1/23/13

Dear Ed.

Your 7/24 questions are good ones.

If I was under CIA observation after the beginning of Nixonia, I am unaware of it. I know my convesations have been tapped on the phones of several others in several cities by the FBL. One might be CIA. Don't know, but I've had faithful representation of content.

No WG investigator will be interested. There are other proofs. I've tried.

I'm developing an interest in Nixon's concern over the Bay of Pigs and Mexico, with some progress but not definitiveness. He was the action man of Ike's White House on it. His old interest is in Six Crises, chapter on 1960 campaign.

I don't know if any WG figures could have had ex post facto JFK ass knowledge. I would consider that if CIA developed any recorded information, two could have had access.

Excuse haste. With five-day hearings and writing, I've less than the previous toolittle time. Guess I've written about 25,000 words from the top and quite a few in snatches for Watergate: Fascism's Floodgate. I'm also not advertising this for several reasons. Had an approach from a (silent) German publisher. Know anybody who would care for a tough book with a unique format and the only viable doctrine, if I can judge from what I've read of those in the works?

Because this is a breaking story, I'm reluctant to commit to a fixed outline. However, since beginning the writing from the front, I've had no reason to consider changing planned content or approach. The only testimony I can anticipate having any significant influence on content is Hunt's, and the way things are going he may not be a rational witness (compared with his pre-WG rationality even).

What I am really saying is that impeachment, resignation -even a military takeover will make no major change in what I'm writing. You may recall I wrote the doctrine five years ago, held it earlier.

If you see anything in PW, would welcome. If you hear anything nuts may be up to, I'H like to know so I can be ready. The trees are always loaded, and I've heard an odd noise from the forest's carpet.

Best,

7/24/73 Deare Harcold, Ware you under CIA observation during De Nivon administration Up so, would such data gall under any of The Watergate related investi-The Dattom line is O Beef ut is still the not usutter tip of The iceling. How many Other Providentias planeautions Judia There. Why was nivon so concerned about The exposure of Bars of Pigs and The NFK. un that Watergate Bigures had Anaulodge if after The fact? What do you Think? Sumalaly,