Prospective witnesses- Dallas doctors Autopsy doctors

1/5/69 Harold Weisberg

When you asked for my suggestions, you specified doctors. Therefore, I make no mention of nurses or others, as for example Price, who is used effectively by Thompson (and have I think accurately) and, as I recall, by CHS. Price could be a good witness in a number of non-modical areas having to do with the acute defects of the investigation, beginning immediately. If you are interested in the nurses, some of whom have had more experience with gunshot wounds then many doctors, the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopay" of WHITEWASHs porhaps part 2 of WW 11 (you have index), will guide you.

Those I'd recommend would be determined by the number you want. I presume you cannot cell all of them, so I limit my recommendation to four:

Shires, because he can prove conspiracy by reading the X-rays he ordered of Connelly, post operative. What I have in FHITE MASH is quite accurate, despite the whoring around and misrepresentation by the AP- Gavzer and Moody. He discovered a metal fragment remaining in the cheat. Poor, overburdened Bullet 399 just could not spare that additional fragment, no matter how tiny. He was in charge of Connelly's case after surgery, therefore, aside from the surgery, he is the main medical man on Connelly. Specter was careful to keep him sway from the mather imabers, perhaps for this chest-fragment reason. Examination might disclose others. Is has the advantage of not having been led through the Specter hanky-penky before the Commission. I think there is little doubt he would cast medical disapproval on the whole single-bullet contrivence, which means conspiracy. He also spent a long time with Connelly, presumeably when no one else was arfound and when Connelly was under and was first out of enestheesia.

Perry, who is an attractive and personable young man, whe also perjured himself. e told me that the bullet did cause lung demage and the rear non-fatel," from what the Bathesde doctors told him, was two inches down on the back. How persuasive he will be with his past infarm before the Commission I do not know. You have a memo on my interview, I think. He will testify with pride about the special kind of inconspicuous incision he made for the insertion of the tube. It was not the normal vertical slit such "experts" as Helpern assume. It was accross the neck, bizarre twist that this is, for cosmetic reasons. Upon healing it is just like another fold in the skin and is invisible. Fealing? But that is the kind he made. He will testify to two calls from Bethesds. not the one unes testifed to, and that it would not have been a bit unusual for the Bethesde doctors to have called him at night rather than after they completed their autopsy examination, while they still had the body. If you use him and Kamp Clark both, you elso use Humes)

Clark did testify that Perry did know what the sutopsy would say and therefore asked him to take over the Saturday press conference. His letter to Burkley was altered at some point prior to publication in the Commission's evidence. It should be subpensed, as should every original hospital record, including Osweld's sutopsy and the pictures of it. Shires just might have some Connelly pictures, of/the hospital might. It was a Presidential assassination} he was a vory important man in the State in his own right. The question never arose be fore the Commission, natural ly....Going along with this, would it not be nice to subpens Specter? Can be plead executive prividege for what became a public investigation with publication of the testimon? Oh, boy, would ' like to question him! Aside from the evidence of the actual shooting that you could get from Clark, what do you think the jury would think when they learn his letter to the President's physician wass altered before publication? It is visible 'f you have forgotten WHITEWASH. Just look at Exhibit 392.

McClelland, of course, because of his contemporaneous report giving

as the cause of desth "gunshot wound to the left templt". Here I encourage you to forget all the crap Mark he s been dishing out about what the Zaprider film shows, for it shows no such thing. What he says may make a constion, but it just isn't true. There is abundant reason for believing there was a left-head wound, like I've elready cited with Altgens. Read what I say about McCleiland in MHITEWASH. Specter slee did not produce him before the Commission. Te didn't dare. The indications sre McClelland would not back down on this. Specter never asked him about it! Instead he let the record stand. When asked if there was anything he'd said he'd want to change. McClelland said there was not. He would not back down. There is a young doctor who can corroborate him, if that is necessary, but he was then very junior. He was never a Commission witness. I found out about him by accident. I have him in POST MCHTMM. McClelland, remember, was standing at the head and looking right down on it, the only doctor to de this.

Through these doctors, all except Perry being senior on the staff, I think you can do an additional thing that is inherent in some of my other memore, get a large number of pictures not in the Connission evidence in your evidence and public. I do not know, but I believe there must be Connally pictures. I know there are Osweld pictures. With Caweld, as I do in unpublished POST MORTHM, it is even possible to build a case for 'ack Buby notbeing the <u>immediate</u> dause of death. Hubert's deposition of Bieberdorff, the medicel student who was the jail first-aid man, is a classic of untainted incompetence. He gue ranteed Osweld would be dead by the "treatment", If you are interested, I will go into this with you. Briefly, the effect of Bieberdorff's "treatment" was to make it impossible for Caweld to talk- and he did, indeed, try?

I will do a separate mame on the autopsy doctors. However, I want to re-emphasize that if you take their testimony before the Warren Commission and do nothing but diplicate it in court, using Humes, Perry and Clark, you will have a perjury case and probably one of subcrastion. This, too, is in "HITEWACH, the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopsy". I sent both "umes and Boswell copies of WHITEWACH, solicited their comment, saked for interviews, and they were and have been publicly 100% silent.

Aside from Perry, who could not be avoided, the doctors who got the major stiention before the Commission were Shew and Gregory. They did the major surgery on Connelly. They never did say that 599 could have done what Specter attributed to it (egain I refer you to that some chapter of WHITEWASH. But they will have to resist you because of the use made of them. They actually said 399 could not have had its imputed history. They were asked to conjecture about any bullet but that, could one buklet have coused these wounds, regardless of what this did to the bullet. Specter left that bit out. I think they will not be as amenable to constructive testimony as Shires, McClelland and Clark.

Returning to Price, if you have forgotten, he would testify that, when Tomilineon gave him the bullet from undernaath the mattrass, he, in turn, could not interest any federal agent in it. If there is a chance of possession from Témlineon to the witness stand, it does not exist in the evidence or the "eport. I publish the story of how the Secret Service in Washington learned about it and got it in WHITEWASH II. It was in an agent's pocket and he neglected to report having it! Note also that when each of the hospital employees involved was asked to prepere ë statement, immediately, there is none printed (Price exhibits) for Témlinson. So, all the original copies of all the original statements and all other records thould be subpensed. Could Price testify to Tomlinson's, having been the one who provided them to the Commission? Temlinson will Worried, was or be led to worry, about perjury.

Also, please beer in mind the car was washed at the hoppital. Same Chapter, also WHITE ASH II. Ton Wicker, NYTimes Washington Bureau Chief, still has his original notes of seeing a bucket of bloody water by the car.

2 ;

SALAR N. S.