With records

Dear Jim,

9/20/83

Re FBI Jaworski file, request unfortunately limited: ~~[illegible]~~

It is not complete and does not even include an FBI record I published in facsimile (re Hudkins), is entirely or almost entirely of previously-disclosed records, but is valuable as a collection of them, holds nothing new and is also incomplete on the subject of Oswald as an agent, and gives you a fine opportunity for sport if you file any appeals and of informing the judge, if you have one who is interested in being informed, on the nature of FBI "privacy" claims.

The first record in this collection withholds Hoover's identification from the note in his distinctive handwriting as b7C yet discloses it in Serial 3. In which it also makes a privacy claim to withhold the identification of two SAs who testified before the WC, which puboished their testimony and names. In fact, and I'm not checking, because this disclosure include facsimiles of the first pages of testimony for which Jaworski was present, it probably also discloses their names at the end of this collection of Jaworski records. And then, in an additional part of 3, it also discloses a Hoover note with his initials.

94-58890-5 is withheld in its entirety as b7C. This is a DeLoach file and I'd be surprised if the real reason for withholding were not to protect the privacy of his operations and their nature and has nothing to do with "privacy" for the deceased Jaworski.

44-24016-1890, from FBIHQ main Ruby file, is already disclosed but I do not recall putting it together with Serial 1946. In combination there is a rather broad suggestion that the FBI itself leaked the Ruby transcript to Kilgallen. It might be fun to ask the judge to look at the 94 record in camera because DeLoach presided over that kind of leaking. Might also make a provocative story.

I'll read the rest as soon as I can.

These records do not identify the requester. Is it Mark? I'm filing all of his separately and identified as his. If I do not hear from you, I'll add this to that all in all rather worthwhile collection.

I see no records from 62-33928, which is a file holding info re the TCI.

Thanks and best,