As you may recall, I began to get suspicious of the Peat boys when they would not follow the most solid Mullen loads. Finally I broke all centact with both and was in touch with Spasson and others for a while. As time passed I developed the theory that they were being fed, not just leaked to. The Caddy chapter of Fee Universidant, written as soon as I read <u>All the Provident's Mon.</u> uses it to show that the CIA was the feeded. That, in effect, the CIA gave the Peat its Pulitoer.

I know about Fink doing their research for them cometine in early 1973 at the latest, when I was leeking for memorae to edit the first draft of the first and everlong WG book. A friend of a friend, a man who spends much time at the Mirary of Congress, knew Fink and that he was verking there for Bernstein and Weedward. So, I made no contact with Fink.

There is, of course, such the Pest did not use. There is also the sanitized line of the Pest's boys book, which required no real MG data. This should have left fink in passession of such stuff of the kind that one would expect Relling Stone to go for. If the Pest boys let his have saything they get and didn't use.

Taking Fink's place as an example, I'd say they were limiting him to what he dug up in the idbrary and not feeding him hat leads to use in his digging for them. There is sissing from this Fink piece what the Peat had and didn't use on the break-ins that I get from the Peat, some of what was ripped off from me. The exception in this case is that I had copied and filed elsewhere some of this before I ran out of copying paper and still have some of the pages the Peat didn't use and apparently Fink didn't have because I can't imagine him not using official knowledge if not connection with those break-ins if he had it. His place sooms to be restricted entirely to what was printed. It has a few more details on some than I had, mine coming from two sources other than those secrets, the Peat and loss frequently, the Fines. On the other hand, with some he emits significant details that I have included in the second book that are not secret.

Somewedy run the ship pretty tight. Tight enough so the researcher would not have asserts. And what secrets? These that reflect hely on the USA. I go into this with Walters' testimeny - not the published version - before the eversight committee.

Buster Thousand did not by blusted put me to along but I fell asleep reading his to me todium. For the first time in a long time I get some physical exercise, a stiff bout of newing - imagine moving in this climate into Nevember! - followed after a short rest by pulling out for sawing downed wood and pushing over dead trees and dragging them out. I'd spotted those trees last year as those that would ret fairly fast, enough to leave no atturns if I fix gave them time. We sturps meaning I can use a newer in the nearby woods and keep the honeysuckle and poises down better. It was tiring, enough so I again wendered at my lack of standar. So, instead of working on the short clippings bil had been keeping for me, which would have required getting up and down to file, I read langur pices. Several were from Palenna. I found Hallott's informative and aisinformative but interesting. The last of this series was Thompson's. I remembered the big spening teaser about this great accomplishment of rewriting overnight and had saved if for last, expecting the best.

Ton full, large pages for this nothingness? Of course they had been paged to fill, which becomes a commentary on the new breed of political scientists and their editors of the new jernals of political scientary. You are scarce of my strong latter to Relling Stone on this plan to have Kaiser do an article on accessination theories, which limits them to note and gives note and misinfermation all that attention. This is of the same cloth. And Kaiser is enthat kick, as beaut told me yesterday or the day before in condering whether he would speak to Raiser. It tolks no again that for all their midles they remain political babies and editorial incompetents cutside these skills. Compare, for anaple, with what I've written. And this is not to brug, because I was too deeply into too much else when I wrote. But in 1972 I wrote what today requires no rewriting from developments and more than six menths before this Thempson piece titled a book with enough understanding to anticipate the kind of mistake he and all the genius at Relling Stone did not. When asked by a German publisher's representative the end of the menth of the break-in or thereabouts I gave resignation as one

of a very limited number of possibilities. I selieve you were in assert. Not in a surply of elder follows like us, having so direct contact with all the people the Relling Stone crowd do daily, could see this clearly enough, what kind of collective judgment do they have getting themseltes booky-trapped into that kind of situation, with 10 pages entdated in a minute and with all that space to fill on deadline?

If nothing else the reaction of the Mixon hardcore to the Mixon language should have

warned thou he was on the way out, the questions being her and when-

Perhaps in my weariness " missed what is worthshile in Thempsen's piece. I needed ever it often enough before opting a longer might's sleep. I recall clearly enough his juvenile recent as a potty thinf, all those fucks and shits he spake that he had to preserve in imperichable type. Her he began days with a pertable TV with which he essled the fence abound the swiming peel of the expensive hetel in which he worked so hard. The Base ale. His constormation when his story was ruined by evil old Nixes. (They couldn't even anticipate that confession of direct, personal knowledge and involvement would not force assathing")

If I missed what I should not have, please tall se.

What this bells so is that Helling Stone is on ever-rich, extravagant constraint isotion of the young that produces attractive writing that is not helpful to the understanding of their world by the young, that it is seen hind 2 of Hetablishmentarian deal.

It did not take this to tell me. The Relling Stone approach to assumminations had already tald me it is an irresponsible operation when it deals with serious matters. It can and probably does produce some good stuff, but when it gots into what requires insight and understanding, as these kinds of stories do, it lacks both and dishes out to its special andience exactly the same kind of misinefraction that the straight Betablishmenturian press does.

bither may the trusting young and the smaller percentage of trusting not young

are not informed or are similformed.

When I want to bod I was anake enough to think about this until in bot I fell nalosp. I look back on a decade of dealing with only breaking stories of which I have not less than a million words in completed backs. I can't think of any rewriting that is required by events. The closest thing to it was hedged, Carrison. There I reduced it to an expression of hope. The first WG back needs no changes from developments. The second, almost completed, with marrower feaue, also needs name. Now if one man of no special genius can write beaks this way, a magazine with all those fandy begit brains can't?

If a ten-year record of net being dated while writing of breaking steries is not preef that it is not hard, what can be? If it were all that hard I'd not have been able to do it. In the book about to come out, written before these events, when Mixon realgaed all that I added is a feetnate on the date. He more was needed. This book has much

on Pord. No word now needs charging and none ever villa.

I guess that what I am really saying is that the basis understanding is easy for these set unwilling to understand, and that this new breed, its its special kind of informative expression, doesn't give a fuck or care a shit. "t sokes somey from its special autience, achieving a kind of face and status at the same time, and what else counts?

Haybe one & ing: that the fucioed-up minds find it fun, distinguishing complex

between fuck and skit.

Den't step where you can't make types out. I wanted meat of all to record that there really is newhere people of any age can turn for dependable information.

Which is what I'll tell "siser of himself and his journal should be call so while on his junket they are paying for. And others from when I have sail to answer, this new breed of young councreisliners calling thosselves the Assassination Information Eureau.