

Dear Les,

8/13/84

I started to write you and include some of the records about you and the column we'd referred to when I was interrupted. I'll return to that. Later, not today, which is not one of my best days. Although I do believe that the adrenalin these terrible people caused to flow was of some help!

I'd written the DJ appeals office again about the withheld info relating to the CIA's electronic surveillances in Mexico City that picked up Lee Harvey Oswald after I read the David Phillips deposition in his suit against De Freed.

All of which I know that remains withheld is what Oswald actually was overheard saying and I cannot think of any FOIA exemption that can possibly cover it.

I therefore believe that he was not heard to say what he was represented as having said or that he disclosed something that might be embarrassing.

While those people would do almost anything to hassle me, the question lingers, if they have nothing to hide, why do they continue to hide so much.

The withheld records are the subject of ignored appeals in the case now before the appeals court.

What the DJ and CIA permitted Phillips to state in his deposition is that the CIA's first knowledge of Oswald's presence in Mexico City came from a source inside the Cuban embassy. Of course, electronic might be referred to as an inside source, but I think not by most people.

And the possibility it was a living source may be what underlies all of this stonewalling and worse.

While I've no real proof at all, I've long suspected a Mexican woman who worked for the Cubans because the CIA got really concerned after the Mexican police worked her over and I have never known the CIA to be that compassionate about just ordinary people. That same woman was to have appeared in person before the House assassins committee, did not, and it took that all in stride and did nothing at all about it.

Best wishes,

