

*affid to
file* *PA*
JFK assassination, Privacy Act records
Filing; serialization

Harold Weisberg 11/2/79

In 62-109060, Section 100, there is a copy of the letter I wrote Director Hoover on 5/23/68. It appears in this Section at the proper point chronologically. But it has no file number, no serial number, no indication of any duplicate filing.

Another copy of the same letter appears later, some time out of chronological order. There it is Serial 4131.

The first appears to have been xeroxed from the second. Where it has stamps and notations added they are identical. However, there are other stamps and notations on the second or Serialized copy that do not appear on the first copy.

The first is a copy of the copy made from 4131, from physical damage that is visible in the xeroxing, damage that does not show in 4131.

How a record that has neither file nor Serial number can appear at the proper point in the proper file appears to be a mystery. It is entirely inconsistent with all I've read and heard testified to about such matters.

It is also surprising that a document with a July date stamped on it can appear in a pre-July sequence, on this doc.

This, I believe, is an indication of files the FBI has not searched.



SAVING OUR BIRDS

LD & LIH
HARC
National

Handwritten signature: C. D. ...

HYATTSTOWN, MARYLAND 20725

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. DeLoach
- Mr. Mohr
- Mr. Wick
- Mr. Casper
- Mr. Callahan
- Mr. Conrad
- Mr. Felt
- Mr. Gale
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Sullivan
- Mr. Tavel
- Trotter
- Tele. Room
- Miss Holmes
- Miss Gandy

PHEASANT-CHICKENS • ROCK-CORNISH GAME HENS • WA

May 23, 1968

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover,

Enclosed is a copy of my book, HITLERASH - THE REPORT ON THE '68 REPORT. In it you will find quotations from your testimony and that of FBI Agents that I believe require immediate and unequivocal explanations and from the FBI's report to the Commission. Of the many things requiring explanation, I would like in particular to direct your attention to these three, in which it would seem no question of national security can be involved:

1) In your brief discussion of the assassination in the report to the Commission you say that three shots were fired, of which two hit the President and one the governor. This does not account for the bullet that hit the curbstone on Commerce Street, which you told the Commission you could not associate with the residential car or any of its occupants. In another part of this report, dealing with Oswald, you told the Commission that the bullet that did not kill the President struck him in the back - not the neck - and did not go through his body. Here you seem to fail to account for the well-known wound in the front of the President's neck. And thus, are there not at least five bullets, the three you accounted for and the two you did not account for. The Commission itself considered the curbstone strike a separate bullet, and the President most certainly was wounded in the front of the neck.

2) In his testimony before the Commission, ^{REC 53} FBI Agent Robert A. Frazier did not offer into evidence the spectrographic analysis of this bullet and that of the various bullet fragments. Neither did FBI Agent John F. Collogher, the spectrographer. Agent Frazier's testimony is merely that the bullets were lead, which would seem to be considerable less information that spectrographic analysis could reveal. The custodian of this archive at the National Archives informs me this analysis is not included in his archive but is in the possession of the FBI. I call upon you to make it immediately available.

JUL 1 1968

3) In his testimony before the Commission, FBI Agent Frazier said that when the whole bullet was received by the FBI, it had been wiped clean. He does not reveal any FBI interest in this unusual destruction of evidence. He also testified that the cleansing of the bullet was not complete, that foreign matter remained in the grooves in the bullet. Yet his testimony does not show any FBI interest in learning what the nature of the residue was. Did the FBI make the appropriate tests. Could the residue be associated with either the President's body or the governor's? What effort, if any, was made to learn. And if no effort was made, why not.

Sincerely yours,

Handwritten signature: C. D. ...

Section 100



PHEASANT-CHICKENS

ROCK-CORNISH GAME HENS

May 23, 1966

HYATTSTOWN, MARYLAND 20730

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

by Harold Weisberg

Dear Mr. Hoover,

Enclosed is a copy of my book WHITWASH - THE REPORT ON THE WARREN REPORT. In it you will find quotations from your testimony and that of FBI Agents that I believe require immediate and unequivocal explanations and from the FBI's report to the Commission. Of the many things requiring explanation, I would like in particular to direct your attention to these three, in which it would seem no question of national security can be involved:

1) In your brief discussion of the assassination in the report to the Commission you say that three shots were fired, of which two hit the President and one the governor. This does not account for the bullet that hit the curbstone on Commerce Street, which you told the Commission you could not associate with the President, the car or any of its occupants. In another part of this report, dealing with Oswald, you told the Commission that the bullet that did not kill the President struck him in the back - not the neck - and did not go through his body. Here you seem to fail to account for the well-known wound in the front of the President's neck. And thus, are there not at least five bullets, the three you accounted for and the two you did not account for? The Commission itself considered the curbstone strike a separate bullet, and the President most certainly was wounded in the front of the neck.

2) In his testimony before the Commission, FBI Agent Robert A. Frazier did not offer into evidence the spectrographic analysis of this bullet and that of the various bullet fragments. Neither did FBI Agent John F. Collesher, the spectrographer. Agent Frazier's testimony is merely that the bullets were lead, which would seem to be considerable less information than spectrographic analysis could reveal. The custodian of this archive at the National Archives informs me this analysis is not included in his archive but is in the possession of the FBI. I call upon you to make it immediately available.

3) In his testimony before the Commission, FBI Agent Frazier said that when the whole bullet was received by the FBI, it had been wiped clean. He does not reveal any FBI interest in this unusual destruction of evidence. He also testified that the cleansing of the bullet was not complete, that foreign matter remained in the grooves in the bullet. Yet his testimony does not show any FBI interest in learning what the nature of the residue was. Did the FBI make the appropriate tests? Could the residue be associated with either the President's body or the governor's? What effort, if any, was made to learn? And if no effort was made, why not?

Sincerely yours,

CORRESPONDENCE

100-5193-162
UNRECORDED COPY FILED

REC 53 62-109060-413

JUL 1 1966

1 - Mr. Raupach

May 31, 1966

AIRTEL

To: SAC, Dallas (100-10461)

From: Director, FBI (62-109060)

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY
NOVEMBER 22, 1966, DALLAS, TEXAS
MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING

ReButelcall 5-31-66.

Enclosed is the article by Richard Farwood as it relates to two recent publications entitled "Whitewash--the Report on the Warren Report" by Harold Weisberg and "Inquest" by Edward J. Epstein.

Information concerning this matter was previously furnished to you by reButelcall.

Enclosure

REC-18 62-109060 4114

KMR:hw,
(4)

MAILED 3
MAY 31 1966
COMM-FBI

JUN 2 1966

NOTE: This matter is on the Director's special and relates to opinions and assumptions written in two recent publications by Harold Weisberg and Edward J. Epstein. Since it is necessary to refute alleged allegations involving our investigation, it may be necessary to telephonically contact the Dallas Office for searching of information in their files. Dallas was telephonically notified by Section Chief Shroder 5-31-66, as to the nature of this matter and the enclosure has been furnished to Dallas for their reference.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

55 JUN 9 1966

TELETYPE UNIT

Section 100