

Dear Scott,

Wickson

6/25/94

Thanks for the Newsday article. I x̄ did not have a copy. Had heard of it.

In your OK prologue, David Belin is one you forgot in saying all were not like Posner's book. If you did not say it, it can be taken that way.

Not only was Humes not disciplined- he was promoted to captain. That is like colonel in the Army.

Verily, virtue is its own reward!

I knew of a set of black and white autopsy pictures other than the official set but did not know of any color pictures. From the original negatives, the color set was printed at the Navy Lab. The Secret Service could and did process the black-and-whites.

Unless there were other negatives, I doubt this has any real significance or can add to what we know.

Busy day. Excuse the haste. Best wishes,

Harold

6/22/94

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

I'm just dropping you a note to thank you for letting us visit with you this past 6/4. Bob and I really had a great time seeing Mrs. Weisberg and you.

I would like to order a copy of your Selections from Whitewash. I don't know how much this costs. Could you send me a copy of the book with a bill? If you would send me the book with a bill I will then send you a check for the amount that you specify. I'd appreciate it if you would autograph the book for me.

I have one question that has been on my mind and if you'd be good enough to answer it i'd be grateful. To your knowledge was Dr. Humes ever disciplined in any way for burning his original autopsy notes? I feel that his excuse for burning them is a phony one. He has stated that he burned these notes because they had The President's blood on them and he was afraid that they could become some kind of morbid public event if someone got hold of them and displayed them for the whole world to see. To me this reason does not hold water. It would seem to me that at the very least Humes should have been court-martialed for burning his autopsy notes. Can you tell me what if anything was done about this?

I am also enclosing a prologue that I have written regarding Posner's book Case Closed. I wrote this around the time of the 30th anniversary. Could you give me your opinion of it? I hope you think it is worthy.

Again, in closing I'd like to tell you that your book Case Open is a masterpeice and that I enjoyed seeing you and spending the time at your house. I hope you are feeling well.

Sincerely,



Scott Wilson

11/22/63-Thirty years after, Case Closed or Confused?

PROLOGUE

From 12:30 p.m., 11/22/63 to this very moment, the national tragedy known as the assassination of President John F. Kennedy has posed more painful questions, while causing significant controversy in the attempt to answer these questions than any other event in history.

To date there have been innumerable words written on this gruesome subject. The official words written by our government, known as The Warren Report were so full of inconsistencies and inaccuracies that it caused private citizens to analyze it's conclusions in a faultfinding light.

This group of citizens is known universally as critics of The Warren Report. To this end there have been many books written on this subject by critics with as many diverse and varying theories on the assassination as a sane person's mind could imagine.

These many theories have yet to solve the many mysteries of the assassination but these writings have caused significant debate over the integrity, honesty and intentions of our government since 11/22/63. The major questions continue to be Lee Oswald acted at all, alone or was he part of a conspiracy. *Whether* Whether or not Oswald was an agent of any government, foreign or domestic. The remaining unanswered questions are too numerous to list here.

The year 1993 has been very important in regard to this topic. Besides being the 30th anniversary of this terrible event, many government files have been declassified and many books have again been written.

Perhaps the most controversial book written this year is Case Closed by Gerald Posner. In light of the overwhelming public opinion that there was a conspiracy in the assassination, Posner's book claims to prove that Oswald committed this ugly deed alone with no confederates and no conspiracy, foreign or domestic. It is this fact that makes this book markedly different than many others written on this subject.


Below
However, after careful study of this book, Mr. Posner can be found to be somewhat negligent, derelict and untruthful in his presentation of the facts. This book is written in a carefully worded, easily readable form. This can make the actual reading go quickly. However, this is not a book that should be given only a cursory glance. The subject matter demands slow scrutiny, word by word, paragraph by paragraph. Upon careful examination of Posner's writings it is evident that he writes from a position of bias and prejudice. Worst of all, Posner resorts to ugly personal attacks on most of the early critics of The Warren Report. Posner fails to acknowledge that it was the government's fault that private citizens were forced to analyze The Report because of the slip shod job that The Warren Commission tried to jam down the throat of the American public. If The Commission had done the right and truthful thing there would have been no need for people to investigate this on their own. That this happened this way is an especially sad commentary on this black period in our history. Posner also shows us how low he can stoop, when he personally attacks people like Sylvia Meagher. Posner would be able to rid himself of the yellow streak on his spine if he would attack people who were ALIVE. That

way the recipients of Posner's vile attacks could at least defend themselves from Posner's vile form of slander. These past sentences are not a personal attack on Mr. Posner. As Americans we are all free to have our own opinions and to pursue our own ideas, Mr. Posner included. This Prologue is an attempt to make others aware that Posner has omitted important facts and slanted others to lend credibility to his preconceived theories on the assassination.

It is a shame Mr. Posner chose to write a defense brief for The Warren Report. He could have chosen to utilize his expertise and talents in other useful areas with this topic.

The really sad irony of the assassination is when we speak of freedom and the right to choose. The people's right of choice was nullified when President Kennedy died while the right of his immediate family to have a loving father and husband was trampled by the forces behind his removal from office.

This Prologue was written for my children Kristen and Brandon Wilson and for all the dedicated people who have sacrificed their lives in the hope that the real truth will someday be known.



Scott Wilson