

Dear Dave (and),

Thanks for the thoughtfulness. Especially when I've not had time to look at the papers for two days. Not even after Ldl clipped what could interest me. That much work on suits. Jim was here yesterday on interrogatories in 1448. They cross over into your questions. We do go into what you ask about.

These matters are kept sufficiently vague to say "probably" because there is this vagueness and there are other possibilities. D-Ugarte;A with perhaps less certainty- Rudolph Richard "Ricardo" Davis, the source of the boom stuff Richard Lauchli, Collinsville, Ill.

I have files on other characters who could be candidates. But I'll guarantee you there is not any element of secrecy about those names Schweiker hid. I've forgotten it by yesterday I gave Jim some of the records on the man who crossed the border 11/23/63. Almost as certain of the hidden names of the CIA people who dealt with Warren stuff. Dexter, Dooley, Rocca and Skoura of those not published. Perhaps these are not in all cases or not where you saw: Helms, Karamassines, Angleton.

When Schweiker castigates the ~~names~~ bad boys why does he hide their names when they are readily and publicly available? The kindest explanation is what I say of Lane when asked: He could not find women (to some audiences pubic hair) in a bordello.

I'm sure incompetence is a kindness to Schweiker, alas.

It is take great gobs of days and days on the litigation but it is going well. Looking forward to "ovember.

Best,