



University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point
College of Letters & Science
Department of History

Stevens Point, WI 54481-3897 (715) 346-2334
Dr. David R. Wrone 428 CPS Bldg. 346-4496

Hello

Perhaps you would read
this for me?

I probably took too much
time on this book, but it grew on
me

D

See attachments

After years of not being able to do anything with the most cockamamie of the innumerable irrational and impossible JFK assassination theories, Howard, Donahue, former Maryland gunsmith, and his writer, Bonar Menninger, got it published in the wake of the rekindled interest ^{mis,} ~~mis~~ directed by the Oliver Stone rewriting the assassination in his movie JFK, when from the outpouring of literary garbage it was apparent that publishers would publish anything at all to capitalize on the market interest, never higher on such books, satisfied to rip off the national mind while ripping off the national purse.

To get past the first page of this wretchedly ^{abd,} really evil book, one must be willing to believe that a Secret Service agent could stand on the back seat of the car only about five feet behind the President's, on which all eyes were focused, fire a M15 rifle and not be seen or heard ^{by hundreds of onlookers} of picked up by any of the many cameras clicking away.

If you can believe ^{any of this} this possible, then grab the Alka Seltzer and a pot and be prepared.

And not perceived by the other occupants of the Secret Service follow-up car, agents and men close to the victim, like Larry O'Brien.

Or one must believe that ^{not} ~~neither~~ seeing this ~~imag~~ violent action they did not hear ^{its} the loud report of the discharge in their ears.

I'd begin ~~something~~ like this, cut much out and sharpen some up.

I told him also that the Altgens picture showed his theory to be impossible because with Hickey sitting and looking backward, without the weapon in hand, he did not have time to get it, and I think he gives contradictory accounts of where it was, then stand and get the weapon in firing position, a mite less than three seconds if one eliminates entirely the time it would have taken Hickey to react. I also told him that the Zapruder film would show that Hickey did not get the weapon until much later, as I think others may. I understand that Gary Hack says that Bronson's film shows it did not happen. as him. I see that on 12 you have one aspect of Zapruder, that the car did not speed up until after the head exploded. I went into all of that with him. *He knew!*

Conclusions is excellent. I'll copy and enclose more on that. I think you should use more like this and less of the details, which you just dismiss as baseless, irrational, dishonest and reflecting ignorance of the established fact or worse, deliberate disregard

of it. Like the shirt collar and the tie knot, which I showed him, and the death certificate, which he had in Post Mortem. I lyfoned him a collar picture! And what he says of me!

You should know that he lies to malign me and thus deprecate my criticism of his indecency because you know I never had any problem with a home for my records, and he knew it when he lied. Can such a man be trusted?

I thought I had a fuller statement by St. Martin's, that they were publishing the book because Hickey did not respond. He did say that, and that they were not even certain of its truthfulness. Now use that and what I've marked that I thought I'd sent you from the Jerusalem Post. Go after them as inhuman and interested only in money, regardless of how who pays for it.

Of the evidence I think besides the death certificate and verified sketch the shirt collar is most effect^{ive} and his crap about that would disgrace even Nixon. The ^{PM} book has the testimony, above the shirt collar, and there is absolutely no possibility of those slits and a nick in the upper left extremity of the knot of the tie could have been caused by any bullet. Not even Specter could give one that magic!

I see no point in the Six Seconds picture.

Hickey had not turned back/ward, as Donahue ~~says~~ says. The agents looked in all directions. He was the one looking backward.

In reading the first two lines ~~be~~ at the top I realized why he lied and was nasty about me: to lay the groundwork for dismissing any criticism I might make. He knew I could blow his contraption apart if asked to.

I've done this in haste in part to get it in the morning mail and in part because I have packages to make and have not been able to do much on what I decided to do about 4 a.m., after going over the records Gerry sent me: write an oped piece. I want to be clear for the early morning so perhaps I can get that started. I'll also use it to AHR. I got a short note from Tikkun and will respond and send both. Also got the retyped lies. Thanks.

Best,

Heard