Dear Scott,

Thanks for your 8/8 amf the enclosures.

I'll not write the Times. It would make no difference and would waste that time.

I informed the magazing editor about Posner and sent him Cane Open, without response and as you see, with the Times still going for him and his stuff.

Besides which much of what he says about Garrison and what he did is true. He has seen those records and while he lies he may be using them faithfully.

"I know where what he did not see is and I'm saying nothing about that because they without question can be used, meaning misused, to hurt people.

Those other records were not stolen, as Carrison said. We had them put away and know the man who did thatfor him.

You ask about Carrison backing out on getting the stuff from the Archives Shaw case the very moment he won in court and ask if he was legtimiately insane. What wrote is understated and I do not know whether he was really literally crazy. You talk about coming again. Nay I suggest that when you do you tape what I'll tell you and that can serve as an oral history. If you decide to do whis I suggest that you and any others with you think in advance of the questions you want answered and then after I've said what I can recall, ask them.

But believe me, I was his expert with his counsel at the counsel table and when in the courtroom he got a call from Garrison to knock it all of, that afternoon I refused to sit with him. After lunch the judge decided for him, the DJ people went immediately to file their appeal and the rest of us left. We were parked at the same parking lot. When I turned the ignition key on the all-news radio station came on authomatically and the 3 p.m. CRS news quoted "arrison as withdrawing from the case he did not know he'd won, saying it was all a CDA plot against him! I told Fensterwald and the others who were parked there and then went home. With all the time since then to figure this out I still have no rational explanation. Can you imagine what it would have meant to have that rifle, the clothing, things like that, examined in public by authentic experts, and all about the autopsy, too, with ht media present and in an official record? That is what Garrison blow.

Thanks for asking about us. Lil has more trouble getting around now but we have his and her canes and we are making out.

Haroly

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

As per our recent phone conversation, I've enclosed any related items that I've found recently. Most interesting perhaps is Posner's article Another Case Closed on pg. 40 of The NY Times Magazine. I'd very much like to know your impressions of Posner's article and what he claims regarding the contents of Garrison's files. Are you planning to write The Times regarding Posner's article?

I'm also sending a video on Hoover's FBI that I think you may find enjoyable. This video details the history of the FBI and their activities during WW 2.

In chapter 12 of Never Again you speak about a secret govt. report that was being withheld but after Dr. Wecht testified Judge Halleck was going to let Garrison have the records that he was seeking only to have Garrison withdraw from his efforts to get thisw material claiming that this was part of a CIA plot to ruin his case. I'm wondering what was going on with Garrison that would make him withdraw from this effort. Was Garrison legimately insane? Any thing you can tell me about this would really be helpful.

It was good to talk to you again and I hope to come down for another visit this October. I hope you are feeling well.

Sincerely,

Scott Will