Dear Gary.

Were I to describe my letter to Lattimer, it would not be in his words, "lengthy and enthusiastic".

He is one of the fairies-and-needles "scientists". If you have not gone into his work, which was well-publicized at the time is delivered his paper, I recommend against it as a total waste of time, save for academic interest. However, should you want it, I have it. - will, I am certain, devote some attention to it at the right time and place.

He cites himself as authority for the statement that this bullet did not strike bone, etc., in JAMA 198:327-333, 1966. If I do not get a copy from him, can you from your library (if you do not already have it)?

His technique was to take a bullet, squeaze it in a powerful vice, extrude some of the core, and slice that into more than 40 pieces, from which he concludes the single-bullet theory was a high probability.

His is close to the right discipline: urology. About as close as it can be without being the actual shit.

Sincerely,