Threat, 2725 s.m., 1/30/69

at less lig

Sec.

n fin ei ster

e in Cale

Herold Weisberg

When the phone rang this morning at 2:25 a.m. my wife answered it from in bed while I was putting a robe on. She identified the caller as a "Mr. Harris" and I took the call in my office. Because this is the name given me by a stranger who phoned the afternoon of 1/28, saying from Philedelphia, and was content not to put the call through again when I told him there was a bad connection and I could herdly hear him, I wondered about this call and connected the tape recorder.

On Tuesday he had asked me about the New Orleans case, indicating he was going there. He then indicated he had read OSMALD IN NEW ORLEANS but seem to be neither unusually well informed nor unusually ignorant of the cubiect.

Everything was strange about the early-morning call, not just the hour. He began it as though without purpose, soon switched in - and I mean it sounded like actual switching -some program he later identified as an excerpt from his "favorite mivie, Shane", by George Stevens (he offered to play more of it for me. I did not make out whatever he piped in the phone and at first thought it was the voices and noises of people wherever he was. He offered to play more of it for me. I suggested he was unusually equipped and he seid he was, having the things he like having. It became clear that in his own way he was coming up with a message. And I began to get ideas about him, like he could be NSRP.

He spoke good, gramatical ^English but without unusual vocebulary. He had what he described as a poem he had written he wanted me to hear. It was a twoline doggerel about President Kennedy playing gemes with the world. The clear import that II got him to spell out is that this is why he was killed - and his killing was right. It was over such things as the Bay of Pigs.

I told him he didn't phone me at thatb hour of the morning to give me such an uniginal idea or in any event that I didn't apprefiate it and he continued with a pretunded analysis of my interest in them assessing tion. As he began the conversation by calling me "Mr. Weisfelt", knowing better, he began by reference to "Jewish person" in what seems awkward, even to him. He soon abandoned this and was saying Jew is a way not intended as friendliness. The anti-Semitism mercure was undisguised. And, of course, I am getting rich. What pleased him more than anything else is his verse, "The Jews are through in '72".

He described himself as an alcoholic who liked to call people in the middle of the night. He didn't sound drunk, didn't speck as though he were drunk, and did proceed to a point, as was obvious from the beginning. I got the impression he had planned this out to go so far, like a geme that would be resumed when he chose to. I do not recall my wife ever listening in on any late-night call. For some reason she held the phone last night and listened to the end. It disjurbed her deeply. It seems wrong as soon as I used his first name to my wife, for I remembered he had called himself Fred. Probably not. No scuther scient, but a sort of slight metallic quality to his voice. He used the "Lewish person" bit as I had heard Arab propagandists use it, sort of an award pretense, more to himself, iff dispassion and impertiality.

As I wonder why, at this juncture, this kind of call, I also wonder if it can be connected with either current activity of the ms of COUP D'OTAT, which is known to very few. He had anti-Semitic jokes, o few Eichmenn's (he was henged for "burning trash on Sunday") at which he laughed. From the size of the type it looks as though perhaps the machine did not start when switched on. Although he was not explicit, he clearly was threatening me.

Threat, 2?25 s.m., 1/30/69

34

Herold Seisberg

wielews'

<u>99</u>1.

When the chone rang this morning at 2:25 s.m. my wife answered it from in bed while I was putting a robe on. She identified the celler se a "Mr. Harris" and I to'r the call in my office. Because this is the name given me by a stranger who phoned the afternorm of 1/28, saying from Philadelphia, and was content not to put the call through again when I told him there was a bod concaction and I could bradly have him, I wordered about this call and counacted the tape recorder.

On Tucsday be had asked we about the New Orleans case, indicating by wes oring there. He then indicated he had read OSMAID IN NEW ORLEANS but seem to be noither unweugly well informed nor unucually ignorant of the subject.

Everything was strange about the early-morning call, not just the hour. He begen it as though without purpees, some writched in - and 1 mean it wounded like actual switching -come program he later identified as an excerpt from his "fevorite mivie, Shane", by George Seevens (he offered to play more of it for me. I did not make out shatever he piped in the phone and at first thought it was the voices and noises of people wherever he was. He offered to play more of it for me. I successed he was unusually ecuipped and he seid he was, having the things he like having. It becaus clear that in his own way he was arming up with a message. And 1 bogen to gat ideas about him, like he could be MORP.

He spoke good, gramatical ^Anglish but without unusual vocabulary. He had what he described as a poon he had written he wanted me to hear. It was a twoline doggarel shout iresident Terredy ploying graves with the world. The clear import that I got him to spell out is that this is why he was killed - and his killing was right. It was over such things as the Say of Pigs.

