony was irrelevant, and whose "immaculate character and superb citizenship" (in Mexico) was vouched for by the Travis County District Attorney, in 1986 turned out to be 1981 self-identified members of the same group identified and prosecuted in the murder of DEA agent Enrique Camarena Salazar, a DEA employee in Mexico--a widely publicized case, and a case once in the U.S. Supreme Court. A matter which was the subject of a variety of prosecutions and millions of dollars expended.
9. At virtually the mere mentioning of the Sante Bario matter state and federal courts have dismissed, affirmed, and/or refused to so much as receive filing. If I had been allowed to testify at "my" state trial, allowed to call my witnesses, self-
representation, etc., or if courts hadn't shut me off prior to 1986, would Mr. Camarena Salazar still be alive today?

According to Judge Jolly, it is unimportant/"Irrelevant" how Sante Bario met his death (No. 91-4810, "Regardless of how Sante Bario met his demise . . .")

Regardless of the federal Court fact that he was an innocent federal employee ultimately murdered by the Soviet Union--employees--living in Mexico?

Judge Jolly implies that there is no factual difference between natural death and murder. Has he and will he be so theoreticaliy generous with criminal appellants in his court? I have never personally alleged sante Bario met his death via "poisoned peanut butter." Judge Jolly errs. I have stated under oath that his poisoning was one of an enumerated series of poisonings, non-prosecuted poisonings, which took place over a short period of time, including one which took place in the middle of my state trial; the poisoning of state witness Mr. Mogoyne, Sr., which was so "timely" as to eliminate his being cross-examined by the defense. On appeal the state court erroneously stated that court force--appointed counsel did not move for a "mistrial" after the successful poisoning-elimination of the witness to prevent deliberately his cross examination. Thus they wrongfully killed the issue.
10. Judge Jolly--and the District Court--did not dispute that on June 8, 1990, the TDC confiscated: 1) my Sante Bario file, 2) classified government documents, 3) documents relating to my state trial "innocence" claim which I had/have in court. (Cause 91-4810 was about the TDC's admitted seizure of legal documents/materials from me.) The relevance of the Sante Bario file to the papers seized is prima facie obvious: It was part of them. In 91-4810 Judge Jolly found the seized Sante Bario file "irrelevant" to the documents seized! Why?
11. Judge Jolly went to some research length to mention the legal Ben-Veniste reference anent the Sante Bario case. However, Judge Jolly failed to mention, in any way, just what court sante Bario's emergency bond appeal cause was pending in when he was murdered (The Fifth Circuit). Judge Jolly also failed to mention that evidence uncovered after Bario's death established his innocence.
12. Judge Jolly in his discussion of the Bario matter failed to state that the Bario family had publically raised the issue of possible judicial corruption as lending itself to the Bario murder. Although such statements to not jibe with my personal knowledge, the Bario family, not $I$, have raised them. Publically.
13. It takes a heap $0^{\prime}$ malice to sanction someone for something non only not on the record, but not recorded anywhere! In order to approve sanctions by the District Court, Judge Jolly did just
that in 91-4810. And says so. (The District Court sanctions were
for sending for filing a 3 -page document concerning--and only concerning--the Sante Bario case/file. Totally relevant to the documents seized by the Texas Prison system.
14. Judge Jolly's decisions, carefully examined, are right in line with clecisions dating back to 1984-85. There is a Fifth Circuit Court policy against me, a sub silento policy in de facto effect. Sadly, it is an intellectual dishonesty comparable to the fiscal dishonesty of U.S. District Judge Robert Collins, convicted in the District Court in the Eastern District of Louisiana, New orleans.
15. Judge Jolly has, according to the full record in 91-4810, approved of the elimination of information/facts concerning: The Sante Bario case, classified government documents, evidence relative/relevant to my factual innocence concerning my state case.
16. According to state and federal court records (no "bald assertion"), the only witness court force-appointed counsel presented in "my" state defense, who testified at length in/on my behalf, was later a major person in the investigation and prosecution of the assassin of the Hon. John H. Wood, Jr., Chief Judge, Western District of Texas. (Dr. Richard Garver) Dr. Garver was not on my state witness list.
17. According to papers/documents filed in the Fifth Circuit, in a lengthy Title 28 Sect. 372 complaint and affidavit, dismissed by Chief Judge Clark on Auqust 23, 1990, if it had not been for my quick and decisive actions, in times past, either one or two Article III judges would have been dead long ago. Those two (2) judges are still on the federal bench. Judge Kazen and Judge De Anda. Sadly, both judges were Democratically appointed--and the Jolly panel was all Republican appointed. (My Title $28 \mathrm{sec} t .372$ complaint pointed to the belief that "The Fifth Circuit well has been poisoned against me and my litigation." That factually supported belief, dismissed on August 23, 1990, has proven again and again to be fact.)
18. Cause No. 91-4330 was dismissed in both the District Court and the Fifth Circuit because: It was a Habeas Corpus petition filed on Habeas Corpus forms. There are several other decisions just as egregious including No. 89-12366 and 88-1590.
19. I have had the issue/claim of "a colorable showing of factual innocence" in two (2) federal courts. It has not been ruled on on its merits. It is again pending.

```
20. It is true and correct that in December of 1982, in case CRF-
81-3065 in State District Court in Oklahoma (dismissed) I
discussed under oath, and in part "bribe paid to judges and
magistrates." Two (2) instances were discussed at length.
Unfortunately, the media broadcast their version all over, and I
heard from people in other states about it. The media failed to
```

