## Ray and Mary by Intamb' 'Jawnalism'

Ray and Mary LaFontaine say they are journalists. In fact they are scandal-mongers, making their scandals up as they go. Their book, Oswald Talked, is a case in point. That title is a lie. Oswald did not "talk." He was not, as they also made up, a police spotch who snitched on a minor stolen gun case.

The subtitle of their book is also a lie. It is "The New Evidence in the JFK Assassination." In fact there is nextmen nothing "new" in what they claim as new and it has nothing at all to do with the JFK assassination.

There is no limit to the other false claims they make. For example, also on their dust cover, that their "book provides more new documented evidence than any book in twenty years." We'll see howfalse this is. Also false is their next claim, that they do "not rely of unreliable witness' testimony [sic]" which they in fact depend on entir ely.

Their publisher, Pelican,, under the utterly false heading "No More Conspiracy specialities and suspicions" padds additional falsehood in stating that their's is "the Kennedy book that names the players in the cover-up and how they did it."

A bigger lie is not easily made up.

The book has nothing at all to do with the JFK assassination. It has not a word about who covered what assassination evidence up or how or why they did that.

The book in not in fact about the assassination at all. As in the past, the seeking

LaFontaines were seeing sensation, the cheapest sensation; and having outen nowhere with such irrelevancies as those Dealey Plaza trangs who had nothing at all to do with Dealey Plaza or the assassination they have this invented book for their sensation.

It was easy for them because they began as and remain subject-matter ignorances. About which it is easy to write at book length, they are that ignorant, that self-important in their ignorance and that stupid in what the y write. Their arrogans arrogance and stpi stupidity are unequaled in the field.

When they are criticized they findit necessary to attack those who criticize them,

as they do Steve Bochan. They EMAXIMMENTANN did not respond to my pre-publication criticism as they could not, so they opt the coward's choice and lie about me in their book, to which t could make no response. If they did not know they were lying in what they said about me they are even more ignorant that from their

It is past time for the La Fontaines towardearned about throwing stones from glass holses.

Two years ago they had a lengthy article in the Washington Fost miStitled
"The Fourth Tramp." It supposedly is about John Franklin Elrod, who was arrested
separately from those three who were tramps and was not a tramp. He waw a cook.

In that article the LaFontaines restructured the Dallas city jail to have perceived that I was it conform to what they had made up, that Elrod heard Oswald confess to what the LaFontaines wrote their work of mistitled fistion about, being a police snitch.

In that article the Lajail's maximum securety section was where those in it saw anyone using the elections, which is false, and that block had a thin back wall through which all this they are made up could be heard. Who false,

As a record for Astroy, not for publication, I wrote the Post about the errors in the LaFontaine "Joyrnalism." Jefferson Morely, who sold the Post that LaFontaine bill of Logo, asked if he could send it to them.

"Of course," I told him, adding, "Ind I'll respond in writing to anything they may say about what I wrote."

Then my friend Jim Leavelle, the retired Dallas detective who was handcuffed to swald when Ruby killed him, strent me a sketch of that jail. He also stated that the back wall of that block was of exceptionally thick steel and that words could not be heard through it. Molly Lent hum that the

The LaFotaines did not write me a word about what I wrote the Rost and the Post sent them. They revised what they had made up about the jail to give a defferent version that is still only a fabrication.

Odio other is also ssential to their fabricated claim to fame and fortune.

Their fiction is that she held back from the government and in effect prevented a planned invasion of Cuba that would have gotten rid of Cuba. The last part is not even childish. The first part is indecent beyond exaggeration.

Remember their claim to use "theories," not to use what they refer to as "imp unreliable 'witness' testimony?" So they say of Odpo, now in her grand-mother years, that she "had betrwayed her parents to the Cuban authorities and wad in reality the mistress of Fodel Castro" (page 267). This they attribute to what an enemy of Odio's wrote in a book, her claimed source being "a private detective" she hired in her campaign against odio.

This is an outrageous indecency and with Odio's husband, penall children and their flight at was also an obvious impossibility.

Sloppy in their writing as in their thinking the La Fontaines also say of Odio the exact opposite when they wrote of her has that "With imprisoned parents and a lost society to alonge it would have been contemptible for a person of spirit not to try to do something" (page 241).

The LaFontaines' are not small minds to be hobgoplinned by consistenty. They have it both ways.

