

Dr. Cyril Wecht, Pathology  
1200 Centre Ave.,  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

3/20/93

Dear Cyril,

Thanks for the Jay Schwartz article. It reminded me that I've not yet answered your 1/28! I'm spending my time that intensively on completing the book, what I do not have to spend on other things, including packaging and mailing books.

I'm skimmed it so I can complete in the few minutes before my wife calls me to supper. I'll read it with care when my mind is free. If it is not what I wanted, which refers to Oswald pretending to be both CP and Specialist and CB and SWP at the same time, it will be useful. Schwartz is the name I did not remember. He wrote several fine pieces that I can no longer find.

There is a small polyp in the left side, according to barium X-rays, and perhaps more that will require a Sigmoidoscope(?). I decided not to have it removed locally because the incident of accidents is low but my problem from them isn't. I've an appointment at Hopkins for 4/2 and I hope it can be done there, where if there is an accident someone skilled will be at hand, not like in the usual outpatient office job, and because I'm concerned about being too anticoagulated or not enough. The Hopkins hematologist is a wonderful <sup>man</sup> and a superior physician. I want him to dictate the blood work if he cannot supervise it himself and to be available by some chance something goes wrong. My skin bleeds on some simple contacts and slight one) cause subcutaneous hemorrhaging. So I want to be careful. The local digestive specialist had cancelled the X-ray arrangements and scheduled me for a colonoscopic examination in <sup>the next day</sup> his office when my protime was 22, or taking two seconds longer to clot that wanted but more than twice base. He told me to skip my next day's protime but I decided to have it for the family doctor's records. Without any additional anticoagulant it was taking my blood more than 26 second to clot. If I'd done as he said and taken the medication in the morning I might well have hemorrhaged spontaneously. But I was not going to have any test that can cause even an abrasion with my protime 22.5 second and I sure as hell would not have if I'd known it was more than 26! That guy did not give a damn about me. He cared for an extra fee he could get. And overruled a local hematologist who had arranged for the X-rays as safer for me. He had intended referring me to Hopkins if the test indicated a polyp in any event, so I made the arrangements because of the referral at his suggestion. There is <sup>to</sup> more but no need to add what you may remember of my local care!

If without trouble you can get another article if there is one I'd like to have it but from just skimming I know this one will do and I do thank you for taking the time to get it for me.

Best wishes,

  
Harold Weisberg



ST.  
FRANCIS  
HEALTH  
SYSTEM

ST. FRANCIS CENTRAL HOSPITAL

1200 Centre Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
412/562-3000

17 Mar 93

Harold -

I finally tracked down the enclosed article, which I hope is the one you were interested in. Let me know if it is.

Hope you're well.

Best wishes!

Luigi

CYRIL H. WECHT, M. D., J. D.  
DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY  
CENTRAL MEDICAL CENTER & HOSPITAL  
1200 CENTRE AVENUE  
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219  
(412) 281-9090  
FAX (412) 281-3650

FORENSIC PATHOLOGY  
LEGAL MEDICINE

January 28, 1993

Mr. Harold Weisberg  
7627 Old Receiver Road  
Frederick, Maryland 21202

Dear Harold:

I am attempting to locate the article you are looking for. Jay Schwartz was an attorney from Wisconsin, who represented the Jurisprudence Section at the 1965 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences at the Plenary Session where I represented the Pathology Section in a review and critique of the WCR. I believe that must be the paper you are referring to. I no longer have those back copies of the Journal of Forensic Sciences, but I am trying to find one for you. As soon as I get it, I shall send a copy to you.

I was sorry to learn of your most recent medical problems. I hope the additional tests will prove that you have nothing more than a benign polyp, which can be taken care of without invasive surgery.

I was glad to hear that Wrone has done such a tremendous job for you. I can imagine how valuable this special effort has been to you.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

  
Cyril H. Wecht, M.D., J.D.

CHW/mb

3/20/93 What you sent is more than adequate for the intended ~~use~~ <sup>use</sup> so do not go to any trouble to get what I had in mind, the Journal article with the political criticism. If you should hear of it or see it I would like it for archival purposes but your time is too valuable for you to spend any in a further search for it. *Thanks again for it.*

I do not know what your Chicago presentation will be. If I may offer a friend's advice, do not espouse any conspiracy theory because not a single one offered as a solution cannot be disproven or perhaps it would be better to say can be proven with the available evidence. If you can content yourself with saying that the official evidence itself establishes beyond question that the crime was the end product of a conspiracy, you will be on solid ground and not subject to factual criticism.

By the "available evidence" I include other than the official evidence and what can be regarded as evidence produced by critics.

Most of this is untenable.

Those using it are at the mercy of those who present it, most often made it up.

Not a single one of these presentations of which I know survives examination based on what has been established as fact.

I do not know what relations, if any, you have with Harry Livingstone. He has recently invented a conspiracy against him and is menacing those who do not agree with him. If you do not want to be involved in what I regard as and have described to him as his irrationalities to be included in a contracted book scheduled for October appearance, you will be well-advised to avoid any comment on him or his work.

While there is no guilt of any kind involved with mental problems Harry acknowledged some the nature of which I now do not recall. He has displayed paranoia often enough and he recently added megalomania. He announced last October that he would "break" the case in three weeks, has not and cannot, and he has added to his imagined conspiracy to kill of rather large proportions a conspiracy to cover his failure to do what he proclaimed he would do in three weeks a conspiracy to prevented it. That has me heading it, and I've <sup>done</sup> nothing to it or to him at all, with at least Harry Ferrell, Gary Mack and Gary Shaw among its members. I have few relations with Mack, fewer with Ferrell, and none at all with Shaw. None are of any opposition to whatever Harry imagines he is doing and none are of any nature other than self-protection from his repeated and written accusations and threats that have no basis in fact at all. He is out of control and if you cross him he may well add you to his imagined conspiracy and cause you needless trouble.

H