U

3/3/98

Ed Williams
205 Bagot St., #403
Kingston, Ontario K7l 5G6
CANADA

Dear Ed,

Thanks for the copy of Probe.

I was and I remain satisfied that Ray was not a conscious part of any conspiracy to kill King. I proved that as his investigator in the evidentiary hearing my work got him, but the judge, as they can, decided against the evidence.

I never did believe his story about how he got those aliases. But he believed it important for him to live by omerta so he never said a thing that could direct me to anyone else.

I never heard from Mantik once I raised questions about his belief. He could not answer them and in this bit ignores them. They are simple common sense and if you have enough education you do not need any common sense.

The autopsy film said to be original disproves the official assassination story. Why would anyone create fakes to destroy the purpose of making fakes?

Mantik says that the 6.5 fragment was at the back of the skull in a sense that means that was where it should have been if fired by Oswald. That is where it would not have been.

He has no motive for his invention of a piece being cut out of the X-ray and to make it sillier he says that was "in the shape of a 6.5 fragment." There is no such thing as such a "shape." I think he refers to the round shape, as slides off as the urologist did. The more likely probability is that it was a piece of the thin jacket of a very frangible bullet.

All those dust-like fragments that the pathologists saw in those X-rays are enough to destroy the official story, so that would never have been created to deceive and mislead. Impossible for that kind of bullet.

Many thanks and best wishes,

Harold

2/25/98

Dear Hal,

I thought the Ray material might interest you. Facing certain death, one would hope Ray might tell the truth. Having read his two books, I come away with the feeling he was involved in a conspiracy.

Hal, you spent time with this guy. Do you believe his story about the Canadian identities? I don't!

I don't have the technical ability to evaluate Dr. Mantik's article but I don't believe J. F. K. autopsy

X-rays were forged.

Sincerely,
E. E. Williams