mention, for some strange reason, that all such gratuities/farors, etc., took place, as described, in Central America, and that such "gratuitities" have been/are a way of life.

Thank you in advance for answering my questions. I volunteer to appear before any Federal Grand Jury concerning this matter which is much more extensive and sad than I have related, including a Federal Grand Jury in New Orleans.

Anent litigation, at this point, all things considered, the overall record is so unbelievably bad, I know that the only justice possible is out-of-circuit.

I do wonder why the Fifth Circuit is so desperate to keep me wrongfully in prison.

Sincerely,


Robert J. Rani
No. 328938
Michael Unit, 12E-19
Rt. 1, Box 4500
Tennessee Colony, TX 75886

Copy: Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Office of Professional Responsibility, Dept. of Justice
U.S. Attorney, New Orleans, Louisiana

Director, Federal Judicial Center
U.S. Attorney, San Antonio, Texas
U.S. Marshal, Houston, Texas

Senator Joseph R. Biden, Jr.
U.S. Marshal, San Antonio, Texas

Drug Enforcement Administration, Houston
U.S. Marshal, Tyler
U.S. U. MARCELLO. 876 2d 1147 (SNT (IR. 1989) REVERSED RAM DISMISSED.

Truth is Stranger than fiction

1. He was officially Charged with 102 murders: men, women, CHIILDREN.
2. He was tried in march of 1971 , in the State of Georgia.
3. He wuss convicted of 22 Premeditated murders.
4. He lias sentences and went to Prison.
 on ila Same appeal le had a future U.S. Attorney Geveral, Griffin B. Bell.
5. After a total of five (S) Years in Jail/Prison, he was Released ON PAROLE IN IOS!
6. He was never convicted of anointer felony again.
7. YOU CAN LOOK IT UP.

