The state of the s

The Legal Legacy of the Nixon Years

WATERGATE AND THE CON-STITUTION. By Philip B Kurland. Univ. of Chicago. 261 pp. \$12.50

By J. LAURENT SCHARFF

subject of Watergate certainly requires an excuse," writes Philip Kurland, professor of law at the University of Chicago. His is that he does not discuss that national crisis except as a background to the large constitutional questions it raises.

about which he is a renowned scholar, too weak. As for the Supreme Court, makes broader observations about the about the Watergate denouement and ses some interesting legal questions original: The "Imperial Presidency" ond half of the book, Kurland discus-In chapters on the congressional grasp for power" as on a better balon resistance to the Court's "persistent American democracy turns as much condition of our government. For exfrom law and history. And in the seclously studies and balances arguments removal of federal officials, he meticujudicial review, and appointment and power of inquiry, executive privilege, ance between the other two branches. Kurland believes that the future of has become too powerful, the Congress Kurland's theme is simple if not

Could Richard Nixon have been prosecuted for crimes while a sitting president? No, says Kurland (finding

other), because such a trial would interfere too much with the performance of presidential duties.

Was the pardon to the fallen president legally premature because he had not been indicted for any crime? Perhaps—and Kurland suggests that the special prosecutor should have tested the validity of the pardon by moving to bring Nixon to trial. Kurland believes that the pardon may have been in violation of the Attorney General's regulations (held by the Supreme Court to be binding on the president) that placed full authority over the subject with the special prosecutor.

But however important these and other questions similarly addressed, they are not the principal focus of this book. The author states that, although one of the issues posed by Watergate was "how to bring down the powerful leader who abused his authority and, at the same time, preserve the state from revolution that could spawn anarchy or totalitarianism," he finds the more important question to be, "How does one prevent the accession to such power by the just and unjust alike?"

Kurland is sure that the basic problem lies in the presidency itself, "bloated with unrestrained power," and that "if it is clear that the Congress has neither the will nor the capacity to act as a strong counter-balance . . . It is equally clear that some alternatives must be sought."

Kurland does not come forward with many specific reforms. He does not put great store in the statutory limitations on campaign contributions or in public financing of national candidates. He has recommended the creation of an office of Congressional Legal Counsel charged with the duty, under the impeachment power, to investigate and prosecute misbehavior

by executive and judicial officials. But that "reform" would not undo the accumulation of power in those branches of government.

branch even though such agencies are pointment—Kurland lumps the regulacause of the president's power of aptory agencies. (With some reason-bedepartments and independent regulaaccumulation of congressional delegathe existence of the states," and in the government and regulation despite laws "occupy[ing] the entire field of affairs, in its execution of national office over the past 50 years, in foreign sulted from the expanded role of that by the Nixon administration, has re-Kurland emphasizes, was not invented · The imperial presidency, which, and independent in decision-making.) usually regarded as quasi-legislative tory agencies with the executive tions of authority made to executive

Along with the general shift of power to the executive branch, Kurland adds "the inordinate concentration of power in the hands of the White House staff," and he sees no material change in the White House under the Carter administration.

What is the effect of all this power—and the news media attention it attracts—on the president and his principal White House aides? Human nature being what it is, such concentrated power, Kurland says, has led to what Arthur Schlesinger termed a "plebiscitary Presidency," in which the chief executive is so certain of his mandate (and his influence with congressional members from the political party he leads) that he views himself as "democracy personified, the nation made man," as was said of Napoleon

From such dizzying heights it is not difficult to see how a president could come to deny "the idea of law itself,"

s. But which Kurland treats as a moral imhe ac perative. He cites as evidence Nixon's
those "incapacity to understand the enormity of his proposition istated to David

mity of his proposition [stated to David Frost], 'When the President does it, that means that it is not illegal.'"

Kurland rejects both the counterculture's despairing nihilism and the

dent, without limitation on his authorstitution is certainly a fearsome Presitently with the law of the land. "A sary, but presumptively that judgment ment." Moral judgment, then, is necescades characterized the ethical climoral judgments which for several deoccured partly because of "the relaquotes Archibald Cox that Watergate cognizes that good and bad people in ture's despairing nihilism and the from Heaven as well as from the Con-President who has 'implied powers' can and should be exercised consismate, especially the academic environtivism and disinclination to make government make a difference, and the imperial presidency." Kurland reshare responsibility for the crisis of hanced presidential power: "Both scholar-historians' notions of

Kurland ends with a belief that "fear of corruption of the Constitution" should motivate citizens to become adherents of the "vital center" and of "the rule of reason based on experience," to better balance the mixed powers of the federal branches. That is a rather nebulous prescription for the serious illness, but it is a beginning.

Watergate and the Constitution is a valuable source of knowledge and inspiration for those who wish to pursue Kurland's goal. It is not an "inside story" to fascinate the reader with dramatic accounts of Washington power. But the author's constitutional law credentials, balanced scholarship and trenchant commentary establish it as an important work.

J. LAURENT SCHARFF is a Washing- Lot ton attorney with a practice in federal uplaw.