

Dear Paul,

6/6/77

Your 6/3 made good time-here today and thanks for it. AND the enclosure.

On the Lane book, as with anything else associated with hi, assume infidelity almost certainly not accidental. It is hardly "credit" and it is hardly a faithful representation of the Redditt business and me. He has nothing he did not steal and npting that is not mine, directly or indirectly. As of the time I wrote what he misrepresents and for four years thereafter Redditt would not see me. Blacks, including intellectual blacks, still pronounce the name as I spelled it. Ditto for the other spy. The part he omitted is that as of te date of that information, within a week of the crime, as it says elsewhere in the book, I could not say what I had because of the danger to living people.

In the context of Lane's book this is not even toilet paper. It has no relevance to the Ray legal situation and he knew it. He is just that kind of bastard. If his story of the yanking of Redditt, which is not hist story but what he stole, were true, it has no relationship of any kind to the Ray in-court legal situation.

If you add Lane's fabircation, which is total fabrication and total irrelevance, and if you assume it is real and true, it still is totally without relevance in Ray's situation as of the time we were his defense. Period.

That partocular part of my book was not my work and I credit it to the Newsweek photographer who did it. Only you'd never know that from Lane, either.

There were two Sirhan hearings recently. I'm to get the transcript of the first. You refer to the second.

I'd be interested in what the Bureau people out there say about Murtagh because if Lane quotes him exactly or even fairly he is a nut. What I recall is that he was all over nationwide TV years ago. But if there was a "get King" squad, which I have no reason to believe, it sure as hell was not in charge of the King investigation. believe me.

I did not see the Irving Wallace article you refer to. Oh, the one you sent me. I think it a good piece and faithful ~~the~~ to the subsequent Nixon. Glad to have read it.

We are okay but tired. I'dl was up to see my mother, which tires her. I've felt pretty good today but yesterday was not a good one. More than 20 hrs the day before and several over-long ones before that. I have to try to rest up more this week but I have to go to Wash. tomorrow and I not longer have a ride. Friday I leave for Dallas, after which I look forward to going there.

If there is anyone I shpuld try to see please make the effort. I'll let you know when I'll be there from Dallas. Probably after the 15th, depending on how things go there.

Tomorrow is the Hall hearing. I also have a conference with the FBI, which is reacting to my protests by beginning to make promises to Jim about rectification. For some unusual reason the Hall hearing is delayed until 11 a.m. If I ca get to the FBI first I'll be able to get home without knowking myself out more. Hall is like Ray given the chance he'll do the wrong thing. His lawyer knows nothing at all about any of this. If anything at all about Congress and committees and what they can and cannot do.

The phone where I'll be staying in Dallas is 241-0893. AC is, I think, 214.

any thanks and best,

Paul Wurtzel

1688 Sunset Plaza Drive, Los Angeles, California 90069

June 3, 1977

Dear Harold;

Thanks for the letters. It seems if you are making progress. I guess the closer you get the more they tighten up. I read Lanes book, got it from the library. I didn't see anything in it that you hadn't come up with seven years ago. The only thing I hadn't read was what the ex-FBI man Murtagh had to say. The people out here in the Bureau that I have spoken to call him a nut and a lot of other things. I am sure you have seen the enclosure ~~xxx~~ by now. Lanes giving you credit for Redditt.

Today just heard the news about Baxter Wards interview with Sihan in Soledad. It sounds like Candy Jones and Olsen. One of my far-out theories is that "they" may be directing attention to the RFK killing to take the heat off the MLK investigation. It seems to me it would be a lot easier to prove a conspiracy in MLK right now and this would make 22,000,000 black citizens very uptight whereas I don't know what it would take to arouse the whites in this country to see or care about the truth.

Hope this finds all of you well I only wish I could do more to help, but in the meantime please use the enclosed check where it will do the most good.

Best,

Paul
P.S. What did you think of Irving Wallace article on Nixon's first case? Did Roffman see it?

PW