

10/7/72 Unexpectedly I got a copy of the Enquirer dated 1-/15 interview with Wecht. If it is less explicit on the CIA doing all the assassinations and Robert's alleged investigation than I'd been told, it is explicit in other scientific garbage and does confirm my earlier analysis of Cyril's ignorance of the most basic fact and what he seeks to commercialize. It is explicit in the potential for further harm; further exculpation of the guilty; further interpretation or misinterpretation as support of the official fiction (as in repeating that Oswald was one of the assassins and never suggesting he wasn't or could ~~be~~ not have been); in saying that the brain and tissue slides "could settle whether the wounds resulted from shots from the rear" (!!!). It is all crappy rehash, with the retelling not selected with any discrimination and in no sense even indicating that he learned anything from his examination (which can be interpreted by others as meaning he had not). It would be less disagreeable if one could merely "hò, hum". HW