With all that has taken my attention in recent days, particularly a new naphrologist and what he has in mind for me, peritoneal dialysys (which Hank Trangh suggested some time ago) and with my reading before I see him tomorrow what Cambro's suppliers provide on it, I am not as clear as I should be in making suggestions for what I think you should have at the beginning. Or what you might want of it. Or you think a publisher would not automatically reject now. But, in haste, here it is.

The peritoneal dialysis is done at home every 4 to 6 hours, and with the grantest of care being necessary to avoid infection. I've not even seen any pictures of it but a catheter is placed in the peritonium and inserting what helps the peritoneum replace the kidnesys is placed in and thwn, the beginning of the first cycle, is taken our or allowed to come out, at the end of that cysle, for 20 minutes.

It would mean that after the first couple of weeks I'd be at Gambro only once a month and that would be a blessing!

I hope I am sasufiable candidate for that means of eliminating 18 or more hours of Gambro a week.

Please excuse the hate,

Hoidi

called by the media "the crime of the century." It was at least that, although most readers would not easily perceive this in unstof the books whitten allegedly about it. those about "Allegedyl" because most of the second posed as scholars, and were not, and either. most of those believed they were Sherlock Hommes returned, were not that atxnixxx and most of the books were written by those who did not demean themselves with the spill of the hard labor required to master the actual official evidence produced by the imadequate official cinvestigations. Those authors had more confidence in what they imagined about the crime to than in the estqblished official evidence. With which most were entired unfamiliar.

As we also see, it was an almost instant determination, on the highest official level, not to investigate the crime itself. Incredible as this may seem, it was sufficiently recorded in those days before the Congress enacted that most American of laws, the Freedom of Information Act. That Act states the the people have the right to know what their government does. While the government resisted compliance with that an Act, too often too successfully, breause of FOIA much that was not intended to see the light of day was, if albeit in less degree than would be preferred, did have the hirghing of disclosure make it available of the people.

But most of those writing books supposedly on the assassination and supposedly on its investigation found that foreign to their concepts of the faithin what they crime and of less significance than what they, in their concepts of madeup about the crime and they wrote about writing centered on what they imagined.

The assassination of any President is, whatever the intent of any assassin or assassins, a de facto coup d'etat. Under our ses system to inevitably has that effect and becomes an actual doup d'etat. Not that any of those cliaming to be scholarly and experts told the people this or anything

like it in their bookd. Or made any effort to bringthat kind of information to public attention. Or even used it when others did compel it to be made public under that law.

Because of the uniqueness uniqueness of an assassination and because of effect if not its ineventable consequences, understanding this effort to put together for the people what is known and can be included within the limitations of a single book, we begin by going back to the antecedents attribute and purposes of that the essentially political trip to Texas by means of which the President hoped to be able to databation interest the people in his changed policies and to make his party a stonger party in the Congress and in the nation of presidencies, that John Kennedy was a different man, a different President and with different policies after the faced the unprecedented potential disaster of the 1962 Cuba

policies after the faced the unprecedented potential disaster of the 1962 Cuba which will half incherable the wilds missile crisis, the crisis from which both he and Soviet premier Nikita Khtuschchev both emegered as different men and ifferent leaders.

Perhaps the future will tell us more about that but contempraneously and more recently cholars largely inignored what was apparent then and has become more apparent since then.

However, whether or not this changed Kennedy policy was a factor in the Kennedy assassination can be conjectured but there is no known proof that it was a factor or could have been. What is apparently, however, is that although those in political flife knew very well that the access assassination was, de facto, a coup d'etat, they also saw to it that no official investigation was madein any effort to determine whether the assassination was, by intent, such a crime, a coup d'etat.

These considerations should be kept in mind as we relive some of those painful, official those tragic days, in this effort to report what can be reported of the fact of the crime, going back to the first plans for that most tragic of presidential political trips in which he also (sought to enform the people more about his changed policies.