Dr. Cyril H. Wecht, Director Pittsburgh Institute of Legal Medicine 1200 Centre Ave., Fittsburgh, Pa. 15219

Dear Cyril,

Much as I would like to be able to accept your invitation to participate in your symposium this coming December it is physically impossible for me. I regret this in particular because Pitt's political science department will be involved, because that seems to me to be an ecellent idea, combining that with the legal medicine aspects, and because I think I might be able to contribute to that part also.

Not only can I not drive very far, even riding exhausts me. Every six weeks I am driven for about an hour to see Dr. Hufnagel in Washington and although I get out and walk a bit going and coming that trip knocks me out. I have no idea how I might be able to take air travel but just getting to the airport presents a major problem to me and I fear that, the plane ride and the ride from the airport would be too much. Because I can't stand and can't sit for very long with my legs down all of this would be aggravated.

Since getting your letter I've been trying to think of how i might be able to contribute something of significance in the legal medicine area and perhaps by videotape I might. I might be able to get this done by local mood ollege or, if one of your people were to be here, rather near here - and driving to Washington from Pittsburgh puts one only about 10 minutes away - I could be interviewed or questioned.

What have in mind was avoided by the House assassins committee, although it was well aware, and it has two parts, each in a sense a good followup on your excellent appeal to those finks on the Hill.

You may remember that when you were coroner and I got an original FBI picture of the front of JFK's shirt from the Department of Justice, I sent you a print. You gave it to the criminalist on your staff and he did little with it, as I recall saying only that such slits in the collar could not have been caused by a projectile moving faster than 1,000 feet per second. You told me then that you then were too busy to do more. (I'd hope to use you as a witness in an FOIA suit in that was relevant.) First let me tell is about that picture, although you should have a copy still. The FBI took that picture with the button and buttonhobe in line. The custom-made shirt had a three-line pattern that appeared as a single line in the pictures the FBI took for and gave to the commission. In this quite clear picture it is obvious that the two slits - and they definitly are not bullet holes, do not coincide in any way as it would appear they would have to if caused by a bullet when the shirt collar was buttoned, as JFK's was. One is longer than the other and one is higher up on the collarband than the other. This difference appears to be material with respect to both differences, materially higher and materially longer.

I checked the commission's testimony and found that with Specter conducting the questioning before the commission he avoided all of this and the question that Allen Dulles then asked of Dr. Charles Carrico, the only physician to see JFK before his clothing was altered and treatment was begun. Dulles asked Carrico where the bullet hole in the front of JFK's neck was. Carrico, instead of speaking his answer, pointed p to above his shirt collar! Dulles told him that words were necessary for the reporter and the record, and is it correct that he is saying that this wound was above the collar. Carrico testified that that is what he was syaing and showing. I think Dulles returned to this. Later I interviewed Carrico in Dallas and he not only confirmed this, he added detail that I believe you will recognize as standard.

Carrico told me that it is standard procedure for the tie to be cut off if time is pressing and that the nurses did this under his direction while he unbuttoned the short front and put a stethescope im and on the chest. He showed me by holding his own tie how it is held as much away from the body as possible and then is cut as close to the knot as possible with a scalpel. I then checked the nurse testimony and found that once again Specter was diligent in avoiding the obvious questions and this time no member made the Dulles mistake. The hurses testified that they immediately went to work on NFK's clothing in the usual or prescribed manner or procedure. The exact words are readily available. I believe the nurses were Bowron and Stanchcliffe.

Willt this clear picture I tried to go farther. I filed an FOI. suit to compel the archives to take profures to my description for me and they were ordered to take the pictures and let me examine them but not to give me copies. Only they didn#t and when I wrote the judge they finally told me to come in. When I got there they said, oh, so sorry, we learned something and wrote you that we can't take a picture of the kno t becuse the tie is unknotted. They were unable to explain how this happened because the tie was knotted when used as evidence before the Commission. Incompetent as are the pictures the FBI gave the commission, it is obvious that the only damage to the √ \( \nabla \) tie is at the extreme upper left-hand edge as worn - and this also does not come close to where the slits in the shirt/collarband are. So, it is onvious that even this nick could not have been caused by any bullet if a bullet had caused those two different slits. It, turns out that for purposes of its own report, CD1, the FBI had untied the knot and twisted that part of the tie to make it appear that there was a hole dead-center of the knot. It then put the knot together again for use before the Commission. And, after it was unknotted several years later and I was to have pictures made of it by court order, it was unknotted again and then, look and behold, when it was of interest to the House committee and theoretically under lock and key in the archives, the knot suffered some skilled magic and it was reknotted for your panel. Your chairman, the guy from New York, confirmed this to me.

As you may have heard, the first law of the FBI is to cover its ass and the second is to cover yours own ass. Well, in the suit in which I'd hoped to use you as an expert witnesss, after long delay and a trip to the appeals court, 'did get to depose several by then retired FBI agents, including Robert Frazier. We showed him an enlargement of the picture like the one I sent you and because the suit was for the records of the results of tests, asked him about that picture. The in part ducked it and in part confirmed the obvious that I report above. The testified hander outh, as I recall now at least twice, that he had that question and directed that Paul Stombaugh, the hair and fibres expert, examine and report on the diages to the front of the shirt and the tie. Only allegedly no such report exists and none was produced. The reason is obvious - they can't produce any such report without destroying both the entire official solution and their own and the commission is integrity.