I told him he didn't phone we at that hour of the morning to give me such an uniginal idea or in any event that I didn't appresists it and he ontinued with a pretunded analysis of my interest in them assassingtion. As he began the conversation by calling as "Mr. Weisfalt", knowing better, he began by reference to "Jewish person" in what seems exteard, over to him. He seem ebendoned this and was saying Jew is a way not intended as friendliness. The enti-Semitism estrate was undisquised, and, of course, I we getting rich. What pleased him more than anywhing class is his verse, "The Jews are through in "72".

We described himself as an elecholic who liked to call people in the middle of the night. He didn't scand druck, didn't scak as though he were druck, and did proceed to a point, so was obvious from the beginning. I got the impression he has blanned this out to go so far, like a grame that wou'd be resumed when he chose to. I do not recall my wife ever listenta; in on any late-night cell. For some reason she hold the phone lost night and listened to the end. It disjurbed her deeply. It seems wrong as soon as I used his first name to my wife, for I remembered he had called himself Frad. Trobably not. No centher actent, but a sort of slight metallic quality to his voice. He used the "Jewish person" bit as I had heard wrab propagondists use it, sort of an askended protense, more to himself, tof dispassion and impertiality.

As I wonder why, at this juncture, this kind of coll, I also wonder if it can be connected with either current activity of the ma of COUP ONDAT, which is known to very few. He had anti-Semitic jokes, a few Eichmann's (he was hanged for "burning trash on Sunday") at which he haughed. From the size of the tops it looks as though perhaps the machine did not start when switched on. Although he was not explicit, he clearly was threatening ma.

Threat, 2725 s.m., 1/30/89

Herold Seisberg

When the shows rong this marning at 0:25 s.m. my will assured it from in bod while I was putting a robe on. We identified the coller as a "Mr. Far is" and I took the call in my of ice. Because this is the norm given no by a stronger who photed the sitemum n at 1/28, saying from thisdelphis, and was content not to put the call through again when I told him there was a bad connection and I could berdly hear him, I wondered about this call and connected the type recorder.

On Tuesday be had asked me about the New Orleans case, indicating be was going there. He then indicated he had read OSMALD IN LIF ORLYANS but seen to be reither unucually well informed for unucually ignorate of the subject.

Everything we derenge both the corly-morning cell, not just the hour. He began it as though without purpose, soon switched in - and - mean it sounded like actual switching - dome program he later identified as an excerpt from his "feverite sivie, Chaue", by George Seevens (he offered to play more of it for me. I did not note out thetever he piped in the phone and at first thought it was the voices and noises of people therewer he was. He offered to play more of it for me. I augmented he was unusually support and he seid he was, beving the things he like having. It became clear theth in his own way he was coming up with a measage. and I began to get ideas about him, like he could be NSEP.

He spoke good, grametical singlich but without unusual vocebulary. He had what he described as a point he had written he wanted me to hear. It was a twoline degraded about Traifent Founday playing games with the world. The slear import that I got him to spell out is that this is way he was killed - and his killing and right. It was over such things at the Rey of Figs.

I told him be didn't there we at that's hour of the mering to give me such an unighal idea or in any event that I didn't appresiate it and he continued with a probadel analysis of my interest in them essessing tion. As be begon the conversation by calling me "We. Weisfelt", knowing batter, he began by reference to "Jesish person" in what same awkward, even to him. He seen abundaned this and was saying Jew is a way not intended as friendliness. The anti-Semitism excurs the undisquised. and, of course, I am get int rich. That placed him more than mything also is his verse, "The Jews are through in '72".

De described hirself as so elecholic who liked to call people in the middle of the might. We find a cum drunk, didn't a cok as through he were drunk, and did proceed to a point, as was obvious from the beginning. I got the impression he has meaned this out to no so far, like a geme that wou'd be resumed when he chose to. I do not recell my with ever listening in on any late-night call. For none recent she held the above lost night and listened to the end. It disjurbed her deeply. It seems arong as soon as I used his first name to my wife, for f romembered he had called himself fred. From the used the "Jesten person" but a sort of slight metallic quality to his voice. We used the "Jesten person" bit as I had her dispussion and importiality.

As I wonder why, at this juncture, this kind of call, I also conder if it orn be connected with either surrent activity of the stall of COUR STAT, which is known to very few. He had enti-Semitic jokes, a few Michmann's (kin was hanged for "burning trach of Sunday") at which he lenghed. From the size of the type it looks as though perbaps the succhine did not start when switched on, Although he was not explicit, he clearly was threatening me.