Ms. Opral Winfrey c/o Channel 7 Washington, DC

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Hs. Winfroy,

Your brust and that of your listeners was imposed upon to promoted a disgustingly bad work of fiction with the false title, Oswald Talked.

Ray and Mart La Fontaine are subject-matter ignoranuses when it comes to the JFK assas sination. They exploit and commercialize it knowing Nothing about the established fact and coming less about it.

Oswald did not talk, and John Elrod refused to say what they say he said, as the transcript of their effort to get him to say it on Hard Copy leaves with out question. And not any of these records they claim was brught to light by their supposed "Salicon Valley cavalry" was brought to light by him or was even not publicly available before he and they got off on their exploitation and commercialization. They also do not mean on the said.

Please excuse my typing. I'm 83, in impaired health, and the typing wan be no better. I write the first book on the Warren Commission and the JFK assassination and sight since then, Four are of the Whitewash series. To this day not one of those of whom I have been so critical has written of phoned to claim I was unfair or inaccurate in writing of him. And the FBI told a federal court in one of my dotten lawsuits under the Freedom of Information and than anyone working for the FBI. I obtained a third of a million pages that had been withheld by those lawsuits. I make them freely available to everone working in the field and once the corts require the information be disclosed to me they are not only public, they are also in the FBI and the CIA public reading rooms.

I go into this to accredit myself. I do not see an appearance on your show. Travel is dangerous for me and I do not travel.

When it was no longer safe for me to use the stairs to our basement where I have that third of a million pages of records in something like 60 file Cabinets, which means when I no longer had access to that treasure of official fact others can and do use, I decided to spend what time remains to me to perfect the record of that great tragedy for our history. In form this is a series of book-length manuscripts exposing both side of the controversy. I am alone in the middle and I do welcome that longliness! alone also short to M. Alone W. M. C.

At the time you had Harina Oswald Porter and Mary La Fontaine on your show

I had only one copy of the retyped rough draft, of copy with typing better than this. I'd loaned it out. "t has just been returned. If you have the interest in the assassination your reflected on that show I'll be glad to have a xerox of on on assistmentions that munuscript and its documentation made for you.

Almost without except the books that can be and are published are not based on fact and are based on theories which are not factual in any case. Some are by those who intend well and some are conmercializations and exploitations, as that of the La Fontaines is without possibility of any question. I have prepared for out history a series of such manuscripts and they will be deposited in a fine college where they will be a permanent public archive. No quid pro quo.

If you read the La Fontaine ficton or had one of your staff do that for you, there was no need for any smitch on the arrest the La Fontaines exaggerate beyond reason. It was only five weapons that were in the car in which a man did get messed up a bit. Oswald not only did not and could not have reported on that, it was in fact caused when the driver ran a red light and was speeding and when the police chased him he hit a utility pole. The story in the Dallas Morning News before the La Fontaines turned their wild imaginations loose is quite clear on this. Besides which Os and Elrod were never in the same cell.

There is no innocence for the La Fontaines on this. There is for poor "arina, who wants so to clear their names for her daughters and her grandchildren she grabs at what seems to have promotee. She trusts people, too, having nothing to hide.

The La Doutaines got the Washington Post to publish some of their concection. I wrote a long critical comment and fefutation of it for the Post, much too long for publication, intending that my copy be a record for history. The Prot asked if they could send it to the La Fontaines and - not only said they could, I also said that if they disputed any of it I would respond in writing. I never heard from the La Fontaines. They a friend who was a Dallas detectives the one handcuffed to Oswald when Ruby shot him, sent me a sketch of the jail cells. That proved that what the La Fontaines said about what they made up had happened was impossible. The Post sont that to them and they were sighent about it, They do not use it in their book. They nevely changed what they had made up to eliminate what this proved to be impossible that they'd written for the Post.

They also did not come to look at any of the records I make available to all. But if they had they'd not have written their fictional book that has no connection with the assassination at all. What you and Marina both missed is that

rather than learn anything about the assassination itslef they merely assume that the official assassination mythology is true. Their entire fabrication is based on the false ssumption that Oswald was a police smitch. While that is false, if swald is not regarded as the assassin who cares if he had been a smithe?

Marina is a trusting person. She made thhe mistake of trusting Norman Mailer and that scavenger of years of practise and experience. "arry Schiller. Mailer's abuse of her in his mistattled book, Osmald's Tale, which it isn't, is so terribly bad and openly dishonest it is hard to believe. I also have a lengthy manuscript on Mailer's Pathetic Tales but yet retyped but away being retyped. If how he amuse abused her interest you, my wife retyped that separately and I am send you a copy. I gave Marina one.

For were quote persuasive on that show in your statement that you are not satisfied with the official "solution." Perhaps that made it easier to take advantage of you and your trust. That is not uncommon. I also enclose what I am sending to Lik Smith who was lied to by A & E, their P.R. people and by Judith Eamphell Exner.

If I have any information you want I'll be glad to make it available to anyone who may want to look at it or to the degree poznible for me now I'll make and send you copies.

Sincerely,

Hawll lister