

Dear Ed,

3/5/74

~~xxx~~ Fairleigh-Dickinson is publishing HR, university press. I think it will hit a good market. Reflection here is of continuing interest

If I detect a note of desperation or futility in this letter, I would encourage you to better cheer. We face only another of the corruptions of society but it is possible to overcome. We have deterred ourselves because so many of us have been defectives, from plain dishonesty to plain paranoid and have undercut all in undercutting each other.

Disagree entirely with your comments on the autopsy data. I did not ruin it by giving what I did to N.O. That, first of all, was only part, enough for their legitimate purposes. And there is no question of documentation. If I had the money to do a private printing, which is ready save for the typing of carded index and decisions on the appendix, the real problem would be what to leave out of the appendix. I have perhaps 500 relevant and worthwhile pages.

It is virtually impossible to deal with the Enquirer. I try often enough only because of the pay. But I would not write for them. I would sell them documentation. They twist everything, as my experiences prove. And it does no good for anything to appear there.

However, where it seems like it can't hurt, I have tried and there is not any long interval between efforts. I sold them a Russell piece early last fall and they didn't use it and didn't pay for it, giving me a small sum instead. I then, not for the first time, proposed a King piece and they have been "considering" it ever since, most recently less than two weeks ago. They are quite capable of going off on their ~~own~~ own on the same thing.

~~And~~ the so-called responsible press is worse.

For the most part, I believe the appearance of just another piece means nothing to us.

And while I can't take the time to tell you of the other efforts, Cesar and I are making them. A personality who is in a position to help will be back in the country in a month. An old friend who can act as an agent is due back in a week and he and I are supposed to get together.

In short, there is never any long period of time in which there is not some ongoing effort, not really deterred by a long history that says it is a waste of time. To admit that and be guided by it would be to quit.

You sum it all up in your Ellsberg comment.

It could have been different, but all those fairly numerous "critics" with varying means, from more than mere comfort to considerable wealth, lacked the unselfishness and were the creatures of unrecognized personal ambition. There is no possibility of person profit for me in my work and many of them could have had it printed without ever feeling it. One agreed to, one other implied he would. And another, a multimillionaire, still owe me money!

We may have as many as 400-500 orders for Post Mortem now! Imagine that!

LOYALIST } COLLEGE
OF APPLIED ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY

Dear Harold,

Why is Rosenberg's
published?

Yes, there is a market
for assassination material
as per E.A., Nayer, Arlington,
Condon's New Novel but
publishers want real,
sensational junk.

It is hard to deal
with autopsy data because
your coaching of Orin
destroyed the credibility
of the autopsy.
As for academic
material, too much documentation
is unavailable.

Who Killed King?
Article would seem
timely - The National
Enquirer, perhaps.

Of course, Ellsberg
had one hell of a time
finding an outlet for
The Pentagon Papers!