WHO?
(See The Back)

## ANSWER

1. His name is luillitam (. Galley, Jr.
2. His trial took place in Ft. Bending, Ga.

The facts are as described.
3. You can rem the case in a law Library. Galley v, Callowly, si9Fizd 184 (STH (IR, 1975)
In his dissent, future U.S. Amorney General Griffin B. Bell IDEALISTICALLY WROTE:
"One of tie underlying Principles of American JURISPRUDENCE IS TAT NO MAN OR INSTITUTION IS Above the Call."
SO - Now You know and Forever know what happens to the assuredly Guile If ENOUGH Polities are involved.
as for what happens (Just the opposite) to the assuredly innocent if evough politics are involved, you can also look that up. It's much more difficult because one must dig Through tile coverups, Halfetruths, and other Les. Interestingly enough, its Curt record In A-92-CA-683, D.S.D.C. Austin, Zaniv. Collins, is instructive, incisive, Decisive. meanlurile -
"... a statement by Kenneth P. Waler, a retired head of the FBI's Detroit field office, To Die Effect that Although he knew wino had murdered Jimmy Hoffa, there Would never be a Prosecution Because of te Gouemument's un willingness to disclose confidential Sources." DICKERSON V. DEPT, of JUSTILE , 992 F.2d 1426 ( 6 mt (IR. 1993 )

The Government is no longer tie people. It's (state ana federal) refusal to dis close vast amounts of information, Gathered at Taxpayer expense, lets the Guilty go free, incarcerates tie innocent, aids anu abets, Perpetrates ana perpetuates Misinformation, disinformation, Half- Truths, coverups, frauds and hoaxes ana DELIBERATE CONFUSION.
and - there is no better example Than tie Barto- Zany matter, tie ruby-oscuald-JFK mater, some monumental coverups; alintough vital facts ana ACCURATE INFORMATION WAS/IS EXISTING, AND OFTEN AVAILABLE.

## The Anatony of a Proven, high level, high Powerfd Conspiracy.

(The bartio - Zani matier, the rubX oswald-Jfk matier, and oder maters as well.)
Those who are famiitar with tie Rubl-oswald-JFk matrer and segment of U.S. History, Know the name Richard Case NaGell. Much has been writen about NaCElL, HIS CONVICTION IN THE LUESTERN (FEDERAL) DiSTRICT OF TEXAS, AND THE D.S. Government's attempts to silence him arlo "puthim away." Some intieresting things about Nagell are irrefutable.
But, when ide U.S. Government halled nagell to Colrt they Said "Let's Get him." and did. Luhen The D.S. Guvernment hauled me to Court, diey Pausea, and said "OH shat. Let's Get!" And they are still running.

It never has ame never intll get any heavier than that.

$$
(-80-51-5
$$

(-80-Si-S was a federal Criminal Capital charge brought in 1980 in tite Sountern District of Texas, Corpus CHrisis Division. Dte file is public recurd. the Case was originally assigned to Judge Ouen cox ana U.S. magistrate De Ases. The case was Stlled (Titled) the United States of America v. Rgbert Joseph Zant. Two (2) defferent attorney's were assigned to me in [-80-Si-S; the Last one Was removed by ite Court on July 22 , 1981.
A major hearing was Held on Juiy 27, 1981 , with Judoe James De Anda (BRownsville-houston) Presiding, ama John R. Corr, Brounvville, as Court repurter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THE TRanscrapt-page } 5 \\
& \text { "The Court: So I wouln strongly urge you to permit yur present counsel } \\
& \text { To conitine representing you. But if you get cuvictied you } \\
& \text { ARE GOING TO BE ITE DNE WHO IS GUINGG TO DO TIE TIME ANA NNT } \\
& \text { HIM, SO You HIVE A RIGIT UNDER DKE CONSTITUDION TO RERRESENT } \\
& \text { YUUESELF IF You WISH ..." } \\
& \text { time tranlscript- page } 6 \\
& \text { "Defendant: I want to represent myself, hanos down. } \\
& \text { The COURT : YOL ARE NEW YOUR OWN ATÓRNEY." } \\
& \text { Tite TRANSCRJPT- PaGE } 8 \\
& \text { "Die Court: Your decorum in the Courtroom has been courteous, ana i don't } \\
& \text { agree with your Decision to represent yurself. but tien tiat } \\
& \text { duesn't mean anyiting except cue Just disagree about that." }
\end{aligned}
$$

From tiat Puinton, no atueney was assigned to C-80-si-s. In december of 1981, I urn tiat Cause. (See me Court Recurds, Sigmeaby Judge Head.) It was ite Secona time in six (6)montis I had luon prose in Guut against die Uinitea States of America.

$$
\downarrow(\text { Cover }) \downarrow
$$

At that Point I became, and apparenty still am, Dhe Only Living non-Lawter American (OUT of 260 million individuals) wiho has won a federral capjtal case, Sans COUNSEl. No attorney luhatsuever.

