Dear Mr. Williams.

Thanks for your letter saying you are sending the Goldberg article. I look forward to it.

I found "Special Investigation Senator" especially interesting because it is so completely a whitewash. But, it has clear purposes, goes into no subject not already covered in the press, omits most names, and winds up withman advance apology in case it is proven wrong. It does provide a few good leads that, apparently, were ignored by the police.

I've read Bosch's preface only. It is remerkably close to my own writing a little earlier then his, unpublished for thet book has been leid aside. I call it TIGER TO RIDE.

I'll answer your questions about the Shaw trial as best I can with brevity. The "probe", as most people down there and you call it, was enything but that. Very little real investigating was done because Garrison was convinced, at least partly through the persuasion of others, that the government would never let him take the case to court. However, he did have in his files and did not use, I cannot tell you why, evidence that could have convicted Shaw of perjury while he was on the stand. He does not have this ibcluded in the perjury charge and again I cannot tell you why. I happen to know this evidence because I gave it to him be fore the trial, for different reasons. Sop what happened in New Orleans is that the officials did very little real investigation there (I did a fair amount when I could, but my interest was never Shaw) and, when the Supreme Court found in Garrison's favor, he set the trial for too-close a date and then had to proceed with lawyers unfamiliar with the case and too soon to look any further.

I do not know when the various perjury and theft cases will be heard. If any has been set for trial, I haven't heard of it. I do not have copies of and have not read the charges, but in at least three cases I believe Garrison 1s right.

Again my thanks for the worthwhole things you send.

Sincerely,

Harold Teisberg

42-55 Cocolon. St Opt. 154 Flushing, 11355 N.Y.

Dear Mr. Wenderg,

You runder D went

Cover Copy Of The

Goedlerg-Pempheet.

Ot Officialty of The

Coup of Internal.

Coup of otat was

Coup of otat was

Coup of otat was

Coup of otat was

Coup of the cooldarg was The

Goedlewg of The Commission.

Pudeisher imterest Presis poor. Ogein, Roch The

the only anishones that the probe un-Janeal de différent. e to understand H did some in · -cmadby