Prof. Donald L. Wilkes School of Law The Univerdity of Georgia Athens, GE 30602-6012

Dear Professor Wilkes,

It is a remarkable coincidence that your article on Hosty's bookreached me the day I finished about 70,000 words on it for the record for history. It confirmed to me that some of his les would be persuasive even among some who have some familiarity with the subject matter but in not in the most intimate detail. Hosty's is I think the most determinedly dishonest of all the bad books on the ssassination. I do not recall a single thing in it that is new and dependable. And it is dominated, as he is, by his stronly-held political beliefs that are irrational.

Even his title is a lie. He was taken off the Oswald case as soon as the police learned from Oswald, in Hosty's presence, that Oswald had left that letter for him. Later he was used for a few offds and ends on Oswald's background. Yet the book refers to him as "the lead investigator," too. Title, hosely ment: Dawell:

There mever was any proof that Kostikov was a wet har h jobs expert. The CIA's own records admit that was a presumption only by those wild political nuts they had in Mexico, which is a long and separate story. They, withmambassador Mann, tried hard to use the assassination as a means of getting Wolfd War III started. But if he had been, Oswald did not "meet" Kostikov. It was another consul who may laso have been MGB, as so many of ours are CIA, and the Hosty mind could, of course say it makes no difference; they allare.

Nechiporenko was part of the money-making so many in the USSR went in for as soon as they saw the potential. The KGB in links skinned Schiller and that gave the also greedy Mailer his disater. I've a long manuscript on that for the record for history. The initial reports on the Nechiporenko book made it clear it was fiction to commercialize the market fo I did not get it.

There is much that is "rong with "ewman's book. I do not have the time to go into all of it. We knows better than the Duran story he tells. know that because not being able to travel and thus not having had access to all the records I still have what leaves that without question, the CIA's Box 57 at the archives, a summary of all Mexico City station communications to and from headquaerters. That alleged Duran concession of having had sex with Oswald as beaten but of her when she was rrested, with no charge at all, by the Mexican police, who did that twice at the demand of the CIA hotshots. That scared even CIA HQ but its warning got there too late to avoid the second beating up. Yet based on this Mewman says that Castro was "implicated." in the assassination.

Newman is wrong on the Rignguier, Odio and other stories, too, and his basic New Orleans line is fictional, that Oswald as "underground" until that "ringuier business that in addition Newman misdates. Oswald was never "underground" in any sense.

All of these people. each beginning with his own preconceptions that usually are political, ignore what is most obvious in their attributing the assassination to the Cubans or the Soviets, and that begins with the solution to the Cuba missile crisis of 1962. That thereat to the world ended when JFK guaranteed Cuba against any invasion. That public assurance Khruschev could not make, the reason, one of the reasons he put those missiles there. There simply is no way that carto would knock off his only real protector.

That crisis ended with the beginning of Kenedy's and Khruschev's groping toward peace, which each wanted very much. They kchanged some 40 letters the Soviets have been willing to disclose but our Stated Department is holding some back. There is simply no chance in the world that Khruschve any more than Castro preferred the hwak Johnso to the dove Kennedy.

I take time for a little more on Newman, whose book does not connect Oswald with the CIA.

He was here, by invitation, for Thanksgiving two or three years also, before he did his book, after his fine testimony to the Conyers committee on restoring the faith of the popule, which I liked. He spent several hours just giving me the eye, rather openly for a man who all those years in intelligence. I told him of a record had that was stolen and how he could replace it, the real mumber the Texans age the Commission, It was not5172, which Connie Hudkins told me he'd made up I was 119669. He was excited when he called me the following Monday to tell me he'd found if exactly where I'd told him in the Commisssion's records. He did not send me the copy he'd promised. I ask also showed him the proof I have from the Navy that Oswald had CRYPTO clearance. It was right at out copier and when I started to make a copy he told me not to, that he'd get his own. There is no mention in Neuman's book of either that number Rankin kept secret or of Oswald's high clearances as a marina. Crypto required Top Secret.

Also by coincidence I had a call yesterday from a man who describes himself as a retired marine officer. He was close to Oswald's age and was in the Jacksonville class behind Oswald. Instead of being sent to Keesler from there he was sent to I twink he said Millington, in Tennessee, for the same training. He did exactly the same work Oswald did and he had, had to have for it, CRYPTO and Top Secret cleary ances. He said he would write me more on this. He knew Oswald at Santa Ana but not intimately. They rode the bus to work together. He also was winting in

In the course of pretending to k make the investigation it never made the government went into Wso many diversions and digressions there is no limit on what the exploiters and commercializers can get into that has nothing at all to do with the actualities of the crime itself. There likewise is little possibility that even those relatively well informed about the ssassination can know ensight to evaluate the clever fakes. Hosty's is rather heavy-handed and openly dishonest but almost nobddy can pike it all up. And when most of us are willing to believe the worst about any reds, real or imagined, that makes it easier for them.

The FB1 knew Oswald was not a communist and was in fact strongly anti-Communist. Yet Hosty refers throught to him as a member of the party.

