## Dear Dave,

25.5

## 2/21/77

I've just finished putting together a small folder of materials I'll be using Wednesday night in responding to a personal attack by Lane. I dug out a speech Jim read for me at an NYU law school meeting in 4/75. In it I address Lane and others. If you do not have it I'll send you a copy.

While it is an unedited draft because I got sick I think it says enough.

My suggestion to you is that you lock horns with Kurtz and tell Evans  $\mathbf{x}$  straight out that if he takes that woad he will make a laughing-stock of your professional organization for years into the future, that having Garrison as an impartial moderator is outling the fox at guarding the henhouse, and that presenting Lane as an expert is to call voodoo medicine.

I'd do it in writing and in a way that will let him realize that if he does not agree the letter will haunt him forever. <sup>Y</sup>ou gight assure this with carbons to others on the committee, indicated on the letter to Evans.

It would not be inappropriate to point out what he has done to the House committee, from selecting their controbersial cheif counsel-dtaff director-dictator to giving them fabricated information all of which has kicked back, most recently in the DJ task for report which notes the falsity of these representations.

This will also have the effect of making you the one on the middle road, not extreme either way.

How about that set of Oswald-Lovelady-shirt slides?

Best,