Please take another book at the picture this time do not depend on someone who either doesn't care or doesn't want to tangle with the FBI. You will see that no expertise is required to perceive that the slits could not have been caused by any bullet with the collar buttoned. Or, you can use an expert out there. But I think that because this attracted no attention when I used it more than a decade ago, in the context of your program it may well get attention and cause the well@justified sensation. It is the total destruction of the case that could have ensued if your paea had been heeded, which would have been the opposite of what that creature Blakey wanted. And, going along with this, I primed a reported to question Vincent Guinn outlof the committee's hearing. He admitted that the specimens of bullet fragement he tested do not meet their official descriptions. So, on that basis alone his testimony is at least questionable.

I'd tried long ago to get the archives to weigh Ex 399 for me and it refused. I questioned Frazier on deposition and he swore that he had weighed it only once, when he got it. He never weighed any of the speciments and he admitted to what he did not testify before the commission, that he cut more than what extruded from the base for lead alloy testing. You can see a cone cut into the bullet so he took all that extruded plus this additional amount when all he needed for spectrography was a minuscule amount, no larger than a millimeter. So, if you pay close attention to \*\*Matthe Guinn testified to, the remarkable likeness in the results he got in the tests he made, it is at least not unreasonable to ask if what he was given to test is not what was recovered from Connally and the car but what the FBI without need removed from 399. I have a news story on Guinn being questioned and a tape of poor quality but clear enough.

I think that what I have in mind would be particularly effective if you were to use a videotape of all or part of your extellent but wasted appeal to those finks in the Congress and, although I have not thought this part through, I think that if there is any chance that you would be near here before then, that it would be very good if you and I were to make the videotape together. If carrying that equipment were to be a problem, if we knew in advance I believe that Hood, which has it and people who are experienced in using it, would cooperate.

I have a copy of the Frazier deposition in which he says he directed the Stombaugh study and no such thing was produced. We could use xeroxes of the pages of the commission testimony or the books, the former being easier to handle. And you ought have no trouble, if it is not within your experience, to get medical experts to confirm Carrico and the nurses on the standard emergency procedures — the practise of cutting the tie off. With this as backgrould, please look at the tie picture as published by the commission. You can see a cut up and a cut down against the knot. There just is no other way those slits could have been made in the collarband and the edge of the tie knot. And there is the unrefuted testimony by Carrico that the wound was above the shirt collar.

Done properly this could be a major sensation and a real breakthrough.

If you want to do withis without me I have no objections at all and will help in any way I can. However, from my own reporting past, I think it would be both more effective and more dramatic if I did it of first-person knowledge, with more detail if you and/or Professor Windt would like more detail.

You also are welcome to copies of anything I have and to access to the enormity of records I've obtained, all preserved exactly as I received them. If Professor Windt would like to discuss the polysci aspects I see or he is interested in, of course, in person or by phone. I'm generally home from my faily physical tehrapy by 10:30 a.m. and unless we have medical appointments or errands am general home for the rest of the day.

In any event, I think that what you have in mind is worthwhile and I wish you both well in it.

I've not accepted your invitation to phone you because I avoid toll calls. We have no real nest egg and my Social Security is only \$370 a month. If any of this is not clar, please let me know. I think that with the sponsorship you would be providing this could be a very significant thing, and very worthwhile.

Spictor collart the negative no metallic traces

All the best

Harold Weisberg

HRINA



March 11, 1987

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold:

Professor Theodore Windt of the University of Pittsburgh and I are planning to present a program in Pittsburgh on Friday, November 18, to Sunday, November 20, 1988, in commemoration of the 25th Anniversary of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The program will be jointly sponsored by the University of Pittsburgh Department of Political Science and the Pittsburgh Institute of Legal Medicine. It will be conducted on Pitt's campus, commencing Friday evening, November 18, and continuing until noontime on Sunday, November 20.

At this time, we are not certain what funding we shall have for the program. However, we are prepared to make a commitment to each of our invited speakers for basic travel expenses (round trip economy air fare or reimbursement for auto mileage) and hotel accommodations on Friday and Saturday evenings. If we are successful in obtaining grants, it will be our pleasure to also offer a modest honorarium. Although the overall format of the program has not yet been finalized, it is our intention to commence the weekend presentations with one or more opening addresses on Friday evening, and then follow through with other papers and round table discussions on Saturday and Sunday morning. Film clips will be included, and we also hope to have some photographic and other physical evidentiary exhibits available for the registrants.

Registration will be open to the public, but we shall make a special effort to bring the program to the attention of individuals who have manifested interest in the JFK assassination over the past 25 years in various ways.

Ted Windt and I are pleased to extend this invitation to you, and very hopeful that you will find it possible to accept. Please let us know as soon as possible whether you will be able to join us in

Mr. Harold Weisberg Page 2 March 11, 1987

Pittsburgh next year for this special and important program. If you have any questions or desire additional information, please do not hesitate to call me directly.

With kind regards.

Sincerely,

Cyril H. Wecht, M.D., J.D.

CHW/mb