

What Did Happen in Dallas? *Alex Campbell*

CDC

THE NEW REPUBLIC

June 25, 1966, 35 cents

Lovestone's Cold War

The AFL-CIO Has Its Own CIA

Dan Kurzman

Which GOP? — *Gerald W. Johnson*

Poor Politics — *Andrew Kopkind*

Bill Moyers — *James Deakin*

Grocery Studies — *James Ridgeway*

Kennedys at Home — *Martin T. Nolan*

BOOKS AND THE ARTS

What Did Happen in Dallas?

by Alex Campbell

Americans who go abroad are startled that many foreigners remain deeply skeptical of the official version of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The Americans can't understand it; didn't the Warren Commission's Report close the case? As a matter of fact, no; the Report was careful to say that "because of the difficulty of proving negatives to a certainty the pos-

Whitewash
by Harold Weisberg
(Weisberg; \$4.95)

Inquest
by Edward Jay Epstein
(Viking; \$5)

sibility of others being involved with either Oswald or Ruby cannot be established categorically."

That greatly understates the areas of fuzz. In an article in *The New Republic* on December 21, 1963, called "Seeds of Doubt," Jack Minnis and Staughton Lynd wondered how a shot fired from behind could have wounded Kennedy in the front of his neck, how three shots could fire what seemed to be at least four bullets, and how a bolt-action rifle, subsequently ascertained to have a defective telescopic sight, could fire off three accurate shots in five-and-a-half seconds. Substantially the same questions still lacked satisfactory answers when the Warren Report appeared September 28, 1964, and still do.

There were over 100 eye-witnesses of the assassination, including trained observers - FBI, Secret Service and police; movie- and still-cameras recorded the event; the Warren Commission investigated for 10 months. Yet Harold Weisberg isn't far wrong when he writes: "There is no single thing that is proved beyond reasonable doubt about the marksman, the rifle, the ammunition, the shooting or the number of shots, except that the President was

killed . . . and Governor Connally was wounded."

Weisberg and Epstein have independently ransacked the 26 volumes of testimony and exhibits and the two FBI reports on which the Warren Report is based; additionally, Epstein interviewed members of the Commission and its staff, in pursuit of his master's thesis on government at Cornell University. He evoked some staggering admissions. The Commission seems to have done its job in an atmosphere of internal muddle and wrangling. The assistant counsel were deeply unhappy about the Report and tried hard to have it written differently. They felt they knew far more about the case than the seven Commissioners did. Epstein says "the entire task of ascertaining the basic facts of the assassination fell upon one lawyer - Arlen Specter." Wesley J. Liebeler told Epstein most of the Commissioners were absent most of the time, that they would stop by the hearings "a few minutes," ask a question which "blew the lawyer's entire line of questioning," then rush out "to make

a quorum or something." Commission hearings began at 9 a.m. so Chief Justice Warren could officially open them before leaving for the Court at 10 a.m. The Commission averaged only seven hearings a month; only one was open to the public. The 10 months' investigation really boiled down to 10 weeks.

The staff lawyers were especially mad about the Commission's genteel handling of Marina Oswald. Norman Redlich complained that Mrs. Oswald "lied to the Secret Service, the FBI and this Commission repeatedly on matters which are of vital concern to the people of this country and the world," but the Chief Justice declared himself "a judge of human beings" and had faith in her. The staff lawyers derisively called her the seven Commissioners' Snow White. A tenth of the hearings was devoted to her testimony, but Epstein concludes the discrepancies in it "were never satisfactorily resolved."

The single most astounding thing that both Epstein and Weisberg fasten on after brooding over the 26 volumes of testimony has nothing to do with Marina, however. The Commission never saw the photographs and X-rays of Kennedy's body which were made at the autopsy as a matter of routine in a case of violent death. These were

The Amoeba

Mindless, meaning no harm,
it ingested me.
It moved on silent pseudopods
to where I was born, inert, and I
was inside.

Digestive acids burned my skin.
Enzymes nuzzled knees and eyes.
My ego like a conjugated verb
retained its root, a narrow fear
of being qualified.

Alas, suffixes swarmed.
I lost my mother's arms, my teeth,
my laugh, my protruding faith;
Reduced to the O of a final sigh,
in time I died.

JOHN UPDIKE

Does the
American
Veterans
Committee
speak for you?



If You Are a War Veteran
... and join civil rights marches,
... support the United Nations,
... want the fullest realization
of the Great Society ...

AVC DOES SPEAK FOR YOU!

If you want an effective force
on the American scene ...
... to watchdog the military-industrial
complex Pres. Eisenhower warned
about,
... to keep tabs on military justice,
... to denounce use of the draft to
silence dissent,
... to counteract right-wing influences of
old-line veterans and military
groups ... **THEN**

Support Your Organization
The Liberal Veterans' Voice

AVC

"Citizens First, Veterans Second"
Clip and mail.

