

The Ultimate Booch, the Ultimate Defamation, the Ultimate Sociopath; Or Chuck Colson Finds the Ultimate Christ (He was in His Heaven all the time- 1600 Penna Ave.) and the Ultimate in Gullibility.

If it is as Jack Anderson reports today then Colson has pulled the ultimate in what can be pulled. He'll remain the all-time champ.

That Anderson could go for this transparency and play it straight if hard to believe even when reading it because he reports nothing of consequence except that Colson has found a defense for Nixon and it is being heralded as the Ultimate Truth.

If the Ultimate in human degeneration is to be respect, then Colson must be regarded in awe. That anyone would even dare what he seems to have been pulled off! Going over the falls without a barrel would seem to provide better survival odds.

I've also read two of the three Post stories. By then, even though after calling The Ultimate of Ultimate "the repentant" Grieder seemed to have worked his tongue toward his cheek, my stomach wouldn't take it any more.

And the Judiciary committee went for it? Dear, according to Anderson.

For what? Nixon told him to sear Ellsberg? So what else is new? That is all over the public record, in Colson's own memos.

What is ignored and what Colson also says is that he lacked proof against others and Nixon, fine, dignified, upstanding model of the lawyer's devotion to the innocence of the unconvicted accused, wanted proof. Bad Nixon, wanting evidence? Good Nixon who absolutely, positively, Colson-says-so did not have it?

And the Unleashing prosecutor? Colson has done this great public service in setting a new legal precedent? If it is one for the books it ain't for the law books!

Then nature, supposedly sophisticated people go for this kind of undisguised garbage (called Christ and The Lord, please note) Nixon is going to make it.

With a Colson the beginning does not foreshadow the end. The immediate need is his immediate end and he has pulled so much for so long with such impunity that he probable has confidence he need look and plan no farther. Yet in this case I think that if he does not have transactional immunity - more than that his own words can't be used against him- he is confident he has a meaningful equivalent.

I can even see the Christ-found Hughes et cie begging our glorious leader for a compassionate reduction in sentence so that The Lord's newest spreader of the given word can do The Lord's work. Of course, GL would then delegate to another, saying with proper nobility that the decision and the judgement should not be his where he has an involvement. And then the hosannahs.

Praise be the spirit of the Lord, which has made a Christian of the sinner.

Christianity beware!

EE 6/22/74