Doar Dave, re FOIA biblio

UN 4/26/79

I got on this right away, to be able to have it to give to 'in when we nost Wednesday. The more I got into it the more I rates realized the technical difficulties, compounded by the need for extreme condensation. So I'll mail to JL in the a.m., heping he'll have then to go over it before at most so we can then take.

There was a rejor interruption and there is work I must complete by the norming so instead of jaying to type it all I've made hand corrections and suggestions.

Where I have raised questions without answers or place ? marks 1 do not have accurate information, as on the other suits.

One question 1 do raise is can no know theme are all? Can others have been filed without our knowing i?

Bull'sputs requires more tart. I think "and's vs. Secret Service Mar compressiond out of court. I think Levy get about 6,000 pages. I sent you a news story on it.

I also t this your bias shows and shouldn't. I've changed some.

She was not Exnew at the time of the JPL af dar. She was then Campbell.

Something should be added on the 5/19/64 ox sees transcript. The basis on which the Government won on it, asthemictant decision marking, roughly, was not argue in court. The judge merchy decided on that basis.

I think you need explanatory text of a note on the exceptions of the Act. All require a low micromout purpose. I'd cite the external AC's memo and the Charty Johnson statements on the people reight to know what government does and has done as essential to representative society.

At some point I'd note that all the records 1 obtain will be in your arbitro in the form in thick received plus abled subject files and with all the up records on the litigation, decluding all affidavits, drafts of affidavits including these not used, etc. And I'd make "ing reference even that have is a JNG bibliography they are already more than 50,000 pages. JFK estimate in excess of 200,000 pages.

On 0522 I'd add that records agreed to be provided include index to written con unications for first two years and index to their contents.

When are areas in thich I cannot check the factual accuracy.

You may want to consider another explanatory note referring to records withheld until the last a ministrate stage prior to the filling of cuit and to other disclosures to avoid litigation by means of which some withholding is made possible.

They want to add in spectro that all information provided in second case is of the "raw material" of the Villians filldavit in the first case. That hey claimed it would wrech the FDI and its informant system to disclose.

The ways in which you can avoid partisanship can be illustrated by the impandence language with which you say (assurately) that there was a conspiracy to withhold records by shifting them around. You could say that the agencies arranged to divest themselves of the re-ords not to have them or that they arranged a transfer from agency to agency to be able to claim not to possess them. I

- 'n "hostile" and b as, atc., we can provide, if we have not, a quote in which Smith mixi sold in court that he generally takeshis leas from the Government. You might note that with Prath in charge of case assignment he arranged for all my JFK cases after the one before him to be before Smith.

On spectro you addit what to note that as of press time the FMI had not produced any stated results of this testing in the form of contemporaneous reports and claimed it had none, only the conclusory representations. Not even " raw material" on windshield and cubstons. Curbstone specto plate allegedly desciroyed. Guinn testified before HSCA that windshield sample no longer exists.

You need better titles that "spectro suits."

 $[N_{ij}]$

Dave, re bibliography-FOIA suits:

IM 5/26/79

Spectro- I would rephrase along line of

This language, from the superceded Administrative Procedutes Act (1946) was specifically eliminated as an exemption in the 1966 Act. On request the Department of Justice was unable to find any record of any such "determination". Records Weisberg Later obtained under POLA and his Privacy Act requests reveal that the Department had sought to persuade the FBI to release the records requested to aboid array establishing a legal procedent the FBI would regard as adverse to its interests. *

In deafting the FOIA the Congress found that the "national interest" provision of the earlier act had been converted into a cover for any and all withholding rather than the Congressional intent, that the 1946 Act be an act requiring disclosure of public information.

Then resume as you have with "On Hov. 9...) to Marion Williams, after which a period. The copy provided Weisberg's attorney was a xerox of a crabon copy which did not diclose the date of executive. Later investigation showed that the Williams affidavit had been executed on August 20 and was withhled to deter countering its conclusory and unfactual representations.

In citation of the ffidavit I'd use some direct quotes, like destroy the informant system, etc.

page 2, graf 2 "rudimentary state of FOIA <u>case</u> law..." I add and the willingness of judges to accept any representation by the executive agencies, no matter how unreal. *

*Obviously there is no connection between non-scientific laboratory tests and informants.

p.2 Started to write correction but folt point not fine enough:

Petition argued that Appeals Cpurt expanded the Act to permit withholding under unsupported claim to law enforcement purpose.