American Veterans Committee
1830 Jefferson Place, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036
I want to amplify AVC's voice.
 Please enroll me as a member of
AVC. \$10 dues enclosed.
 I want to contribute _____ to AVC's
work.
(Make checks payable to American
Veterans Committee. Dues and con-
tributions are tax-deductible.)

Name

Address

Zip Code

(Viet Nam veterans are now eligible to
join AVC, as well as World War I, II and
Korean veterans.)

handed over to the Secret Service. In-
stead of seeing them, the Commission
had to make do with an "artist's con-
ception." Even this odd procedure
mightn't have raised questions, since
the Commission had a written autopsy
report and listened to the man who
signed it, Commander James J. Humes,
the Navy pathologist. But the autopsy
report and the "artist's conception"
maintain that a downward-travelling
bullet that entered the back of the
President's neck came out the front of
his throat, lower than the entry wound,
and this is flatly contradicted by a chart
of the body prepared by Humes himself
during the autopsy; by the FBI's report
on the President's wounds; by the
actual bullet-holes in Kennedy's jacket
and shirt; by Secret Service man Clint
Hill who attended the autopsy; and by
Secret Service man Glen A. Bennett,
who saw a bullet hit the President's
back (not neck) and to whose account
the Warren Report claimed it gave
"substantial weight." All of this con-
trary evidence plainly indicates a back
wound six inches below Kennedy's
neckline and made by a bullet that
couldn't possibly have exited from the
front of the President's throat unless
it was travelling up and not down.

Weisberg points out that the Report
showed absolutely no curiosity about
those glaring contradictions. Epstein
notes the Commission queried the FBI
about some parts of its report, but
asked no questions about the FBI's ver-
sion of the autopsy findings.

The FBI said medical examination of
the President's body showed that the
bullet that hit him in the back pene-
trated "less than a finger length." The
FBI implied this was the bullet found
on a stretcher in the Dallas hospital.
But the Commission had another use
for this bullet. It said it came out the
front of Kennedy's throat and then in-
flicted all Connally's wounds. Accord-
ing to the Report "there is very per-
suasive evidence from the experts"
that this is what happened. There
wasn't. Doctors and ballistics experts
were wary of this theory and Connally
himself and his wife thought the Gov-
ernor and the President were hit by
separate shots. The Report rejected
their opinions and Norman Redlich,
special assistant to the Commission's
General Counsel, told Epstein why:

"To say that they were hit by separate
bullets is synonymous with saying that
there were two assassins."

Epstein and Weisberg are concerned
with such unresolved mysteries as the
number of shots fired at Kennedy and
Connally, where the shots came from,
Oswald's abilities as a marksman,
Oswald's connections, if any, with the
FBI and CIA. Both are concerned also
with the Commission's arbitrary way
with witnesses - it seemed to pay most
attention to the ones it wanted to be-
lieve, and when it suited its book it was
attentive to witnesses whose testimony
it otherwise brushed aside. Mrs. Eric
Walther and Arnold Rowland claimed
they saw a second assassin and were
passed over; much more weight was
given the eye-witness testimony of
Howard L. Brennan, the only person
claiming to identify Oswald as Ken-
nedy's assassin, though Brennan's testi-
mony contained one major error of fact
and he admitted to the Commission
that he had lied to the police.

Weisberg notes that the killing of
Patrolman J. D. Tippit also raised ques-
tions about the number of shots fired.
The Warren Report didn't include an
autopsy report on Tippit but said his
body contained four bullets; however,
the exhibits include a Dallas police case
report that Tippit was shot three times,
"one time each in the hand, chest and
stomach." The Warren Report said that
"five shots may have been fired even
though only four bullets were recov-
ered" from Tippit's body. The Report
had to account somehow for a discrep-
ancy between those bullets and the
cartridge cases witnesses said they saw
the killer discarding.

The FBI was still investigating the
assassination when the Warren Report
went to press. On September 16, 1964,
the FBI finally tracked down, in Cali-
fornia, a witness who was able to tell
them about a man who closely re-
sembled Oswald, called himself "Leon
Oswald," was in Dallas about the time
of the assassination, and was intro-
duced to a Cuban family as "an expert
shotman." Weisberg suspects this may
have been the man who was seen prac-
tising with a rifle in Dallas, before the
assassination. Whoever he was, he
wasn't Lee Harvey Oswald.

