hr. John Leonard, Editor New York Times Funday Took Review 229 W. 43 St., New York, N.Y. 10036

Dear Br. Leonard,

Because you have not responded to any of my letters, where one would have thought you would and would feel impelled to. I want to be certain you are not unaware of the relevant statement of Times policy with regard to your own review of Jim Garrison's book that was entirely altered after the first edition.

The assistant waveging editor used these words:

"Our book reviewers are granted full freedom to write whatever they wish about books and authors they are dealing with, but we do not permit personalized editorials in the book columns...the book columns are not intended for that kind of editorializing."

It would seem that what you at least permitted Kaplan to do, what he was given to understand was expected of him, what you might without prompting have expected of him from his record, or what was done in your name, whichever formulation you find least unconfortable, is in the clearest possible violation of this statement of limes policy, expressed to justify the total corruption of a review of a book on a political assassingtion by eliminating all that was favorable.

What Kaplan did and what you published is exactly what the Thee says is impermissible in its book columns. I again ask why you made an exception of me and my book.

What was excised from your review of the Garrison book was "routing editing", this policy statement ergs. That hardly explains the change in headling. But if that was only routine editing, how can you explain publishing anything Raplan wrote about no, for it was all perconalized, or about the book, for that was all editorializing?

It seems all to much that the Times has a special policy for this subject and that its book sections have a special one for me.

personal integral or your apparently misrepresented concern for a decent society. This would be a greater tracedy than so dishenest and Mbellous a personal attack as you published in the sheep's clothing of a "review".

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg