

8/6/76

Dear Paul,

Each day that I hope to get ahead I get further mired, not unusually in other than a ~~worthwhile~~ worthwhile way but I slip a little back.

Over an hour ago, just as I sat down to write a letter, the need to write the letter disappeared with a call from my source on behavior modification. So I have no carbon with which to inform you. And I learned that my source knows more than I knew he knew.

I was in Washington today. I picked up the 59 documents the CIA released to John Marks of the Center for National Security Studies. His was an unnecessarily restricted request, for only those records given to the Rockefeller Commission. And does include that and much more - everything, which is why there was no response.

But I bought a set of xeroxes from Marks and while I was there made a set for my source. I didn't have time to staple them and will do that in the early a.m.

This is going exactly as I figured. A little more comes out each time. This time a few references to acoustical stimuli.

I think this refers to ultra-sound. There is also this potential in infra-sound, which is never mentioned anywhere to my knowledge.

Because I am getting jammed and won't get to the reading and correcting of the summary as soon as I'd hope, as it now looks, one thing I do want to say is that I can't imagine anything requiring any basic change in the synopsis. In detail that can be added but not in the plot.

There is going to be more attention to this. The Post, for example, ignored the story the first day and did a good one a day too late. Two days after availability. Not normal. With the NYTimes it is different. They had a late-edition only story yesterday because their origin was not New York. They could not make the first editions exact with a superficial story. The Post could have, did not, and used this story after they had been beaten by their p.m. competitor.

Another committee is going to pay attention to this story. I have the inside info. I can't forecast the extent of its attention or that it will get.

I can forecast that I have an FOIA request filed that covers more than any others. So on this is all over I'll still be going for more and more does exist.

I have asked you to watch the papers you see on this. There I have an added purpose: people out there may see the movie potential. I have more than can be used now and I believe the right approach, either or not the right or best or even good formulation. It could be both profitable and low-budget.

I also believe that somebody will go for it. The question is reaching that somebody or see somebodies early.

As of now I have about 4 pages of once-suppressed records on this.

This could be the first time made possible by use of FOIA, a nice notice to put at the beginning, perhaps? Followed by the statement that it comes from once-suppressed records?

Best,