

"Kalmbach Said to Link Nixon To Rebozo Query on Money," WxPost 4/7/74 HW

Apparently the NYTimes today has a similar story. This in the Post follows yesterday's and did not get the electronic attention today that the Times' did.

Assuming the accuracy of the story, it is fishy and is consistent with setting Kalmbach up as early as 4/30.73, the alleged date of the alleged meeting he had with Rebozo in the WH.

He should have wondered why he was called to the WH for an opinion ("give us some advice") on GL's fear that some of the Hughes \$100,000 went to Rose Mary and Brother. (Donald.)

Nixon is a lawyer. He had others available. This was the day GL had to let Ehrlichman and Haldeman go and Booted Dean.

All this and Hughes too, one day? With lawyers available closer than Kalmbach and other than he?

Maybe K was more trusted than other lawyers, but if Nixon never had his hot little on the loot, how was it a Presidential matter and why was Kalmbach consulted, if that is the word?

But what is even more interesting, again assuming the story's accuracy, is that Kalmbach has started talking about those so close to GL.

This coincides with his Partner, De Marco, saying I did so go over each pages with GL, so he does too know what his tax return said.

Or, Mene, Mene not tickle?

Electronic reporting today included a Donald denial and one from Rhyne for Rose Mary, the latter less than a real denial.

But if it is again assumed to be true, what was their need for money that meant criminality in just touching it?

For GL? Or from him?