What they say of me and what I wrote of Odio they have in a note:

32. In his apparent zeal to protect Silvia as one of his star witnesses to conspiracy, Harold Weisberg quotes her verbatim from the Secret Service report—right up to the mention of Cisneros, at which point Weisberg interposes himself to suppress the tell-tale name. Thus: "She advised him [Machann] the only information she could provide on the people who visited her was,' in effect, what she had already said" (emphasis added) (Oswald in New Orleans, 287). Here Weisberg entirely misleads his readers. What she actually told Machann was anything but "what she had already said."

There was and luft to ment to "frette

a lie in the rest is irrelevant to what I was writing about.

I brought Odio and her testinony to light in the first book on the Warrely ommission and the assassination, my 1965 "hitewash: The Report on the Warren Report. I carried this farthur in my next book, Whitewash III, which was published in 1966. Remember what the LaPontaines claimed about going to first sources? Well, they did not have either of these books in their bibliography (page 454).

It is at the end of Chapter 11 of "hitewash, titled "The False Oswald," that I was the first to write about Odio and her (testimony) (pages 153-4).

And, contrary to what the LaFontaines says I said, I did say "At was not Oswlad" who visited her.

What the LaFontaines refer to is on page 287 of Oswald in New Orleans. But what I was writing about is stated at the beginning of that part of that book: "What can we know about the men in the story of The False Oswald?" (page 260).

I was writing about the falsification of "swald and could not have been more specific in stating this in all three of those books. If the to the one who visited Odio not as Oswald not as an assassination conspiractor but as "The False Oswald."

In the plain English that is more than justified, the LaFontaines lied knowing they were lying because they could Not wrespond to my criticisms and wer out to get wrevenge. So, their readers also paid for it.

Their nonsense about of allegedly aborted invasion of Cuba was to have been Sanches.)

led by the studget, Fermin de Goicochea, They were to have been armed by weapons stolen from a large U.S. Army post at Kileen, Texas, Fort Hood (page 277).

Not only was it childish to theink that with the full force of the Boay of Pigs invaders and the arms out CIA gave them and the advance bombing by air that the ragtag DRE could have pulled off what the LaFontaines make uphad in the interpin been rearmed extensively by the USSR.

The t quote the CIA as on this childishais childish alleged invasion plan they inf ate so enormously as calling it osnetwe "somehat romantic" (page 294) At the same point they also quote the CIA as saying that support for it "would not be possible."

That kid stuff also colled for the CIA to provide a from outside the "nated States, which the CIA would not do (page 294)

It is all preposterous, less sensible that childishness, but with this betterior fabrication the less sensible that childishness, but with this betterior it is, supposedly, about this theft of Army weapons that Oswald in the La

Fontaine fabrication "taked" to the folice leading to the arrest of some of those garunners and the mid of the leged coming invasion of Cuba. Ablanta (9

Not having the Meeds of the LaFontaines the Dallas MorningNews did not attribite the arrest of those men to Oswald's talking. Its story, not on the LaFontaine book, reads:

The chase started, patolman J.B.Allen and J.R.Sales said, when they saw the northbound car run a red light at Allen. When they stried to stop the car, it speeded north at speeds up to & files an hour. Five blocks away at [Hall and Junius , the contraband laden wakiwie convertible plunged between two autos halted for a red light. It sideswiped both and squealed on toward Gaston,

Where it "crashed head on into a utility pole.

and only unagener There was a total of five weapons in that car, hardly enough for the minuscule

planned invasion of fa fourteen students.

regyired, the actualities prove there was no snitch. Not Oswald, Not any other. Out of with Me at that the evidence of which the determined gignorant LaFontaines areso

also was not new before they were bitten by the assassination bust It also was not brought to light by their derringde tresearcher, at the Silicaon Valley at cavalry, their "eminently sane" her and guru, "Bill Adams, the man who started if all with his discovery of the august 11, 1964 FBI report on John Franking Elrod, "(page 9) and elsewhere)

In the La ontaine version it was necessary for Adams to fight a series of war Act with Freedo of Information Seties to being this "New" and sensational dope to light.

All Adams or anyone else had to do was go into the FBI's public reading rom and ask to see it, or writing the FBI and ask for copies because all of it and more had been made public as a result of two of my FOIA awsuit and that long before that same bug bit Adams, too.

