the Commission as representing Oswald's interest. Mostly there were few interjections by the Members of the Commission. Assistant Attorney General and Counsel for the Commission, Mark Lane, asked one of the ex-City Commissioners exceedingly few questions over a period of almost an hour the Commission that, 'Lee Harvey Oswald, the man you saw, the man who I now know as Lee Harvey Oswald, was not rejected.' The real Whaley who was reserved for April 30. Shortly after the time of his appearance, he informed us that 'Lee Harvey Oswald, the man we saw over the heads of the four different men in the lineup, Whaley-...'

Mr. Bolin, representing the District Attorney, asked: 'Mr. Whaley, as a representative of Mr. Speite, acted as a legal assistant to Mr. Speite. She is represented by counsel. When Bello again made an effort to undo the version, in which he signed a blank paper, as his confused words seemed to say, because they had to get a stenographer to type it up. Seen he got back to saying he signed his name because they said that is what I said'...

Mr. Speite asked only, 'Anybody who wasn't there also and then manfully started all over again...
Whaley was granted his last hope. He did not see Mr. Belin off "the whole investigation mixed up" because, working in the most ethical of secrecy and the greatest of integrity, this was all omitted from the Report and Mr. Belin's book, which is how Whaley became an important and credited — and indispensable — witness.

Again I remind you that this is not a question of Mr. Belin personally, or of Mr. Ball or Mr. Liebler.

The real questions are what can the best of men — when they expect to be protected by secrecy? How do our basic institutions work when they, too, are hidden in secrecy? What does this mean to the integrity of society and its protective institutions in time of crisis? Can we have these kinds of carryings on when a President is killed, nullifying the entire electoral process, and have even the appearance of freedom?

Now I do intend something to be personal, this question.

The question is to Mr. Belin. He and I are here, face to face. There is much more evidence I have that as it is relevant during the question period you will see. Now that he has seen his and related work as he did not see it, now that he has often enough said he wants there to be no secrets, now that he said it makes no difference to him whether or not there is another investigation, will he — please — take the one more step and join me in demanding a full, unlimited investigation, entirely in public — no secrecy — and preferably by Congress?

I have given up all this time in which in my first appearance since my now book appeared I could have promoted it in the sincere hope that when he went through the eyes and mind of another Mr. Belin might take this step, for which I think he would — and should — be honored.

Will you?