YET - NARY a WORD ABOUT THAT APPEARGD IN IUE MEDIA. UHYNOT? ESPECIALLY after all the pretrial printed malarkey. Court records tell their oun story; but SOME MUKH Prefer to accept tite Illlusion and deny the reality.

The Reaisfy is that once ink United States of America discoverea that i was Going
 LAW REQUTRES - DE ENTIRE CDVERNMENT TURED TAIL ANE RAN FOR COVER. THEY Fled die Scene. I have been in Courts where ite Judge (normayy) and/ar the Prosecutar and /Or amtarneys Triea to block, eliminate, or severely (init my TESTIMONT. "TESTIMONY" IS THE KEY WORD. ALLWA'S HAS BEEN, ALWAYS WILL BE. "Aha-Creymail!" You say. That's a very overrated, overuseo word. IMbstly by the mass media. The Guvernment didn 'T bat an eye Prusecuting Richara Nagell; nor manuel Noriega - ite President of a Soverign country with loads of high Level secrets.
 bat an eye and Prosecuted Him Several times! fad infininum. De Couerrnment Said "Luf Luelcome teur Testimuny. Speak Freecy!" So much for Greymatl.

But inen I show il in fedfral Court and die Government staris to Shrt ano go blino. DIAT'S HEAVY; Dte LIKES OF wHICH YOU DO NOT IMAGINE. YET.

IN AN/ CASE DIAT HASMI NAME ON IT, CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, YOU SIMPCY READ DIE RECUR To See who is Trying to Block my IEsitmony, To KEep me out of Court. BLock,
STLfle, Prevent TESTIMony.
The Federal Court in the Luestern district of Texas (Austin - Judoe Nouitin) Long AGO DETERMINED TO RLOCK TESTIMONY, ANO HINS LIED ON THE RECORD TO DO JUST THAT. Recoros from my smate cunviction, Cong challenges in federal Court in Ausinn, Zant V. Collins, A-92-Ca-683 (Federal habeas corpus) Proves BeVono any possible dougT That if I hifd been allowid to testify at my state trial, I coulص nuthave been CONVICTED. The Judge kNows That. A-92-CA-683 also establishes actualFactual innocence. Travis Couniy (Austin) itself Dismissed (ause 60,003 (1980) tite State of Texas v. Rubert Joseph Zane because I personauly made it knolun in Court I was going to testify. SimRle as that. (all delineated in a-92-(A-683) Later, in cause 61,076, Travis County, afier determining dte only way to Convict me was to Block and Prevent my testimony , Dert was done.

TESTIMONY. IT'S ALL ABOUT TESTIMONN.

```
C-80-51-5
    C= CGRPUS CHRISTI
    80=1980
    SI = DTE CASE NUMBER
    S = A SUBSEQUENT/SUPERCEDINE/SECOND INDICTMENT.
```

