

12  
Among those documents suppressed until September 20, 1964, is a memorandum the former Solicitor General of the United States apparently considered a fine

*in New York City*  
recommendation, for he did hire Hedlich as his assistant. This document is so clear it is not only entirely unsuitable for reproduction, but some of it cannot be read at all. I therefore ~~can~~ quote only <sup>parts of</sup> ~~sentence~~ from it and comment on them, *indicating where illegibility makes words uncertain.*

The first sentence put him and others of the staff in that ample majority who knew three shots had struck the car, <sup>occupants</sup> and who ignored what all must have known, that there had been a "missed" bullet by which <sup>Redlich</sup> Tague had been hurt. "The purpose ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ of this memorandum, he said, "is to explain the reasons why certain members of the staff feel that it is important to take certain photographs in connection with the approximate points at which the three bullets struck the occupants of the Presidential limousine".

"We have expert testimony to the effect that a skilled marksman would require a minimum of  $2\frac{1}{4}$  seconds between shots with this rifle."

This is false. That testimony was entirely different, that a really skilled man (and it must be kept in mind that Oswald was a <sup>only</sup> ~~real~~ <sup>differ</sup>) could reload the rifle in <sup>1/3 seconds.</sup> ~~2 seconds~~. Thereafter, he would have to re-sight and fire, both ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> operations requiring additional time.

133 But even in Hedlich's terms, "It is apparent, therefore, that if Governor Connally was hit as late as frame 24? (the third number is indecipherable), the President would have to have been hit not later than frame 190 and probably even earlier".

~~The~~ The last half of the sentence is undoubtedly true. <sup>the midwife</sup> It is ~~clear~~ of the single-bullet <sup>baby.</sup> ~~single-bullet~~

In his next sentence, Hedlich states the consensus, that the Commission and everyone on it had already decided that Oswald was the lone assassin, what they had been assigned to investigate, not, presumably, what they had been ~~xxx~~ expected to frame up: "We have not yet examined the assassination scene(?) to determine whether the assassin in fact could have shot the President prior to frame 190". Thus he clearly ~~before~~ before its investigation had really

begun, the Commission had decided where all the shots had come from *and who fired them.*

What was the honorable purpose, the dedication that lead to this staff appeal?

"Our intention is not to establish the point with complete accuracy, but merely to ~~show~~ substantiate the hypothesis which underlies the conclusion that Oswald was the sole assassin".

How well he put it in papers never intended to be published. The Commission began with the conclusion that "Oswald was the sole assassin" and did not reach this "conclusion" after a long and painstaking investigation. "his is exactly what <sup>first</sup> I declared in my ~~first book~~ <sup>WHITEWASH</sup>."

Redlich expended on his demand for an on-the-site "reconstruction":

"I have always assumed that our final report would be accompanied by a surveyor's diagram which would indicate the approximate locations of the three shots. We certainly cannot prepare such a diagram without establishing that we are describing an occurrence ~~that is~~ which is physically possible. Our failure to do this will, in my opinion, place this report in jeopardy since it is a certainty that others will examine(?) the Zapruder film and raise the same questions which have been raised by our examination of the films. If we do not attempt to answer these questions with observable facts, other may answer them with facts ~~that~~ which challenge our most basic assumptions, or with fanciful theories, based on our unwillingness to test our assumptions by the investigatory methods available to us."

*Handwritten notes:*  
TV  
must  
page

"I should add that the facts which we now have in our possession, submitted to use ~~by~~ in separate reports from the FBI and Secret Service, are totally incorrect and, if left uncorrected, will present a completely misleading picture."

Here we have an honest statement of the purpose of the so-called "reconstruction": to keep the Commission from pursuing its pre-determined conclusion without satisfying itself <sup>of</sup> ~~that it could alleged~~ the physical possibility <sup>of it.</sup> Not to establish how the President was murdered, just to keep from falling flat on