

Mark Zaid
47 S. Lake Drive,
Albany, NY 12203

6/9/92

Dear Mark,

Congratulations: You are off to a good start on what I hope will be and expect to be a worthwhile and rewarding professional life. You may not be aware of them ~~but~~ ^{but} I am of the right kind of changes in you as you've ^grown and learned and experienced.

Good letter to the Times, too. Good, too, that your job will not interfere with your preparations to take the bars. With which good luck!

And with four law students and three researchers to help you, you have good help on your book.

You are probably right in saying that you did not expect to have to return the files you borrowed and refile them because I had no reason to believe you'd be able to return soon. I generally ask that of those close by because filing is a problem for me.

You say you are a loss to know what document I was referring to 5/30. It is a Specter memo not now in my file of them. I now think that I then thought that what you referred to in your 5/24 was not to Humes' testimony but to a memo. What you cite in ^{your} 6/4 is I believe what I went into in some detail in my first book.

I think it was proper to destroy the unauthorized pictures taken during the autopsy. As I remember it was 120 film and by a corpsman. I think JAMA is wrong in saying that the FBI did it. My recollection is that the Secret Service exposed it to light and then took it.

The writing I now have in mind is a book about the JAMA outrage.

Some of what you sent from Alexis is quite helpful. Only one duplication in it. I've read and highlighted it for use. Many thanks. I'd like to have the transcript of the press conference but it is not essential. The amount of plain lying is incredible! I find myself continuing to wonder how JAMA and Lundgren could do this, especially when he was and remains so ignorant.

Thanks again, and best wishes,