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A White House proposal to slash Federal milk subsidies for schoolchildren met a predictably sour reception before a House Agriculture subcom mittee yesterdiay.
Congressional champions of both the children and dairymen ganged up on S. R. (Si) Smith, am Agriculture Depiartment administrator who told the lawmakers that rising family incomes and the disappearance of a once-huge dairy surplus justify limiting Gov. ernment subsidies largely to aid for needy children unable to buy their own.
"I'm saying that prarents who can affond to buy their children's milk' ought to pay for it," Smith contended. "Those who can't pay should glet some -assistance."
Called 'Means Test'
But Reps. Lynn Staibaum (D-Wis.) and Albert Quie (RMinn.) argued that no matter how deftly school administratons have handled such situations in the past, limiting the subsidies to aiding low-income children would amount to a "means test" that might embarrass them.

The Administration's scheme to cut the milk subsidy appropriation to $\$ 21 \mathrm{mil}$ lion in the year starting July 1 from $\$ 103$ million in the current year wals getting rough treatment before yesterday's airing in any event.

Chairman Harold D. Cooley (D-N.C.) of the House Agriculture Committee said Thursday the proposal "doesn't have a 'Chinaman's chance." The same day, Chairman Jamie L. Whitten (D-Miss.) of the House Appropriations subcommittee that handles Agriculture's budget said he expects to reject the proposed subsidy suts for both school milk and the larger school hot lunch rrogram.

## Jpposed lby Proxmire

Sen. William Proxmire (D-
daily on the Senate floor need it most.
against the milk money cuts, Cutback Outlined including an Administration decision not to spend the full $\$ 103$ million that Congress appropriated for the current fiscal year.
Between them, the Government's milk and hot lunch subsidies help finance annual consumption of some three billion pints of fresh milk in the Nation's schools, amounting to about 5 per cent of the total consumed.
Smith conceded at yesterday's hearing that to trim the milk subsidy appropriation to $\$ 21$ million next year would reduce consumption by an estimated 500 million pints. But he insisted the smaller allo-
cation would assure free or cation would assure free or

The President's budget calls for cutting back school lunch subsidies, under which some 18 million children this year are getting low-cost or free meals (which include milk), to $\$ 183$ million in the year starting July 1, or $\$ 19$ midlition below the present level.
Special funds to feed needy children would rise to $\$ 6.5$ million from $\$ 2$ million. Smith estimated the cut would trim Federal contributions, which include both cash and surplus food, by an average of 1 per cent per meal. Such a small amount, he comtended, shouldn't hurt the program significantly.