I think it was one of the many serious tragedies that the Cammission coincided with the Civil Rights Act. Russell was strongly anti-Communist and I believe had been led to believe that Oswald was one. He told me he believed ohnson appointed himto the Commisson to keep him from eading that fight in the Senate and that he fooled of Lyndon by not leaving that to others and instead spending less time on the Commission. I believe that if he h d had the time to go into what he did not have time forms he spotted the fact that Marina had been leaned on to get her to lie and that the single-bullet theory was impossible, held have picked up much more than was so very, very wrong including labelling the anti Communist Oswald as a red. It does not take all that time and effort, if anyone on the Commission had the interest, to use the information it had to prove that it knew that Oswald was not and could not have been the assassin. Will Russell's correct belief that the basis of the Report was wrong I think that with more time he'd have gone farthur.

Hosty, by the way, is 100,5 wrong on Nosenko. He and Newman should have been asking whey those in the CIA who wanted to prevent his defection wanted that so stringly and risked so much in the effort. Also what basis there was in fact for all the incredible abuse heaped on that man, who was as genuine as could be, as not a signle one of the many stories made up to keep him from defecting was. I have those records from the FBI. The short answer on Nosenko is hat then he told us about those almost 50 bugs the KCA had planted in the embassy walls that could not be detected. that was not throw-away information and he had to be genuine.

Hosty lies also in saying "swald was sent to Minsk where there were training schools for spies and in saying that marina's uncle was of the MVD police. He was a forestry excert and worked in that fixed only. Step be step, he lies about everything almost always just making it up. Please escuse the haste.

Thanks, and pest wishes,

"arold Weisberg

government

President Kennedy was assassi-Friday, Nov. 22, 1963, when car through the streets of downnated in broad daylight by sniper fire while being driven in an open for this nation—that stunning town Dallas. most traumatic day of the century It has been 32 years since the

countries such as Russia, formerly behind the Iron Curtain. important data is emerging from the end of the Cold War, other Congress in 1992. As a result of Board, which was established by regular basis by are now being made public on a far from closed. Massive quanti-Assassination Records Review ties of important new information The case of the JFK murder is the JFK

Nechiporenko, a former Soviet KGB officer, furnishes new facts about Lee Harvey Oswald's visits that Oswald was not a loner, but other agencies later would admit; under far more government surother countries or in the U.S., was although it was unclear for whom probably an intelligence agent, to both the U.S.S.R. and Mexico veillance than the CIA, FBI, or 22,1963, Oswald, whether in he was working; that prior to Nov. that the KGB thought Oswald was City. The author demonstrates Passport to Assassination Oleg

> ous connections, frequently in the New Orleans the previous sumspies; and that many mysteries in October 1963, and his stay in including his trip to Mexico City remain concerning Oswald, company or vicinity of known

Nechiporenko admits he does Oswald once built a bomb in his Minsk apartment. have doubts. He does claim killed JFK, although he appears to not know if it was Oswald who

cleverly but with a deranged Mailer intended—to convince (nearly 800 pages) is a tour de force, but it fails to do what mind. Mailer's massive work ries have no basis. that the various conspiracy theohe really was a Red, that he shot that Oswald alone did it all, that fit, and a loner who killed JFK that he was a Communist, a mis-Oswald was the only assassin and FK because he was a misfit, and Tale (1995), claims to prove that Norman Mailer's Oswald's

actual events in Dealey Plaza which was filled with high advenbut one assassin. More than three make it unlikely that there was dences, and breathtaking audaciabout Oswald's remarkable lifety, but lasted only 24 years—the bizarre characters, strange coinciture, far travels, encounters with No matter what Mailer says

Referring to deplorable efforts

stonewall the

Warren

Newman asks:

ments released by the CIA and other agencies under the 1992 JFK reproduced, or quoted, docuintelligence officer who has released, declassified documents. based on interviews and recentlyassassination is John Newman's Records Act. Newman is an honest ex-military Oswald and the CIA (1995), lished information on the JFK The best new source of pub-

defected to the Soviet Union." Harvey Oswald from the day he a keen operational interest in Lee book's thesis is that "the CIA had In the author's words, the

CIA was spawning a web of before the president's murder." deception about Oswald weeks Newman also says that "the

decades after the Presidentia there was a single assassin, defending a doomed tale—that come from behind the president famous Zapruder film plainly shots were fired at JFK's limousine motorcade entered Dealey Plaza, that Mailer would waste his time suffered his fatal skull shot, JFK's including the right front. The from several different angles, it appears almost certain that (where Oswald was). It is a pity have been the case if the shot had left, rather than forward, as would shows that immediately after he head moved backward and to the of American intelligence agencies "What legal term should we use eign or domestic) intelligence make it extraordinarily likely that to describe the action of a govern-Commission, agencies. the FBI, and perhaps other (forlocated, correlated, and analyzed of undercover activity for the CIA, ly joined the Marine Corps, this alleged Marxist incongruousdocuments Newman has patiently Oswald was involved in some sort beginning as early as 1959, when

presidentially-appointed investi-

ment agency when it lies to a

Department of Defense secretly secret police carefully preserved never made clear. Oswald's military intelligence file here in the United States the was not to be destroyed, back even noting on his file itself that it while he was in their country, their records concerning Oswald in 1973, under circumstances Incredibly, whereas the Soviet inexcusably destroyed

expressed in this column are not necessarily School of law. The opinions fessor at the University of Georgia Donald E. Wilkes, Jr., is a pro-