

11/29/69

Dear Mr. Williams,

With the passing of time, my recollection may be wrong, but I believe the Azque incident has been blown up from nothing in a phoney effort to make it seem Oswald had connections with Soviet intelligence or some special relations with the Cubans. Both are without warrant. Azque was ready for retirement and to the best of my recollection there was no secret that it was due and on a scheduled date. Especially is this a more likely explanation when the dressing down he gave Oswald is recalled. I think the most likely explanation is that when O asked to see a he was told a was busy getting his papers ready for his successor, etc. There are many such booby-traps with which the evidence is studded.

The Paul Scott column is nonetheless interesting. --e follows a rather extremist view from the right and gives things a special twist to make them consistent with this initial attitude. Some of it is plain gibberish. For example, the declassification of what is still withheld. Almost all of that requires no action higher than Mitchell, since he is responsible for by far the largest part of it as Attorney General (it coming from his department). The Commission itself is responsible for little of this. Almost all was by the agencies of original, and far and away most are of FBI origin.

I am glad to get the copy of this column, however, for I am anxious to keep track of all the misrepresentations, especially when there is any chance they may have official inspiration. You did not mention the paper in which it appeared and the date. If you can send me this I'd appreciate it.

Sincerely,

Wm L He - Mex no - Hand lettered volume

42-55 Coedem St.
Flushing, 11355
N.Y., N.Y.

11/24/69

Dear Mr Weisberg,

I thought that you might find the enclosed article quite interesting.

As to how Oswald could have been the recipient of such information, one can note that the security of The Cuban embassy had been penetrated by The C.I.A. as shown by "CD 935: Role of Cuba Intell.

Serv. in processing rice
appl. "

Sincerely,
Edward R. Williams