

Conv. with RDH 6/3/46, 11:30 am

- 1) RDH said he not an analyst, wants only PhDs for analysts. Said he'd like to use me as an analyst but didn't know if he could. asked for samples of my work, which I sent 6/4/46. He, who returned 8/21/46 without comment.
- 2) Dismissal of sulphite personnel - said flatly I was not an analyst despite CSC approval + ex. off. rating.
- 3) I had been assigned Chron. LA, which I was to design, compose, edit daily, late 3/46. Assigned to July. In July, with rest of office assigned to Nationalism project, as yet incomplete. Dr. F. L. Ryan + I did economic parts, which, at time announcement, others were assigned to various branches. I was sent in Financial analysis but kept actively under Goldman on Nationalism. Atwood told me of intent to give me my title + he didn't. He + I both expected to be transferred, as RDH said I would. During this time I was, in effect, an outcast within the division, my name even having been removed from ^{out of} the routing slip, not to return until 4/47. During this period I got a very clear indication I'd get a low eff. rat. Went to see Mark + told him. Saw RDH in return + told him of just of conv. Mark. He said to continue on Nationalism as this would have some value. Thereafter I was put on a separate project + physically moved (out) out of Atwood's branch. RDH then told me I'd be made a 2nd class train. That he'd write the report because he was one of the best experts in the world on the subject. Got me an

outlined to follow, also had me membership in
the Falange as of no significance. I told him I
had never in my life prejudged anything & of
course wouldn't prejudice the Falange. He then
required me to destroy all the Crisis materials
which he had ordered from DCR in my name.
Later, then, I consulted the division files
in this meeting I had told RDH I'd like to go
out of the division for material, to much to know
to be competent & confident. This permission
was never ~~granted~~ given, although requested
it repeatedly.

As I began to exhaust the files material &
got a number of requests for DCR for the data,
which I had never seen, I got concerned,
& began to try to see RDH. I failed. (See note
of 12/10) However, RDH did see me. I contin-
ued to try to see him & again bumped into him,
but this a while after 2 pm 12/20 in the
lunch bar. (Memo 12/20) It was not
until a little after 3 pm the last working
day of the year, when more people came out
of the office, that he called me in, gave me the
DCR material saying he hadn't yet had
chance to go over it, and asked me to keep it
together, which I have done, to this day, as part
of the great burden this added to my work.
Thereafter he saw me once. An early Feb.
DMD said he was going to look after the report &
he came to my office & prepared an outline
which he submitted to RDH, who later changed
it, making it somewhat more difficult to use in
heliport. I was going over the DCR data. A
short while later I got a large volume of

writing the
main
membership

material which RE has had. As about
New York I mentioned RDH in the
hall, he said we should be getting some-
thing out soon. I replied if he desired I
could start New & that, but that this
RE material had just come in & I could
had had the chance to go over & get new
data. He said only to go over the RE data.
Therefore DMD told me whether speed
it up to hold him of the above RDH
conversion of Le ascertained. He said the
conversion, Curt. Brown, was in fact
in the report which surprised me since I
was to have seen everything relating to the
subject & had not seen that. He also said
to do arg., even tho' the outline of the
above plan was to do the Hermit's phase as
a unit, & no effort had been made to have
a complete story in each country, which was
a complete, in possibility.

End Feb I went in to see DMD, RDH
joined us. We discussed it & O told him
I planned to use his CM Government, a
general intro ^{would} be necessary in the
final job & advisable to make sense
of the arg. section, & of the new data on
just what the Falange was & other
thing is an introduction which I visualized
as an appendix. He objected. Then DMD
got sick, and I was with weeks of 3/3
Haven, on 3/180 gave DMD the rough
draft, so he & copy 15 H. footnotes
(minus to him)

Next I heard was some time after
RDH went to LA. He called me in.

ER added 3/21, although that
was day RDH left.

DMD hadn't read paper word even,
yet he said he was reading RDH remarks.
Read me RDH's marginal comments on
the 12-15-90 he had read. These were wise
& in some cases ridiculous. When I pressed
DMD to read me a memo to him from RDH
commending my presentation, containing
critical comments along the line of his
marginal notes & adding I could have an ER
of as much good, & anything less DMD saw fit.
I asked DMD his opinion (he had not dis-
cussed it with me, as my memo had requested).
He merely paraphrased RDH, adding that it
was clear he considered a "C" paper. I
pointed out it was I who was only intended to be
a rough draft, that I had never been expected
to write it, that the outline had been prepared
for me then, not just Aug, showing the obvious
inaccuracy of the specific criticisms, pointed
out the complete lack of supervision in
fiction, the other handicaps of what he had
personal knowledge. He conceded most of the
criticisms would have been eliminated if
there had been the requisite supervision, cer-
tainly the style stayed in me, for the super-
visor would have known RDH better & I
didn't, since this was the first & only

report he had assigned & had never
 been in a position to learn. On the face of
 this all DMD was to say was that it
 was a "C" paper, & he again read his
 information from RDT. I questioned
 the propriety of this, pointing out that
 RDT was here acting as reviewing
 officer as both, & only on the basis of
 a few pages, not a year's work. DMD
 said he had no authority to consider any-
 thing else. He also said I should have
 "found" work, or volunteered. When I
 took him up on this point he was unable
 to find me work for whom I should have
 volunteered. He himself was one of
 those whom I had, in fact, volunteered.

He told
 me of
 the work
 in
 my
 field
 of
 the set-
 up
 which
 I
 had
 done
 in
 the
 field

(memo of 10/7) also O & in 'Prin.
 He said his ~~thoughts~~ ^{thoughts} of work, after thinking
 this over & checking on the points returned
 of continued basis of the apparent violations of
 regulations, however, on what he described
 the way that possible ^{4/25} he informed me
 he had submitted the EP as it was. He
 later sent me a copy of a memo to RDT.
 (memo of 7/25)

There were in this
circumstances RPH spread
what he had stay in camp.

q wh 3/10

less questions rather than
has someone a case for it, it
power RPH would want to
see them.

Details on recall of

FBI or Iowa

case of construction

Follow up on part of

As part, was just part party

DM D volunteered
last Fri he had had
no right to vote
me, since I had in
fact, never been
in line.

Note that DM D
signed for R D H "Good"
although R D H has
never seen ~~her~~, & did
not

References del rate
" how consulted.