As the Colurt recoros Show in H-88-1600, D.S. D. C. Houston, Zani v. Collins THe U.S.S.R. Killed Sante Bario and Got aluay with it. If individuals in thé Federnl and state Governments did not either know or belteve That, ano A Lot more, including (but not (imitea to) ite Strong belief Dhat Det Barto-Zant False Charges and Covfrups are ithemselves relatea to ine ruby-oswan - Jfk MATER/COVERUP/CONSPIRACY, DO YUU THINK DIE GUVERNMENT WOULDHAVE TURIVES TAIL ANA RAN FOR ITS LIFE WHIEN IT BECAME AWARE I wAS GOTNG TO RUN C-80-SI-S aNA TESIIFY? DRop a CAPITAL CASE and FLEE? Tife Exact Same is true of Travis County, WHICH ONLE FLED OUT OF FEAR OF MY TESTIMONV, AND WHICH KNEW THE ONLY WAY I CoULD EUER bE FALSELY SENT TO PRISON WAS TO BLOCKMM TESTIMONY: IlleGAlly, Corruptly, dishonestly. Do You have any idea how many Judges - Sitate and Federal - Court recurds show Have inken a powder in die Bario- Zani mange OVER IS YEARS ? ABSOLUTELY SHOCKING. CORRUPTION ANE DISHONESTY UNITMITED. what truths are itese people dedicatad to hiding? To covering vp?

No ONE WHOSE NAME HAS EVER EVEN BEEN MENTIONED RELATIVE TO DTE BARTO CASE, THE RUBY-OSWAD-JFK CASE, AND A COUPLE OF ODAER CASES HAS ANYITING EVEN
REMOTEUY CLOSE TO SUCH A REMOTEX CLOSE TO SUCH A COURT RECORD AS I DO. AND FOR GOOD REASON. DERE are more man half a dozen Ccurt recoros around, Just as or more shocking, WUIEN STUDIED CARE FULLY. E. G. ZANI V. COLLINS, A.92-CA-683, U.S. D. C. AUSTIN, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, ZANI V. WILLIAMS, SA-83-2112-CA, U.S. D. C. SAN AnTONID, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, ETC.

If you have nut read page H-619 of dhe Congeessional Record of ferruary ilo, 1993 , YuI) SHoIAD. It'S VERY HELPFUL. THAT (BARIO) SPEECH WAS MADE ABOLT 8 MONTIS AFTER THE TRIAL OF H-88-1600, U.SD.C. HOUSTUN, ZANI V. COLLIUS, MAY $4-5$,
1992 VERY IN IERESTING.

Instead of a Pulitzgr Prize, Soviet Agent Kelly Fero Latn a Pullet surprese.
 ENTIRE MATER, WIIICH INCLUDES TIE MURDER OF BARIO (CUNCEESSTCNAL RECORD) AND ONAGRS. That'S JUST HOW HEAVY AND Imbortant IT IS.

Dlat's Just why die Guvernment twice Flè Fram Die Courtroom.
SEVERML JUDCES COULD OPEN NIS MAIER UP TOMORROL」 VIS Á VIS MATERS Pending befure/indieir Curis. They won't; They're Scared.

## CONSPIRACY

A MUCH OUERUSED AND MISUNDERSTOUD WORD, PARIICLIARLY ITS LEGAL DE FINI ITON IN PRACIECAL TERMS, IS OPPOSED TO wHAT ONE GENERALY TIIINKS.

WHAT DUES THE LAW SAY?

1. "Al mough it takes tho to Conispire, a plaintiff does not have to prove wint whiom de Fendant Conspired, ite need only prove Mat de fendant JUINES A Grefment alleged, not ite Group." U.S. V. TOWNSEVD, 924 F.2d 1385 (7 TH (IR. 1991)
$\downarrow$ (OLER) $\downarrow$
2. "CONSPIRATURS ARE RESPONSIBLE FUR ACTS COMMITELS BY COCONSPIRATURS IN FUR Therance of conspiracy."

$$
\text { U.S. V. PARKIN, } 917 \text { F.2d } 313 \text { ( 7nt (IR, 1990) }
$$

3. "Circumstantial evidence is sufficient to Prove the Existence of A Con Spiral\%. An agreement may be in ferret from Concert of action, among tie alleges participants. Ama voluntary participation may be inferred from a collocation of circumstances."
U.S. U. THORN, 917 F.2d 170 (SM CIRCuIT, 19901)
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