Epstein does a scalpel job on the
Warren Report. Weisberg, a former

Senate investigator, attacks more like a Marine with a machine-gun. Some of his shots are wild, but many of them inflict wounds, perhaps fatal. He admits the Warren Commission makes an easy target and that it failed to take cover. "There is a lemming-like quality to the performance of the Commission. It is almost as if they sought the destruction of their Report. Throughout its record

are dozens of places where they almost asked for this." Epstein helps explain why. Weisberg believes that "members of the Commission have substantial doubts." According to Epstein, the staff, not the Commission, did most of the work on the Report; and the staff certainly had doubts. The Warren Report may now have been shot to death and require a full autopsy.

pist and a phenomenologist. The labels are no less formidable than the work they intend: grappling with men's struggles to escape and find their own terms for living because there are now no other, and a steadfast insistence on defining that struggle in no other terms but those of subjective events. As a follower of Buber, Farber is not interested in ideological world views or what he would call mechanistic, "steam engine" causalities in the human condition. For him, humanness can be realized in an authentic dialogue between two people when they shed their manipulative self-consciousness, or in expressions of selfhood that prepare a way for that kind of responsiveness.

Psychiatry and Existentialism

by Seymour Rubenfeld

The fact that modern man alienates himself from his own vital sources is nowhere more plainly shown than in his application of science to himself. The social sciences imagine him to be a particle obedient to a force field; the other behavioral sciences, including much of psychoanalysis, analogize him to a power plant that needs stoking, remodeling. Only art and common sense

portant figures in the confluence of existential thought and psychotherapy during the past 15 years; his work on the therapist's despair, included in this book, movingly penetrates the anguished futility, sometimes occasioned by the very ill, that lies beyond all cure except the patient's pity.

Within his profession, Farber's ideas have been transmitted to psychoanalytic candidates and through the programs of the Washington School of Psychiatry. He began his career as a student of Harry Stack Sullivan, the most original theorist American psychiatry has produced. While Sullivan opened his discipline to constructs from the social and physical sciences, Farber has tapped the philosophical pool of Husserl, Heidegger and Buber.

Farber is an existential psychothera-

In trying to clarify how people claim and repudiate responsibility for relatedness, Farber has reclaimed the psychological study of will from its monopoly by scholastic and 19th-Century German philosophy. Medieval artisans left their sculptures unsigned on Gothic cathedrals; today each person is his own architect or he is nothing — or so he fears. According to Farber we suffer in sickness and in health from trying to will ourselves into being. Our culture enshrines efficiency and control and views human capacities as instruments. People twist their capabilities to their purposes, often brutally, and try to make their will, as Farber quotes Yeats, do the work that only their imagination, and their reason, judg-

The Ways of the Will:
Essays toward a Psychology
and Psychopathology of Will
by Leslie H. Farber
(Basic Books; \$5.95)

can abide the thought that he must also recreate himself; Technology applied to people is a self-fulfilling pridefulness which scorns this human necessity to conceive one's own ends. We already have Pavlovian, stimulus-response "therapies" that are proving themselves convincingly efficient in molding man to his most robotlike self-image. It is a work of cultural significance that refuses the jargon of machine science and considers modern pride and self-deception by themselves. Dr. Leslie Farber's book is such a work. For the first time a general readership has access to a collection of essays, some of which have already become classics among psychotherapists, about their experience of themselves moving their lives. Some passages have a beauty and poetry that lay readers have been led not to expect in the writings of psychoanalysts.

Dr. Farber's influence in American psychiatry has been significant and increasing. He has been among the im-

WFF 'N PROOF WFF 'N PROOF WFF 'N PROOF

THE GAMES FOR THINKERS

WFF 'N PROOF: The Game of Modern Logic \$6.25
EQUATIONS: The Game of Creative Math \$2.75

**PERFECT GIFTS FOR THOSE WHO ENJOY
BRAIN TO BRAIN COMBAT**

"Introduction of the first WFF 'N PROOF game... was in our estimation, a significant event in the teaching of logic — as significant in its field as the launching of the first satellite in the space race field."
DATA PROCESSING DIGEST


"... you will approve of WFF 'N PROOF, the game of modern logic. For the expert it's serious fun. For the skeptic it's putting things in their proper place. For the novice it's a course of study..."
ESQUIRE

Can you solve this problem?

1. There are three numbered statements in this box.
2. Two of these numbered statements are not true.
3. The average increase in I.Q. scores of those who learn to play WFF 'N PROOF is more than 20 points.

Is statement No. 3 true?

A NEW DIMENSION IN EDUCATIONAL GAMES
by Yale Professor Layman E. Allen



Box 71-HK New Haven, Conn.

Please send me **WFF 'N PROOF**
..... **EQUATIONS**

I enclose \$ and understand that if I am not completely satisfied, I can return the games in 10 days for a full refund of the purchase price.

Name
Address
City State Zip

Refund and return privileges guaranteed!
Dealer Inquiries Invited

WFF 'N PROOF WFF 'N PROOF WFF 'N PROOF