I'll soo JL Wednesday and will give him this for direct roturn to you after he has a chance to go over what I suggest. p.4 "Congress override President Ford's veto to emend...."

"It narrowed and limited the investigatory feles exception. Intent to overturn the Appeals Court decision incompatibilities investigation in the specific by Senator Edward H. Kennedt during May 30 debate. As first case under anonded Act Weisberg broadened original suit to include results of neutron activation analyses of bullet and bullet-related evidence.

But if you follow in ediately with case before ^Pratt do you need to repeat this? Potheps instat instead:

After Veisberg filed FOIA request SAs ⁴arion Williams and those who had done the actual testing, John F. Gallagher and Robert A. ⁴ razier, Math rotired. Laboratory Agent John Kilty provided affidavits which Weisberg doministrated usre false and contradictory. Kilty swore that tests had been performed and when asked for the results wore that there these tests had not been performed. Lith ⁴ ilty's affidavits the only press proofs offered the Government claimed **t** it had complied substantially. Pratt dismissed the case on July 15.

In the last graf, on Prntt as paladin, you might want to include either the Anorason column I've sent on a cite to the Bast case

P. 5 Pratt threatened us when he proved perjury. That he found oppressive is bur request for the civil action norm, discovery of withheld evidence.

Where I have a marginal X I'd use a direct quote of the mendate. If you are satisfied with the words used at the end then for what I've lined through "ordered Weisberg to take testimony to establish the existence of non-existence of the records from those with first-person knotledge of the events."

Under Remand I've transposed JFK because they did not test all items.

Striko "essential." Suggests they are what was sought and they are not.

Strike "clean" for similar wrong suggestion, i.e. traces that could have been tested remained and were not tested.

page 7, desell decision, where I've marked the margin: For what is believed the first time ever Gesell ruled against the Government on its "national security" claim. However, he also head held there was a law enforcement purpose, which was to hold against Weisberg receiving a copy of the transcript or that the wovermant could continue to withhold the transcript.

after plus mark and Bafore beer could file an appeal, on June...

Page 10, S 2 opposite marginal mark.

The very day the Government's response was due it told besar it would call copies of the 10 pages of Athe Jan. 21 transcript and the **xtimhted**sitAppegraxeficithmixed June 23 transcript in fill. Because this would enable official press management during the timer required by mail Meisberg went to Machington, picked copies up at the Archibee, has more made and gave them to the press. The same day

page 12: Suit to compel Government not to disclose any copiesof its second general release of FERM JFM accaseduation records until it had provided Weisberg with a set, without with a waiver of costs because of his public role, so that he would have the records in time to respond to press inquiries when the records were first available to the press. (Veisberg's request had been ignored, his appeal ignored until long after the interviewery time permitted by the statute and until the ever of scheduled release) Page 13, calling Judge Smith "hostile." Blased, projudiced or partisan is apparent, heatility is not. Again this mises questions of disapssion.

Page 13. The addition I've made to the Dallas case covers enough of the H.O. case, to which I'd add: New information obtained relates to the FRI's penetration of Garrison's office, the emistence of previously unknown files and evidence relating to Oswald's carryfor and associations in New Orleans and to anti-Castro activity and persons, some of whom were FEI sources.

14. The electric out and not to examine the clothing. ¹t was to obtain meaningful pix., those available being iandoquate and needlessly unclear. Gesell dismissed the suit on the Covernment's promise to take and show such pictures to Weisberg. These pictures included a photograph of the knot of the President's the allegedly damaged by a bullet. Only after obtaining dismissal by the premise that it would take this photograph did the Government disclose that the tie had been unknotted and that evidence destroyed forever.

mar 23, 1975

Dean Hameld Enclosed are genoxes of the FOIA Section of bibliography. It is the oreliminary draft. Could you find time to glance at it. It will be an important item and your observations about any major error or mis-emphasis ought be had. I send a copy to gas. H. Lesar. when I get the copie's back I will then make a rough dreft & with De Dlayd will presure the finnshed draft. Now, til end, am pushing, pushing. Dane *P.S*. The honorarun was mean to cover ersences such as clips, fileflars,

ink, etc.

PP 5 200 enclosed to cover postage for return.