I doubt you will want to puble them but I A enclose some of these FBI reports. t is specific that the FBI's investigation of those tramps was forced by my giving it pictures of them and of the sketch said to have been of the assassin of Wartin Luther King, Jr., which was virtually trace from one of those pictures. (Memphis file44-1987-Sub b, serial 56).

That investigation, the third for which was responsible in that one year, 1968, which we made it obvious that those tramps had no relevance at all an neither I nor anyone else not see the sensation seekers or mangers, had any interest in going any firther and wasting any time on learning the penamesof those irrelevant winos. Which il what they were.

With regard to Fired Elrod's alleged knowledge of the assassination, the FBI states unequivocally that he "emphatically denied many knowledge of the assassination or the involvement of Ruby in killing Oswald."(FBI105-82555-4726)

Elrod was drunk. The turned himself in, farmed as he was with an illegal sawed-off shotgun, because he was faraid he'd kill his wife with it. Wothing at all to do with the assassination in any way at all.

"Civil Actions of 75-1996 178-0322 and 78-0420, all long before any of this Adams heroism an LaFontaine fabrication based on them. They have been fee Treely available to all writing in the field at my hond, as even feeld Posner — A twenty year attests, and in the FBI's public reading room beginning in the summer of 1976.

It is based on the La rontaine fabrications that they have their book in which they also claim, basic as it is in their book, that blrod also talked".

What they write about as the pinnacle of modern Wited States journalism is the scandal-loving supermarket-tabeloid TV supposed news programs. They are so proud of their journalism on Mard Copy for November 16, 1993, attractive to fard copy because of the coming thirtieth assassination anniversary. They write in their book that in preparing for this show, which they, Pesperado Copy as they call themselves, produced.

On it thereired Elrod. And he steadfastly refued absolutely, to say a single thing other than that he could get in trouble. he refused absolutely to say what in their book they claim he said. In the book they state their interviews filled thirty-three cassettes. And in all that they could not get Ekrobid even to hint at what they base their book on stating that he said.

The copy of that transript was provided by their second major source, from their book, my friend of more than thirty yars, Paul Heck. Hoch. Paul had nothing to do with what the Labortaine's wrote and it is conspicuous they have no plug from him on their book.

In plain EMulish, as I state to begin with, the La Fpntaines make it all Up and in addition lie with determination.

Oswald did not talk nd there was no need for him to have for what happehed

i

There was no need for him or for anyone to have "talked," been any kind of pull snitch.

Elrod not only did not say what the Latontaines base their book on his having spaid, he steadfastely refused to say that or anything life it when pressured to for hours. Those takes of which ther boast represent sixteen nand a helf hours that were taked elone.

With the laFontaine record of defaming all who do not agree with then they do not despeve words being less po pem pemted. The are, without any question, liars. They make up what they want to have believed and do that througout their trass by book. They began and they remain subject-matter ignoramuses.

To go into dithere is of this in their book would take book length but a I add a little still like their other plain, straightforward lie of Oswald snitching to the FBF about a Cuban camp near New Orleans. That is all conject of conficture where it is not all straight-out farbication.

They have Guy Banister still working for the FBI "with contract assignments,"

Schething they made up, the FBI not having them. Painster left the FBI because
he was not up to its medical standards. He did not last long on the New Pleans

My unit;

police, either. But there was no sense at all in their conjecture that "Oswald

was in New Orleans to keep take on Banister." Not f or the FBI and not for anyone
else. That he was equipped for any such work is also make up from nothing. That

All childs for york Mull

kind of job requires skills and tai training Oswald did not have, page 132.

It is also a straightforward lie that "anisoter "provided an upstrairs office to store leaflets and other paraphanalia," (page 133). In all oswald had a mere thousand sheets of paper, what could easily be carried in one hand, plus a few, very few, FPcc pamphlets. For these and his two picket signs (which the "aFontaines know nothing about and as say nothing about) he had no need for any office of other space. His home was not or cramped by them and he did not take all any one picketing. When he wa all finished he had some left over. They attracted little interest.

