

- 1.
2. LOCATION
3. WITNESS TO:
 - A. ASSASSINATION
 - B. TSBD
 - C. GRASSY KNOLL
 - D. OSWALD'S FLIGHT
 - E. COMMOTION IN PLAZA IMMEDIATELY AFTER MURDER
4. AGE
5. OCCUPATION
6. PHOTOGRAPHS OR FILM A. YES B. NO
 - A. TYPE
 - B. CONTENT
 - C. CONTROVERSY A. YES B. NO
7. REPORTED HEARING OR SEEING SHOTS A. YES B. NO
 - A. NUMBER OF SHOTS
 - B. DIRECTION OF SHOTS
 - C. IMPACT OF SHOTS
 1. PAVEMENT *Eastway*
 2. TURF
 3. VICTIM

later report
8. SMOKE DETECTED A. YES B. NO
 - A. BY SIGHT
 - B. BY SMELL
9. IMMEDIATE REACTION
10. UNUSUAL OBSERVANCE(S) A. YES B. NO
 - A. BEFORE
 - B. DURING
 - C. AFTER
11. FIGURES OBSERVED IN TSBD WINDOWS A. YES B. NO
 - A. FLOOR
 - B. WINDOW
 - C. NUMBER OF PEOPLE
 - D. DESCRIPTION

Staircase

12. FIGURES OBSERVED IN DEALEY PLAZA A. YES B. NO
 A. AROUND TSBD
 B. R.R. YARDS
 C. GRASSY KNOLL
 D. PARKING LOT
 E. OTHER BUILDINGS
13. CONTROVERSY CONCERNING WITNESS A. YES B. NO
14. CURRENT STATUS/OCCUPATION
15. SOURCES

OFFICIAL INVESTIGATIONS

ask staff counsel - below?

1. WARREN COMMISSION
- A. TESTIMONY BEFORE WARREN COMMISSIONER(S) A. YES B. NO
1. DATE
 2. LOCATION
 3. MEMBER(S) PRESENT
 4. COUNSEL
 5. SOURCE
and those not called
- B. WITNESS DISCUSSED IN WC EXEC. SESSION A. YES B. NO
1. DATE
 2. CONTENT
 3. CONCLUSION
- C. STATEMENT/INFORMATION BEFORE WC ATTN. A. YES B. NO
1. TYPE
 2. DATE
 3. LOCATION
 4. COUNSEL
 5. SOURCE
- D. STATEMENT/INFORMATION AT SHERIFF'S OFF. A. YES B. NO
1. TYPE
 2. DATE
 3. OFFICER(S) INVOLVED
 4. SOURCE
- E. STATEMENT/INFORMATION BY D. POLICE DEPT. A. YES B. NO
1. TYPE
 2. DATE
 3. OFFICER(S) INVOLVED
 4. SOURCE

This appears to be limited to what had. FBI (and others?) had what it did not have of FBI. Kind of what it did not get

- F. STATEMENT/INFORMATION BY FBI A. YES B. NO
 - 1. TYPE
 - 2. DATE(S)
 - 3. LOCATION
 - 4. AGENT(S) INVOLVED
 - 5. MISQUOTE OF INFORMATION A. YES B. NO
 - 6. SOURCE

- G. PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE
 - 1. MATERIAL ABSENT FROM 26 VOLS. A. YES B. NO
 - 2. MATERIAL PRESENT IN 26 VOLS. A. YES B. NO
 - 3. EVALUATION AND TREATMENT
 - a. BY WARREN COMMISSION
 - b. BY WARREN COMMISSION ATTORNEY(S)
 - c. BY INVESTIGATIVE ARM (FBI)
 - 4. SOURCE(S)

- H. OSWALD ALIBI
 - 1. WITNESS MATERIAL PROVIDE ONE A. YES B. NO
 - 2. SOURCE
 - 3. RELEVANT MATERIAL SUPPRESSED A. YES B. NO
 - 4. METHOD OF SUPPRESSION
 - 5. RE-ENACTMENT ACCURATE A. YES B. NO
 - 6. SOURCE

- G. WARREN REPORT A. YES B. NO
 - 1. CHAPTER
 - 2. PAGE(S)
 - 3. FOOTNOTE(S) REFERENCE PAGE(S)
 - 4. CONCLUSIONS AND/OR EVALUATION

2. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE ASSASSINATIONS-1978

It also used staff reports as material by testimony

- A. TESTIMONY BEFORE COMMITTEE A. YES B. NO
 - 1. FIRST TIME WITNESS A. YES B. NO
 - 2. RE-INTERVIEW OF '63 WITNESS A. YES B. NO
 - 3. DATE
 - 4. MEMBERS PRESENT
 - 5. MATERIAL SUPPORT WC FINDINGS A. YES B. NO
 - 6. SOURCE

- B. PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE
 - 1. TYPE
 - 2. CONTENT
 - 3. METHOD OF EVALUATION
 - 4. EXPERTS INVOLVED
 - 5. CONCLUSIONS
 - 6. SOURCE(S)

C. OSWALD ALIBI


1. WITNESS MATERIAL PROVIDE ONE A. YES B. NO
2. SOURCE

D. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE REPORT

1. CHAPTER
2. PAGE(S)
3. EVALUATION OF MATERIAL
4. CONCLUSION(S)

3. OTHER (GARRISON, ROCKEFELLER, CHURCH, TEXAS COURTS, ETC.)

Edwards on committee (in history)



✓ Here is my weakness ^(other investigations)
✓ I'm not adequately familiar
w/ all these investigations.
yet - primarily because I'm
not sure of available documents.

Have you run into real problems - the books
+ whether or not they are responsible for inclusion
of material some of fake!

NON-OFFICIAL INVESTIGATIONS

1. NEWSPAPER ARTICLES

A. DALLAS MORNING NEWS

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT

B. DALLAS TIMES HERALD

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT

C. FT. WORTH STAR TELEGRAM

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT

D. NEW YORK TIMES

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT

E. OTHER :

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT


2. MAGAZINES

A. TITLE

1. DATE
2. AUTHOR
3. CONTENT

3. DOCUMENTARIES

- A. PRODUCER(S)
- B. WRITER(S)
- C. SUPPORT OF WC FIND
- D. CONCLUSIONS



Perhaps I should add:
← 4. MOCK TRIALS
[for the Showtime presenta-
tion last week!]

CRITICAL EVALUATION OF WITNESS AND RELATED MATERIAL SINCE
1963 BY RESPONSIBLE RESEARCHERS.

- A. Can you know enough to include & exclude? Do the numbers, theology, or
conspiracy theories, fakes, etc



16 1963, memo with admission, why get W. P. Frazer, 1st + 2nd
Treatment of person by ¹⁹⁶³ _{Taggart, Carolyn}
various agencies, & their rele-
vant documents.