Dear Cyril,

Sorry not to have responded to your letter of 2/21 sooner. I was deep in preparing for a limited publication of my book COUP CETAT, which deals with distance assessmentions and bring new material to light on that of JFK and its official "investigation". I did that today and new have three books ready for printing when that becomes possible, if it ever does. I cannot go more deeply into debt to do those thing adopt any more.

If Bud has not told you, he does have a copy of the s.m. part of the hearing, which includes your testimony. He is mimeographing it and, if you do not placedy have a copy, no doubt you soon will. I will be adding parts of that to the book you have not seen, FOST MORETM III: SECRETE OF THE SEMPLEY AUTORSY. I plan to add photocopies of parts of the transcript to the appendix enter discussions to the text. That I would dike to get and he does not have is a few excerpts from the afternoon, that complete reflection of official max bankruptcy is particular.

Nov, unless so stains heppens to change my plans, I will do the second pert of POOT MONTAL. Unless I do, instead, LEMNINGE THE MARDITCHAS SOLUTION OF PER MELNELY ASSASSINATION. I could do a first-person one, and not a la Epateia, but with the ignored, abandoned evidence that I elected turned to and turned over. It would tell what Carrison did not reveal. If I decided it is necessary to jur survival....

The ultimate impact of the Washington proceedings will be up to us and was are against heavy odds. But the situation is not impossible. It depends on our finding the proper hold and the right fulcrim for intellectual jude. When you see what I have done in to much haste in POST LORTEM III, parhaps, although you may not find the presentation to your taste, you will see the potential I see. I do not believe that in one-shot sensations this will make much difference, but if we use it the rightm, responsible way, we may do something with it.

There is no explaining the New Orleans decisions eave in terms of paranois, and saids from the indigenous, I fear there is that of Salendris. The applied his at the worst possible time. Those crazy people actually feered getting fakes from DC after the court decision, after we had descriptions, and they never consult anyone who knows. There is now no chance of faking 599, for example, or the X-rays. What has happened dong there since the end of this first week of Documber is beyond description or belief - but is nontheless very real. I look back on it, know it happened, and swill cannot believe it.... I have no idea why they rested so for shead of schedule, failed to call witnesses they but planned calling, sto., and I can only guess. I am even less velocae than I have been. Indicate is pretty unwelcome:

What happened to Finck is not what you road in the paper. They did destroy him. I gave them copies of FCCT MORTEM and all the documents on 12/20 and they appear to have road and understood large parts of that and of what i put into III. They did get major information from him, but it went unreported. Thich is one thing a we cannot blame on Germison. Caser did a good job.

You may remember my cendor when you eaked me about Garrison and the office. I said we had to distinguish between the men end the fact. The man is too complex to attempt a simple explanation. The fact is all one way. He has not handled it all well, has not gone into too much (because he was not prepared to, for one thing, and had none competent to for another). For exemple, Bud and I can both produce a witness who was a by-stander whan Dean Andrews was corrupted. I have been waiting for them to call me for four weeks, believing it would do more good were I to be called. Tonight I put a call in two hours ago. There may be good reason for my not having had response, but I doubt, if I ever learn it, I will consider it reasonable or rational. Bul, I am certain, called earlier today. For another example, I con produce a witness who gave a signed statement to the FBI about Show. They have not called him. The could also subpens the statement from the WBI. It might ignora the subpens, but if it doesn it will serve to confirm. If it claims the man did not give a statement, he will be confronted withma perjury charge and will, I am confident, prove he did. to is the kind who will be abla to. He worked for the CIA: He was also a police agent. And today he is making it big, will not jeopardize that.

There is so much more I cannot begin to tell you about, and I speak of only solid stuff. I just cannot tell you why these thingehvers not done, not in any way I could accept myself.

To me, what she did is stong because she did not distinguish between the mar end the fact. I feer such stocks as hers drove him the way he want. They state as a drove him into the wrong her stocks as hers drove him into the wrong here.

when you have reed F.A. I'd appreciate its return, indured. I have very few copies. Then you'd like to reed the next, let us know and I'll send a copy. Ind then the third. It can be sent book rate, 12 cents for the first pound, six for each additional. But please wrap it securely, with attiff cardboard. My mail is even some than the deplorable national steadard.

Sinceraly.

Harold Welsherg

CYRIL H. WECHT, M. D., LL. B. 1417 FRICK BUILDING PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219

281-9090

FORENSIC PATHOLOGY LEGAL MEDICINE

21 February 1969

Mr. Harold Weisberg Coq d'Or Press Route 8 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold:

Thank you for your letter of 18 February 1969, and particularly for your very kind and gracious comments concerning my testimony last week in Washington, D.C.

I certainly hope that you are correct in having an optimistic view about the eventual impact of the Washington courtroom proceedings on the possible reopening of the investigation into the JFK assassination. I must confess that I am not quite so optimistic -- perhaps not so much because of the fact that the government is going to continue to fight us tooth and nail, but because the news media apparently have decided that no criticism of the Warren Commission Report is really significant or valid. Without the opportunity to get things across to the American public through the national news services, I wonder whether we can ever develop sufficient pressure on the government to reopen the investigation.

Please drop me a note if you have any further information concerning the government's appeal. I have not heard anything from Bud Fensterwald since Tuesday, and I have not heard anything at all in weeks from New Orleans.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Cyril H. Wecht, M.D., LL.B.

CHW: jss P. S. - Gust heard from Bud & learned that the defense is going to call

Tinck. Bud & I have nown heard from Sarion since Wash. trial - the heard nothing for 4 we.

In throughly disjusted & angry. Sylvia M. was completely right about Sarrison.