Utterly lost wwhthout conjecture the LaFontaSnes conjecture What did not happened and Uswald did not intend to happen, "that Oswald would develop his did noy exist. Also the relationship they make up out of nothing that "may have been planned by [Warren] de Brueys" (page 133)

To "penetrate" the one-man DRE of arlos Bringuier? Who could not have been more public in all his many futilities? But the La Jontaines say that in what Oswald did," penetrate the Bringuier organization for the FBI" (page 133'. The

FBI, had theel no such need, not that it was not impossible affyldy, not that swald had bring well know my hing in Mind any ling, made any such effort of any kind. The He went to Bringuier's twice, only. The second time Bringuier was Not even there. Some "penetration" that is! With great

value and know importnee for the IBI, too!! PMy silly tully by

So, they have Oswald what the call "the Pontchartrain informant" and they

have "word strain ghardually strated to seep through via Banister's saxing FBI grapevone," all imagined, noen existing and none serving any purpose if it had existed except to assassinat of nuts who get carribed away with their own imagipations and ignorance,

What actually happened is that there was no snitch on that matter of the raid about which the Lapontaines wreite with their usual lack of any knowledge at all and with what they make up, lakcing even the knowledge to required to make up anything sensible at all. Intuitive There were three so called Cuban camps. At none was there any training.

The two that were was known were in small houses. By sources fare the cooperarive St. Tamman tharish sheriff's office and deputies; the man who had one of those camps, Rudolph (Ricaldo)Davis) and the man who actually reported the danger he and others face/that led to the raid about which the LaFontaines write so glib y knowing nothing at all about it.

The McLaney of mafia garding aperations in Cuba had a small summer place on the other side of the Party fantchartrains from where he lived in New Orleans.

It was off of Pontchartrain drive, between clidell and Lacombe. It was a small of large with no outburnings, not everen the timiest shed. know. I was there and I protoffraphed it. While I was there the man who lived next door told me about seeing some Cubans beri bringing explaines in on an open U-Haul trailer behind an auto. Then, as they cleaned the police that had not been used for a long time up and they burdned the trash they raked up they started a grass fire that could have made all the explaines explosives they brought in blow up and wreck the neighborhood. It is from this reasonable fear that this man who lived next door reported that danger of the authorities, thus the raid.

David had another place of which he told me. The Spheriff took pictures of it for me when I ran out of time.

along the lake shore. No From it small fast boats set out for Cuba loaded with small arms. They ran a regular courier service.

They will MO My weapons to be stolen from the army as the La Fontaines just made up. The CIA had plenty of sources, as all agencies do and as unofficial people also can have if they want them. There is quoye a trade in what goes boom.

The Shit spolitical is Morance is displayed throughout the book. They find covering the truth up justified because "Wgo knows what might have resulted ...from an imprudent discovery the of an international conspiracy" of "agents of Fidel castro with the suspected assassintance of the RGB" (page 246).

Catro was going to kill his only real unsurance policy, Kennedy, who solved the 1962 missile crisis by guaranteeing to protect Cuba against any invasion, a figurantee even 14Khruschev could not give him?

But of this were not so so, what "assistance" would have been required of the KGB? Still again, children with my a children with my a children with my a

the USSR that was and for a year had been trying to work out agreements if and the United States to reduce teshion A and srmaments, seeking pero peace in which

Kennedy and khruschye exchanged about forty letters. M. M. J. O. J. J. For those who are going to make up history they seek fame and fortune from it, including those who have high opinions of their genius and do not need fact that they do not have anyway, ignorance sure helps. Ignorance alone made possible what the Larontaines wrote in this book, a book whose publisher did find the windle for the once-traditional peer reviews for honest nonfictuon. This book

would have been laighed back to Pelican by any authentic subject expert

There is one way and only one way in which "Oswald Falker."

That is in describing proving that the LaFontaines are self@imporant phonies who make up their book set of nothing but conjectures and overt lies because, being subject—marketer ignoramuses, there is no other way in which they can exploit and commercialize the assassination and make up more sequently tragic as even they can sell and with that selling corrupt our history were expectation to government did not.

almost twenty years before they their Silicon Valley cavalry, not knowing ut was all public, made the valight efforts the LaFontaines attribute to himsto bring it to light for them!

What was all freely available long before the assassination but bit them and they were all too ignorant to know it, including their that "cavalry" of theirs tho with them has yet to distringuish between the ends of horses.

ponsibly or even bonestly about the assassination and its investigations without knowing and knowing the meaning of the iMformation that has been disclosed. Those www ly individual without this preparation, even with good intentions, cannot avoid deceiving and misleading the people and burying the truth even deeper in what inevitably becames their quest for fame and fortuna as they exploit and commercialize this great tragedy in our history. They rip of the mind while they rip off the purse.