å dan

DOUBLEDAY & COMPANY, INC. Publishers



277 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK, N. Y. 10017 TEL: 212 TA 6-2000

May 7, 1965

Mr. Harold Weisberg Coq d'Or Farm Hyattstown, Maryland

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Thanks for letting us see this copy of your commentary on the Warren Commission report. It interested me, it's well written, and has a tone far more reasoning and concerned than much of what has been written since the issuing of the summary report.

However, after some discussion here, we are returning it to you. It is not so easy to explain why we feel we cannot take it on. I do think criticisms of the report published at this point and beyond need to refer to something new in the way of investigations because otherwise it is tempting to come to conclusions, or even to raise questions, that may turn out to be no more justified than the human tendency of the commission members to see the evidence in one light. Again, let me say your manuscript seems to us responsible, revealing no doubt your background. But the facts that there are books and campaigns now doing more harm than good, that, on the other hand, there are some new looks — notably Manchester's — being taken, and that we simply don't feel we could do well with a general criticism lead to its return.

As I mentioned, we have had occasion to see other works, some from a psychological view, some political. One editor here felt a recent submission, for example, while not for us did contribute new information, or interpretation, and might well be placed in a magazine. Perhaps, in view of the fact that the contents and implications of the volumes of testimony are not widely familiar, you would want to submit one or several key chapters to such a market. I know you will want to try it with other houses. I'm sure the doubts and speculations and questions will continue, but so many of them will continue to be without answers.

Sincerely,

Elsa J. Anderson Associate Editor

Elsa anderson

Ms. herewith.