

Dear Paul,

8/16/76

Lil is off doing a favor for our Chinese restaurateur friend and I've come to the end of what I was working on without time to start something else before she returns. When she does and can answer the phone - I expect what can be an important call - I'm going to do some outside work because I have to do it and because it is good for me and because it is a nice day.

While I was walking this morning I was thinking about the synopsis I'd expected to mail long before this. I still have not been able to finish reading it. My present plan is for first think in the morning.

All work on it has been badly interrupted. There was no possibility of any continuity. This troubles me.

What I thought of this morning while walking is making a copy and sending it to you when I've read and corrected it. Before I ask Lil to retype it.

If you would you could make suggestions, etc., that we could benefit from before the retyping.

Right now she can't do that anyway because she is on another project that has records scattered all over the place. I obtained about another 1,000 pages and she is establishing individual files with them. Without this there can be no access.

I did decide on an insertion from real documents because they go far toward validating my conjecture. I think it is really more than a conjecture. This is where I was twice interrupted and had to stop.

The amount of extra work from the newest government dirty tricks is fantastic. However, I think that in the long run it will hurt them and help us because it enables me to address specifics now in the court record and under oath. False, deception and misleading specifics.

Gotta quit. Best,

Paul, resumed 8/17/76

What interrupted me was a call from the Enquirer. This time it was an accident and an editor of whom I've never heard.

A young reporter friend, on vacation in the area, had dropped in to ~~in~~ look the place over out of curiosity. They got talking to him because he is from near Washington and about an assassination story. They had him call me. It was easy to detect the special insanity involved so I spoke to that editor and asked him what was wrong with the truth, which I told him. And I asked if the truth were not a better story. He agreed, said it was an exceptional story, and would get back to me. That'll be the day. They turned that story down a while back.

Then I heard from my source on the mind-bending thing. He had gone over the newest batch of records I'd sent him and was astounded to find all the confirmations of my analysis without benefit of the records.

I'd not had time to read them. A kid found a few things but this adult who has some knowledge found case after case where exactly what I postulated last year and this is set forth in one form or another more than two decades ago.

He made me feel good. So I cut into the sleep a bit, got up early enough to make a few insertions and finish reading and correcting the summary.

Bill says he'll have time to retype it. Please do make any suggestions. Correcting and retyping is not that big a deal if you see possibilities. Every part was badly broken up. There was no possibility of any continuing, in anything, including the reading.

Just as there isn't in the legal work to which I now return,

Best