hier quelyes falcere to some fort. Dr Hussey's memo of 3/14/16 to Briggs, Knapp and Butler; Dreier

Statement on "Franco Sapin and the American emisphere".

After carefully stateing reluctance to make any statements on any subject so intimately connected with domestic affairs of OAR, "We agreed, however, to make a general statement which would incorporate a mention of the Secretary eneral's specific requests and the suggestion that details could presumeably be furnished" by the OARs concerned. "The draft statement is, I believe, accurate as to fact. I have included a few statements, the advisability of which I question on the grounds that it is easier to cut them out than to write them in."

Note that only the adviseability, not the fact, was questioned, and that he put them in rather than leaving them out, a complete parallel with my report. Not that is response to specific requests, not statement of opinion or polity but of fact.

Attached 5/16 "Memo for the File" says statement (the original of which I used) "was prepared to be transmitted to the Security ouncil studying the Spanish situation. The final form is the result of several conferences with in ARA and of two which included also Outerbridge Horsey of the Spanish Desk, and John Ross of SPA. The statement is intended to give information to the United Nations as to things which this Government could prove if it felt free to reveal the sources of its evidence, without going into detail to a degree which may antagonize the people of the other American "epublics." a handwritten note refers to the Abalysis ection of the Memo book 5/16/47, which I also checked. Note the words evidence, information, prove.

The statement begins that it is in response to "point 9" of the Sec Gens personal letter of 5/9/46,
questionaire and his **TECHNICATE** "which specifically requested information upon subversive activities by **Tranquista Spaniards."

Dr. Hussey's marginal note on this says, "This is not proof; it is statement for public consumption. (In fact, its true, but any good scholar
would doubt it on general principles) If you're going to give quote it
establish its accuracy first."

In the report, the quoted excerpts of this statement are preceded by these words, "The measures taken to further these (that ism franco Spaines-HW) aims were succinctly stated by the Department of State in a memorandum prepared at the request of the United Nations. Although this memorandum covers activities in all the American Republics, it is completely applicable to Argentina."

It is not stated as proof, which, because of its nature and the highlevel approval and endorsement, it certainly, it was accepted as by the UN, but is labelled as a succinct statement of facts.

Since when is an official statement of facts by the Department on behalf of the United States Government to be regarded as anything else by a research analyst? And it most certainly should be recalled that I informed Dr. Hussey I found the statement to be completely accurate, if anything an understatement of the truth, and that I intended to use it because it was so succinct and effectively presented. He responded modestly that it had been hastily prepared, to which I rejoined that I wouldn't embarrass him by praising his work. He at no time even indicated the statements
"any good scholar would doubt it on general principles", of that it was, in effect, propaganda. Rather did he make reflect justifyable pride of authorship.