- 4. OSWALD said that he asked for Soviet citizenship on 16 October 1959. According to his diary, he received word a month later that he could stay in the USSR pending disposition of his request, but it was another month and a half before he was given his stateless passport.
- 5. When compared to five other defector cases, this procedure seems unexceptional. Two defectors from US Army intelligence units in West Germany appear to have been given citizenship immediately, but both had prior KGB connections and fled as a result of Army security checks. Of the other three cases, one was accepted after not more than five weeks and given a stateless passport apparently at about the same time. The second was immediately given permission to stay for a while, and his subsequent request for citizenship was granted three months later. The third was allowed to stay after he made his citizenship request, but almost two months passed before he was told that he had been accepted. Although the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Affairs soon after told the US Embassy that he was a Soviet citizen, he did not receive his document until five or six months after initial application. We know of only one case in which an American asked for Soviet citizenship but did not take up residence in the USSR. In that instance, the American changed his mind and voluntarily returned to the United States less than three weeks after he had requested Soviet citizenship.
- 6. So far as we are able to determine, there is no Soviet law which would prevent a Soviet citizen married to a foreign national from accompanying his or her spouse from the USSR. This situation is also believed to have existed at the time of the OSWALDs' departure from the Soviet Union in 1962. In practice, however, permission for a Soviet wife to accompany her foreign national husband abroad is rarely given. In almost every case available for our review, the foreign national was obliged to depart the USSR alone and either return to escort his wife out, or arrange for her exit while he was still abroad. In some cases, the wife was never granted permission to leave. The majority of cases reviewed involve foreign students, exchange teachers, and other relatively transient persons, and while a number of cases have certain points in common, they bear little similarity to the OSWALD case; none involved a defector who married prior to repatriating.

- 4. OSWALD said that he asked for Soviet citizenship on 16 October 1959. According to his diary, he received word a month later that he could stay in the USSR pending disposition of his request, but it was another month and a half before he was given his stateless passport.
- 5. When compared to five other defector cases, this procedure seems unexceptional. Two defectors appear to have been given citizenship immediately, but both had prior KGB connections. Of the other three cases, one was accepted after not more than five weeks and given a stateless passport apparently at about the same time. The second was immediately given permission to stay for a while, and his subsequent request for citizenship was granted three months later. The third was allowed to stay after he made his citizenship request, but almost two months passed before he was told that he had been accepted. Although the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Affairs soon after told the US Embassy that he was a Soviet citizen, he did not receive his document until five or six months after initial application. We know of only one case in which an American asked for Soviet citizenship but did not take up residence in the USSR. In that instance, the American changed his mind and voluntarily returned to the United States less than three weeks after he had requested Soviet citizenship.
- 6. So far as we are able to determine, there is no Soviet law which would prevent a Soviet citizen married to a foreign national from accompanying his or her spouse from the USSR. This situation is also believed to have existed at the time of the OSWALDs¹ departure from the Soviet Union in 1962. In practice, however, permission for a Soviet wife to accompany her foreign national husband abroad is rarely given. In almost every case available for our review, the foreign national was obliged to depart the USSR alone and either return to escort his wife out, or arrange for her exit while he was still abroad. In some cases, the wife was never granted permission to leave. The majority of cases reviewed involve foreign students, exchange teachers, and other relatively transient persons, and while a number of cases have certain points in common, they bear little similarity to the OSWALD case; none involved a defector who married prior to repatriating.

2- Riplice With

Piplice With

12
17-62

- Commission-Eximitit 2762

- 7. The time lapse involved in Soviet processing of the OSWALDs' departure documentation appears to be normal. Marina began assembling the documents necessary for an exit visa in May 1961, and both OSWALDs actually applied on 20 August 1961, according to Marina's statement and OSWALD's diary. Marina's exit visa was issued at the time her new passport was issued, 11 January 1962, although she had been informed by Soviet authorities about 1 January 1962 that the visa would be issued. Lee OSWALD's exit visa was not issued until 22 May 1962, although he, too, apparently had been told in early January 1962 that the visa would be granted. The time lapse between actual application and notice of approval was over four months. By way of comparison, some Soviet wives have been held in the USSR for years, while in two specific cases involving Swedish national husbands, the Soviet wives were processed out in less than four months.
- 8. Issuance of a stateless passport (which may be called a sojourn permit or stateless person identity document vid na zhitelstvo dlya lits bez grazhdanstva) is not unusual. Available exemplars of stateless passports indicate that they are valid for one year from date of issue. An exemplar from the year 1960 (the year of issue of OSWALD's document) shows that it had been renewed for an additional one-year period beyond its original expiration date (as OSWALD claimed for his own document). Available exemplars show that the bearer was obliged to report to the local OVIR (Visa and Registration Department) or the PRO (Passport and Registration Department) office quarterly to register. We have no information to indicate whether OSWALD reported periodically for the same purpose.