

8/2/75

Rep. Charles H. Wilson (D., Ca.)
House of Representatives,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Congressman Wilson,

Your investigation into mail surveillance interests me as a citizen who is concerned about the persistent whittling away of our traditional freedoms and because I am one of the victims of this surveillance.

All of my intercepted mail had to do with the exercise of first-amendment rights. However, I do not believe that only my mail to eastern Europe was intercepted and I do have reason to believe that at the very least there was an intrusion into my correspondence with England and West Germany.

I would very much like to go over all of the testimony you have taken and that of any other committees of which you know. If this testimony is not printed I would be glad to return galley proofs (which I used to make available to writers when I was an editor for the Senate) or transcripts if it would not be necessary to examine them in your offices.

From my own experiences I believe your inquiry ought to include political influences inside the postal-investigation service and other domestic acts I believe to be improper.

These were both before and during Mr. Cotter's tenure.

There were three supposed investigations I can recall and of which I have records. Two resulted in my being assured there was no interference of any kind with my mail even after I had proof from my London agent that the opposite was true. The third failed to turn up a single copy of even one of hundreds of undelivered books every one of which had my address printed in it. (Regulations required return to an identified address and the salvage of all paper.)

In another case of overt mail fraud, I still have the evidence the inspection service selected of the much greater amount I offered it in the package in which it was returned. The package to this day remains unopened. The fraud was so clear that acting as my own lawyer I obtained a 100% settlement plus costs and interest without going to court.

The work I do is not popular with federal investigators because it is an exposure of their failings and misdeeds in the political assassinations. To this day, after a decade of it, no single agency, in or out of court, has attributed factual error to me or to any charge I have made. I have made these charges under oath. It thus also is pointless for me to try to pursue another case of overt mail fraud because the defrauding serves the political interests of federal investigators.

On the part of the CIA, they deny in writing having any domestic files on me even after I inform them I have copies of some. The latest response of the FBI to my latest proof of its false swearing was to blandly certify to the court that I know more about the subjects with which I work than anyone in the FBI. I believe these two of many instances indicates what I allege to the postal service.

Sincerely,
Harold Weisberg