

Mark Zaid
1501 M St, NW #1175
Washington, DC 20005

12/8/95

Dear Mark,

With ~~your~~ ^{Jim's} letter of December 4 ^{you} sent me a copy of the amended ^d complaint in the Hickey case. Among other things Jim asks me if I see anything wrong with it- AFTER he and you filed it.

His recap in his letter is also inadequate but he does say that he sent me what the other side had filed some time ago. When I got it I dropped my own work and interests and read it and put paperclips where I believe there is what you should know and perhaps do something about. Only I've not heard from Jim since then ^{about it. I told him what I'd done}. Based on that alone, and it is far from alone, why ⁱⁿ the world, particularly at my age and in the state of my health, should I take even a minute to read what you sent?

I was in touch with Hickey and his family over a period of time through another retired Secret Service agent who had been on that White House detail with Hickey. In time this led to Hickey's daughter being in touch with me and I suggesting to her that Jim could handle a suit for her father against Donahue. I believed that was in Hickey's interest and that it would be good to expose the Donahues and his ilk. I sent her some of the information I have and she seems to have given that to Jim. But although I got the case for him, Jim, sensitive as it seems he has become to what are referred to as "insults," never bothered to tell me he had taken the case or to ask how I could be of help in it. When I learned by accident, and that was before he went to Singapore some months ago, I wrote and told him I have what I believe can be helpful to him. Before then and after he took the case he was here for other reasons and never mentioned that he had the case or asked to see what I have.

I did go into some of this with him by phone but neither you nor he had any interest. Now he says maybe he'll come up and look at it. My belief is that any lawyer filing such a case ought know what he is doing before he does anything at all. Not say that maybe he'll take a look after he files an amended complaint. And has had responses from the other side he was not fully prepared to respond to.

As I told Jim more than once, that book was not Donahue's first assault on Hickey. It appeared first long earlier in the Baltimore Sun. After what I wrote that reporter about his article he had no more to say about it and was not, as you can see, Donahue's ghost writer.

As I told Jim Donahue was in touch with me and with ^{his} wife came to visit us. What he says in his book about that is false, and Jim has reason to know it is false.

As I told Jim also, when Donahue was here I told him how he could prove to